Technical developments of functional electrical stimulation to restore gait functions: Sensing, control strategies and current commercial systems
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Abstract: The work presents a review on the technological advancements of functional electrical stimulation (FES) neuroprostheses to restore gait walking over the last decades. The aim of an FES intervention is to functionally restore and rehabilitate individuals with motor disorders, such as stroke, spinal cord injury, multiple sclerosis, and others. The technique has been applied for widespread practical use for several years due to the rapid development of micro- and nano-technology. This technical review covers neuroprostheses developed within academia and currently available on the market. These systems are thoroughly analyzed and discussed with particular emphasis on the sensing techniques and control strategies. In the last part, a combination of FES technology and exoskeletons is presented as an emerging solution to overcome the drawbacks of current FES-based neuroprostheses, and recommendations on future research direction are suggested.
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1 Introduction

Damage to the central nervous system (CNS) due to injury or diseases can lead to decreased performance of the sensory-motor system. Motor disabilities are often the consequence and can affect gait, as well as other daily functions. For instance, about 20% of survivors after stroke suffer from drop foot that severely impairs their mobility \(^1\). Overall, the community activities of patients are limited and lifestyles are directly affected.

Functional electrical stimulation (FES) was introduced to be a method that can elicit the paralyzed muscles contraction to generate movements. It has widely been used in gait restoration for neurologically impaired individuals \(^2-4\). The purpose of an FES intervention is to enable gait functions by replacing or assisting a person’s voluntary locomotion, thus achieving a desired movement. A neuroprosthesis based on FES is used to substitute for lost neurological functions.

Since the first reported use of FES to assist gait in patients with stroke in 1960s \(^5\), FES has proven itself as a promising technique to restore lost motor functions \(^6\). A number of FES-based neuroprostheses became commercially available, such like Walkaide, ActiGait, etc. However, despite continuous development, there are still important challenges that need to be tackled. One major challenge is the control structure aspects of these neuroprostheses \(^2,3,7\) to synchronize the movement of muscles with the integration of sensory feedback. Closed-loop control with a hierarchical structure based on biological inspiration has become popular in the last decades \(^6,8\). The higher hierarchy determines the functioning of the lower levels while the lower levels cooperate the continuous components \(^2\). The hierarchical organization of FES controller facilitates the management of the complexity of human musculoskeletal system.

This paper presents a comprehensive review of the latest FES-based developments in the field of gait restoration. There have been extensive reviews about FES systems for drop foot corrections \(^7,10\), but a thorough review on
neuroprostheses for gait restoration in recent decade has not been established to the authors’ knowledge. We address advanced actuation and sensing techniques, especially focus on the open- and closed-loop control structures. The combination of FES with orthoses, often named hybrid orthoses, will be a part of this review, because of its increasing and promising use in the last few years [11–13] to compensate the user’s movement when FES alone is not enough to provide the desired function.

2 Sensor Techniques

A typical FES system can be decomposed into sensors, a control algorithm and a stimulation unit [14]. The sensors provide essential feedback to the controller, upon which the control system enables to adjusting stimulation outputs corresponding to parameter variations and interaction with the environment.

Wearable sensors have been widely used in FES control strategies: foot pressure insoles, foot switches, accelerometers, gyroscopes, inertial measurement units (IMUs), and electromyography (EMG) signals, etc. These techniques have their strengths in price and weight, which makes them particularly suitable for portable FES devices.

2.1 Foot switches/sensors

Foot contact with ground can be detected directly by foot switches embedded in shoes. Foot switches are commonly used in gait phase detection for FES control. A simple switch placed underneath the heel can distinguish the stance and swing phases[5], [15]. Various types of force transducers [16,17] have been utilized to measure exerted force from foot contact during gait cycles. These force sensitive resistors (FSRs) were placed under the heel and forefoot to detect gait phases, such as heel strike, heel off and toe off, in real time [18].

This technique is widely adopted in commercial products. For instance, a foot switch worn under the heel wirelessly triggers the stimulation of the peroneal nerve when the user’s foot being lifted is detected, facilitating the knee flexion during walking [19]. Due to its high reliability, it is also used to validate data acquired from other sensors. However, there are still several disadvantages: the sub-phases cannot be detected in the swing phase; the accuracy and reliability is affected by the placement of sensors on patients with gait dysfunction [20].

2.2 Accelerometer, gyroscope, and IMU sensors

Accelerometers and other inertial units have their distinct advantages, such like miniature size, low power consumption, low cost, high mobility and availability on the market. With respect to methods based on foot switches or FSRs imbedded insoles, the use of inertial units allows researchers to recognize a greater granularity of gait cycles, such as sub-phases of the swing phase. Moreover, kinematic parameters can be computed from measured data and then be fed into FES systems.

Williamson and Andrews [21] presented a gait phase detection system based on adaptive logic network algorithm (ALN) using a cluster of accelerometers attached to the shank for detecting the stance and swing phase during walking. Rueterbories et al. [22] validated a rule-based algorithm with the average radial and tangential acceleration of the foot, which allows the detection of four phases. A complex sensor system introduced by Mijailović et al. [23] consists of tri-axial accelerometers placed on the lower limb segments (thigh, shank and foot) respectively. The algorithm was based on a neural network trained by walking data of a healthy subject. The results compared with reference outputs obtained from foot switches showed acceptable accuracy for practical use.

The use of the angular velocity has been widely accepted in gait phase detection in recent decades and has become the preferred option compared to other inertial variables because angular velocity is less affected by vibrations. Catalfamo et al. [24] proposed a method of using a gyroscope placed on the shank for detecting the initial contact and foot off during level and incline walking trials. Mannini et al. [25] applied a hidden Markov model (HMM) to a database of the sagittal angular velocity of the foot during treadmill walking in order to define four gait events including heel strike, foot flat, heel off and toe off. The sagittal angular velocity gives the best performance with an accuracy > 90%. These studies demonstrate that angular velocity is a suitable quantity for the detection of up to six gait phases by using an appropriate machine learning algorithm.

Kotiadis et al. [26] proposed an inertial sensor detection system with a combination of two-axis accelerometers and one-axis gyroscope on the shank. A three phases model was carried out by four different algorithms tested on one stroke subject in different conditions of walking. The first algorithm used the radial linear acceleration, the second a combination of radial and tangential acceleration, the third the angular velocity, and the last one a combination of all
The combination of the inertial variables and foot switches/sensors allowed an increase of the number of gait phases by dividing the sub-phases during the swing phase. The kinematic and kinetic outputs computed using IMUs and foot pressures can also be used as essential sensory feedback in sensor-driven or closed-loop FES control.

2.4 Electromyography (EMG)

The EMG represents the action of a muscle in the form of a voltage potential that can be measured by surface electrodes to provide the timing and intensity of muscle contraction. EMG signals have been used in gait phase detection due to coordinated muscle activations of the lower extremity during gait cycles [32]. Lauer et al. [33,34] developed a fuzzy inference system that employs EMG signals to predict the occurrence of gait phases in children with cerebral palsy. The envelope of the EMG and their derivatives were used as inputs to the detection algorithm for the prediction of seven gait phases based on subject-oriented fuzzy rules under a supervised control scheme.

The EMG signal is less favored in wearable gait systems due to its complexity in acquisition and post-processing. Nevertheless, the evoked EMG by electrical stimulation can be employed to predict the resultant joint torque which provides a necessary prediction of the muscle response before achieving accurate joint torque controlled by FES [35]. The M wave provides essential information to estimate muscle fatigue [36]. Assessment of muscle activity from the EMG is difficult in the presence of electrical stimulation, particularly if more stimulation channels are applied while the electrodes are close to each other. Removal of the stimulation artifact is feasible but this approach has not been perfected yet [2].

2.5 Key enabling technologies

The miniaturization of sensors plays an essential role in the development of neuroprostheses, as the size of sensors has been one of the major issues to the daily use of FES systems. Recent development of microelectronics has allowed researchers to develop miniature circuits that entail data collection, pre-amplification, microcontroller functions and wireless communication[37]. Particularly relevant to applications in the field of neuroprostheses is advances in technology to manufacture microelectromechanical system (MEMS). MEMS technology enables the development of inertia sensors. The size and price of sensors have been significantly reduced.
by using batch fabrication techniques, which promotes the applications of miniaturized inertial sensors in monitoring activity or other healthcare systems.

Advances in material science enables the development of sensing fabric. The so-called ‘smart textiles’ are fabrics that feature electronics woven into them. The smart textiles have their advantages in flexibility and typical size that are not achievable by any other electronic techniques. Moreover, smart textiles could be an important factor to increase patients’ confidence to wear FES systems in their daily lives, as the electrical cables/circuits are intrinsic to the fabric making them less visible and noticeable to surrounding subjects. The fabric sensors have been used in a large field of biomedical signal measurement, such like electrocardiogram (ECG), electromyography (EMG), and electroencephalography (EEG). Shape-sensitive fabric incorporated with EMG sensing can be a promising way to simultaneously detect human movement and muscle activity enabling the development of soft FES prosthesis in near future.

2.5 Advanced enabling technologies

The miniaturization of sensors plays an essential role in the development of wearable neuroprostheses.

Besides the techniques mentioned above, researchers also exploited the application of other methods, such as, sensing fabric, magnetic sensors, flexible sensors, for the measurement of human motion. Advanced technology allows real-time monitoring of position, velocity, acceleration, orientation in space and other physical variables. The current sensors are miniature, and can be incorporated with a microprocessor and wireless communication circuitry.

3 FES control strategies

A neuroprosthesis based on FES aims to compensate for sensor-motor pathologies in hemiplegia and paraplegia. They replace or assist the functions generated by the CNS in humans. The muscle activated through FES is expected to perform in parallel with the human natural movement. Because the stimulated response of a muscle is non-linear, time varying and time delayed, especially for people with neurological impairment, the FES control strategy is still a veritably challenging step in the design of reliable and efficient clinical FES devices.

3.1 Open-loop systems

An open-loop system using FES for drop foot correction was firstly proposed by Liberson et al. The first FES system for gait restoration in paraplegic patients was proposed by Kralj et al. based on a simple on-off stimulation protocol. The stimulation of several channels was controlled by the patient using two press buttons that

---

Fig. 1 A model of a hierarchical controller for functional electrical stimulation (right panel) inspired by the simplified model of biological control (left panel).
were attached to the left and right handles of a walking frame. Following similar paradigm, ParaStep I became available on the market as the first commercial FES-based neuroprosthesis that consists of a portable stimulator with microprocessor, a walker frame for support, and six channels of bilateral adhesive electrodes \[44\]. The quadriceps muscle, peroneal nerve and gluteus maximus muscles are electrically stimulated to facilitate knee extension, flexion and hip flexion during the gait cycle.

An open-loop control strategy is a simple but reliable approach to control the timing of stimulation. All current commercially available FES systems are based on open-loop architectures. However, the open-loop control requires the continuous attention from the user, and would result in abnormal synchronization within gait events and limited number of gait event indications per gait cycle.

3.2 Closed-loop systems

Automatic FES control with the integration of sensory inputs was proposed to synchronize the control of multiple muscles for various motor tasks.

Matjačić et al.\[45\] pointed out that traditional proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control is not an optimal option for FES strategies because the derivative action of such controller will amplify high frequency noise, which may lead to system instability. Results from a model reference controller for knee movement based on FES control showed that the PID algorithm performed well at the extreme range of the angle but poor in the track of knee angle in the middle range\[46\]. Chang et al.\[47\] proposed a hybrid control model consisting of a neural network and a PID feedback control. The multi-level neural network was used and trained to obtain the inverse dynamic of the knee joint. The PID controller was used to compensate the residual errors caused by disturbances and modelling errors. A better performance with the implementation of a neural-PID controller compared to the conventional control methods was stated in this study.

The above sophisticated FES models considered the muscle as the actuators, the joint trajectory as the input and the electrical stimulation as the output. The effects of the upper CNS are not addressed. Nevertheless, the external stimulated muscle activity also results in a change in the ascending pathway, therefore the activity of antagonistic muscle and may also affect the environmental interaction. The mimesis of biological control may be used for a successful restoration of function \[48\]. The biological inspired model usually has a hierarchical structure as shown in Fig.1. The highest level uses the discrete control, while the lowest levels include dynamics control \[2\].

3.2.1 Discrete control

The gait locomotion control model is equivalent to biological control at the level of the brainstem and spinal cord. The model based on the low level of the CNS consists of two aspects: sensory feedback for timing and the individual activities at the joint level \[49\]. Finite state control (FSC) is known as a well-suited method that can be implemented in discrete control since it addresses the nonlinearity and time variability of the system \[50\]. A finite state controller operates based on three components: (1) a set of rules; (2) a dataset containing the facts of interest; (3) Interpreter of these facts and rules \[2\]. There are two ways to structure an FSC system, forward chaining (study first on the established fact) and backward chaining (start from the aim). The rules in FSC can be either defined by “hand crafted” method or automatically generated by machine learning algorithms \[51-54\].

The fundamental characteristics of FSC is sequential operation, which makes the method suitable for gait control as human gait consists of a sequential pattern of movements \[8\], as shown in Fig.2. The simplest FES strategy based on FSC employs single event-triggered control where electrical stimulation is turned on/off by the foot switch placed in the shoe insole for drop foot correction \[5\]. The use of FSC in gait rehabilitation was firstly proposed by Tomović and Meghee \[50\]. Following
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this paradigm, Andrews et al.\textsuperscript{[55]} presented the first FSC implemented in neural prostheses with a hand-crafted model. An FES controller consisting of a rule-based hierarchical structure detected the patient’s intention to step based on sensory signals measured from FSRs insole and a pressure sensor placed on the crutch handgrip, and stimulated the peroneal nerve to initiate flexion.

An FSC control is usually an implementation with a set of “IF-THEN” rules. “IF” describes the sensory states, while a “THEN” part defines the corresponding motor states, in other words, muscle activations. Kojić et al.\textsuperscript{[30]} developed a sensor driven based control for four channels stimulation in a neural prosthesis. Four muscles were selected, namely quadriceps, hamstrings, tibialis anterior and soleus muscles. The IF-THEN rules were created via mapping input data (joint angles and foot force signals) to output data (EMG signals) through iterative learning (IL) algorithm. The FES strategy switches the stimulation of the muscles on and off in the corresponding gait phases. Pappas et al.\textsuperscript{[29]} proposed a reliable gait phase detection system which can be implemented in neural prosthesis for walking. The system employs a gyroscope to measure the angular velocity of the foot and FSRs in shoe insole to measure the foot contact forces during walking. An ‘IF-THEN’ rule-based algorithm was designed to detect various locomotion patterns including climbing stairs, walking on the level ground, walking on the slope. The gait phases, namely heel strike, heel-off, swing, were discriminated during level ground walking. The system achieved above 99% accuracy for both able-bodied subjects and people with gait impairment.

Machine learning approaches have been well adapted in FSC as learning tools to generate rules for automatic control without acquiring existing knowledge. Kirkwood et al.\textsuperscript{[56]} developed a method for automatic gait phases classification by using IL algorithm. The ground contact information from different foot areas were sensory input signals, while phases within gait cycle were determined using joint angles. Given a set of sensory inputs from the gait phases, a decision tree was produced using a minimum number of sensors. Kostov et al.\textsuperscript{[53]} applied an artificial neural network (ANN) with a feedforward multilayer perception in which signals were translated to be binary and a structure of binary trees was created under supervised training. Chizeck et al.\textsuperscript{[57]} presented a fuzzy logic system for gait events classification where gait cycle was divided using kinematic variables as inputs to five gait phases.

The FSC method is a symbolic technique that relies on non-parametric models of movements in which set theory and symbols in a spatio-temporal space are used \textsuperscript{[51]}. It relatively alleviates the problems related to individual sensor noise and small variations in input signals. Therefore, it is an effective control method for the synchronization of muscle stimulations on the highest level of the FES control strategy.

3.2.2 Dynamic control

The lower level of a hierarchical model is responsible for the activation of specific muscle groups to provide functional movement. Most FES systems applied constant/ramp stimulation sequences to muscles \textsuperscript{[8,21,30,58]}. But continuous feedback at the joint level is essential for smooth and biological-like movement in a musculoskeletal system. The close-loop model in the lowest level should incorporate the properties of the sensory motor system in a given subject. Studies about regulating stimulation parameters with precise control of stimulated muscle force, torque, kinematic or kinetic data during locomotion have been reported \textsuperscript{[59,60]}. One essential issue that remains to be resolved is the time variability of the muscle response, e.g., muscle fatigue. The complex patterns of muscle activities are associated with natural movements. The force-length and force-velocity relationships have been estimated in \textsuperscript{[60,61]}.

Researchers suggested that model-based control is a crucial and efficient method for simulating a gait locomotion and response to muscle fatigue \textsuperscript{[62–65]}. Using simulation model internal disturbance would be avoided and the muscular force output would be optimized. The musculoskeletal model plays an important role in developing a reliable closed-loop controlled neuroprosthesis. Nevertheless, model-based control strategy is not suitable in practical FES systems in nowadays.
Franken et al. [66] presented an iterative PID controller based on gait cycles to maintain the desired hip angle range by applying the stimulation on the hip flexors, hamstring and quadriceps. However, the control tends to be late or oscillatory as a result of the large time delay in the musculoskeletal system. From the viewpoint of patient safety and accuracy of control, conventional PID control may not be suitable in the control of clinical neural prosthesis.

The hybrid controller with both feedforward and feedback controllers was proposed: the forward controller enables fast movement with delay, while the feedback controller is able to compensate for disturbance. The use of a combination of neural network and PID has been exploited in [47,67]. The neuro-PID controllers have a better performance compared to that of conventional PID controller.

Existing parameter variation, time-delay, nonlinearity in muscle activation, muscle dynamics and skeletal dynamics are not negligible [68]. The adaptive algorithms, such as artificial neural network [69], fuzzy network [70], iterative error-based learning [71], have been used to adjust stimulation parameters which would help the systems deal with the uncertainties. In recent few years, sliding model control theory has been successfully implemented in FES control [63]. Slide model control theory is a powerful and robust control method to deal with the uncertainties, nonlinearities and bounded external disturbances [64]. Kobravi et al. [65] proposed a robust adaptive controller based on the combination of an adaptive nonlinear compensator with a sliding model control (SMC) model, regarding each muscle joint as a subsystem and individual controller. Each controller operates solely on its associate subsystem while the interaction between the subsystem is seen as external disturbance. The controller would regulate the interaction between agonist and antagonist muscles under different conditions. The control of agonist and antagonist activities in the ankle was therefore first exploited in this study.

### 3.3 Commercial systems

Currently, FES-based systems in the markets range from externally worn portable surface stimulators, to partially implantable solutions where a stimulator and electrodes are implanted in humans. All systems are based on a simple FSC model in which the lowest level of the model is open-loop. Sensors are used to time the stimulation but not for further regulation of muscle response. The preset parameters are tuned by qualified clinicians.

Most well-known FES systems have their main focus on foot drop correction, such as Ness L300 (Bioness Inc., Valencia, CA, USA), Walkaide (Innovative Neurotronics, Austin, TX), ActiGait (Neurodan A/S, Aalborg, Denmark). It may be because of the limit in stimulation channels of a portable stimulator and need of the simplicity so that the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Channels</th>
<th>Pulse type</th>
<th>Stimulation Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pulse width (µs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActiGait [39]</td>
<td>Implant</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Balance symmetrical</td>
<td>Up to 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIMuSTEP [68]</td>
<td>Implant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Balance symmetrical</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParaStep I [90]</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Single Pulse</td>
<td>120 to 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ness L300 [72]</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Balance symmetrical</td>
<td>250/450/650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ness L300 Plus [92]</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Balance symmetrical</td>
<td>250/450/650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odstock 2 [90]</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Balance symmetrical</td>
<td>7 to 365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odstock Pace [91]</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Balance symmetrical</td>
<td>Up to 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkaide [88]</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Balance symmetrical</td>
<td>25 to 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RehaMove [92]</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Balance symmetrical</td>
<td>0 to 130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
user is comfortable to use it in their daily life. These devices for foot drop correction have been well reviewed in\,[7], detailed in Tab.1. Therefore, systems whose concern is to restore gait but not only correct drop foot are discussed in this paper.

Ness L300 Plus (Bioness Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) was developed based on the Ness L300 with an addition of thigh stimulation unit. It delivers electrical stimulations to the common peroneal nerve, hamstrings and quadriceps. A wireless heel switch is used to switch on/off the electrical stimulations and the current intensity is controlled via a hand-held controller. Van Swigchem et al.\,[22] reported similar increase in gait velocity and stride length of patients with stroke compared to an active foot orthosis. Patients had a high satisfaction level of FES-based neural prosthesis due to improved functional ability and the feel of active strengthening\,[23].

RehaMove system (Hasomed GmbH) has 8 channels to stimulate up to 8 muscles. The system was designed to accomplish various movement therapies, such as walking and cycling\,[74]. The stimulation mode can be set up for individual patients. During gait rehabilitation, the stimulation statues of each muscle are switched by an external press button controlled by therapists as shown in Fig.3. This system is used in hospital or clinic for rehabilitation training program.

4 Hybrid FES and exoskeleton systems

The challenges in FES limit its widespread for gait restoration. The muscle fatigue prevents long stimulation periods, resulting in a limited walking distance. The precise trajectory of joint movements remains to be

![Fig.3: RehaMove system is used in gait rehabilitation therapy for patient with paraplegic. Reproduced with permission from HASOMED GmbH.](image)

![Fig.4: Cooperative control approach \([12]\). The figure depicts physical interaction (red line), cooperative control command (blue line) and controller outputs (black line).](image)
unresolved due to the complexity of the musculoskeletal system. These drawbacks prevent the existing FES systems from widespread practical use.

The combination of FES and orthoses has emerged as a promising approach to achieve gait compensation and restoration during the last decade. A study showed that the inclusion of FES provides little improvement in energy cost [73]. However, the addition of FES to orthotic systems generates the muscle power, which minimizes the external power requirement, and would result in a lighter orthotic system. Moreover, considering the therapeutic effects of the use of FES, such hybrid systems should promote more effective neural plasticity.

As an essential improvement of FES-based neuroprosthesis, the state of the art of hybrid orthoses that aim to compensate gait functions by delivering and controlling power to the lower limb joints are reviewed in the section.

Several commercial orthotic devices are currently available in the market, such like Rewalk, and Ekso [76,77]. However, the community ambulation is limited due to the bulky size of these orthoses.

The first implementation of a hybrid system that combined reciprocal gait orthosis and 4 channels of electrical stimulation was described in the 1990s [78]. Different systems have since been proposed with diverse actuations and control principles. However, they can fall in two main categories based on the activation principles: braking and active.

Early effects focused on integrating FES with passive orthotics, for instance, a reciprocating gait orthosis (RGO) [78-80]. A typical RGO is a passive device that can lock the knee and ankle in a neutral position for standing or stance phase. Later, a more natural-looking gait was generated when the joints of orthosis were only locked in the stance phase via sensory feedback [81,82]. Nandor et al. [83] at Case Western Reserve University proposed a hybrid neuroprosthesis with the combination of a hydraulically actuated exoskeleton and implanted FES system. The FES system supplies all active motor torques while the exoskeleton applies joint constraints according to detected gait phases. Such hybrid orthoses provide the improvement in energy consumption and walking distance [84]. The passive mechanism in orthotic part reduces the number of degree of freedom to simplify FES control.

A further hybrid neuroprosthesis aims to blend robotic exoskeletons and electrical stimulation to overcome the drawbacks of each approach while preserving their advantages [75]. A major challenging issue is the development of a control strategy that adequately manages the balance between FES and orthotic control in which the lack of muscle response in individuals with neurological injuries and the FES induced muscle fatigue can be compensated by robotic actuation.

Kobetic and Marsolais [85] developed a hybrid exoskeleton based on a 16 channels implanted FES system, allowing a trajectory control of the hip and knee joints. The FES system generates walking through a pre-programmed stimulation pattern, while the exoskeleton provides control based on gait events and transitions.

Del-Ama et al. [12] presented a cooperative control strategy of a hybrid neuroprosthesis. The controller consists of four components: (1) robotic joint controller, (2) FES controller, (3) muscle fatigue estimator, (4) a finite state machine (FSM), as shown in Fig.4. The FSM was designed to allow the controllers to work in a cooperative fashion, obtaining stimulation patterns, estimating muscle fatigue and reducing robotic assistance on the basis of the gait states.

5 Discussion
Since 1961, when the first neuroprosthesis was developed by Liberson et al [5], FES has been used as a promising tool to restore gait functions with its therapeutic effects in gait rehabilitation. Despite remarkable progress in the development of FES-based neuroprostheses, the concept of Liberson has been popularly used in commercial products.

Advances in stimulation and sensing technologies as well as the control strategies have contributed to the development of more efficient and reliable FES devices.
Muscle stimulators evolved into more portable and lightweight solutions [86]. The use of foot switch to trigger stimulation has been one of the most popular approaches in the fields of FES due to its ease of use and success in the gait phase detection [29,30,52,86]. However, reliability issues have been arisen regarding the foot switches/sensors where repetitive contact force eventually leads to deterioration of function. The addition of other sensing techniques provides adequate information, allowing the development and implementation of more complex algorithm in FES systems. The technical methods camp up ranging from the inertia [21–26], to joint position [47-65,87], sEMG [32-35], and flexible sensors [37-41]. These sensor solutions have been successfully used to estimate desired trajectories and gait states.

The control strategies of FES gait assisted systems have been presented in this review paper. The efficiency of open-loop control has been proven by existing commercial devices [44]. The improvement of stimulation and sensing technologies over the last decades has provided the possibility of applying the close-loop control strategy for FES gait assistance for practical use. The biologically inspired hierarchical structure has been widely accepted in the field of FES control to assist or restore gait functions. The FSCs are often utilized as the high level controller to determine the stimulation statues of muscle during locomotion while the dynamic control in the low level generates a smooth and natural movement with inputs provided by additional sensors.

An ideal FES control strategy is expected to work in parallel with human natural motor system during gait. Major issues in existing FES systems are that how to respond to internal (muscle fatigue, time delay in muscle response) and external disturbances. Researchers have devoted themselves to these challenges to develop FES control strategies that are robust to variations in systems over last decades. Classical closed-loop algorithms, e.g. PID control, have failed to provide satisfactory performance. Neural networks have been incorporated into the control schemes as they can learn to deal with complex and/or unknown nonlinearities. Chang et al. [47] presented a neuro-PID controller in which the control delay was reduced than that with conventional PID controller. But stability issues remained to be resolved due to their black-box structure, and off-line training is required [59]. Jonic et al. [70] compared the performance of three machine learning algorithms to predict the activation pattern of muscles and knee joint angle based on data recorded during FES-based walking. The authors emphasized the advantages of adaptive learning methods, e.g. iterative learning, fuzzy logic, that the generated rules are comprehensive and explicit compared to that generated by artificial neural network. These methods are critical as they address different hierarchical control levels, but are still unable to guarantee stability.

Several groups have developed and tested model-based control approaches to control gait in paraplegic. Modelling the musculoskeletal system can provide better insight of muscular force production and movement coordination principles [62]. Jezernik et al. [63] proposed a model-based, nonlinear controller based on sliding mode theory. The results in both simulation and actual experiment with spinal cord injuries showed that SMC is a useful control scheme to deal with uncertainties, nonlinearities and external disturbances. Continuing work of Jezernik and his colleagues, a hybrid controller based on SMC and adaptive control was developed to solve the ‘chattering’ phenomena in the original SMC model [65].

Studies in the area of FES up to date are promising but far to resolve major issues. A hybrid approach attempts to combine the FES and exoskeletons, aiming to compensate and/or rehabilitate gait in daily living where the joint net power is generated by a combination of the FES and the electromechanical actuators. Robotic actuations can compensate FES induced movements to achieve the desired trajectories during gait [13]. Currently most of the designs are intended to develop energy efficient systems to restore gait functions [12,75,84,85]. The reduced energy cost allows the development of portable exoskeletons. However, hybrid exoskeletons should also be able to adapt their performances and modes of operations according to the users’ residual functions. To the authors’ knowledge, this “assist-as-need” paradigm [75] has not been implemented in the field of hybrid exoskeletons. Study about optimal balance between the exoskeleton and FES-induced muscular forces is in their early stages [12]. All existing hybrid exoskeletons have undergone a form of
preliminary evaluation on user safety and energy consumption. Nevertheless, hybrid exoskeletons are emerging as a promising approach to restore gait functions for individuals with neurological impairments, especially for those who have a complete loss of gait ability.

6 Conclusion

Gait restoration is considered as a high priority among patients with walking disability. To restore walking, different approaches have been developed. Among these approaches, FES-based neuroprostheses have their unique therapeutic effects in gait rehabilitation by inducing the contraction of paralyzed muscles.

The state of the art in sensing techniques and FES control strategies have been reviewed in this paper. Advances in sensors have improved the development of portable FES devices. Meanwhile, the additional sensory feedback contributed to the improvement of control algorithms. Effective closed-loop FES control with a hierarchical structure enables implementation of real-time strategies to manage muscle performance during walking. However, many challenges remain due to the complexity of human neuromusculoskeletal system. To provide a more reliable and efficient system, the combination of FES and exoskeleton has been emerging in last decade. The hybrid exoskeleton is an auspicious solution to realize gait assistance and/or restoration for long time use in the near future.
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