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Research objectives

- A previous research project (Buchanan and McMenemy, 2010) investigating usability issues with PL websites found wide variation in digital services offered and associated terminology.
- This study further investigated PL digital services and in particular identification of major themes and trends.

Background

- Some public library websites compared to ‘digitised leaflets’ (Harden, 1998; McMenemy, 2007)
- Brinkley (2007): “many sites have grown in an unstructured and seemingly unplanned way, with services simply being added on as they become available”.
- A recent review of UK local authority websites by the Society of IT Managers (2010), incorporating PL websites, found that while overall visitor numbers to such websites increased by 22% in 2009, user satisfaction ratings dropped by 18%.
- How to present digital information “without confusion, duplication of effort and in a user friendly way” (Atherton, 2002).
Buchanan and McMenemy (2010) findings:

- Sample of 8 of 32 Scottish PL websites analysed to identify and compare range of services offered, extending to general usability observations.

- Key findings:
  - 202 discreet options (duplicates removed) identified across the 8 homepages. Minimum displayed 13, maximum 69.
  - A high incidence of ambiguous terminology (27%) and branded terms (20%) found.
  - Multiple organisation schemes utilised on all 8 homepages, with navigation found to be problematic.

Methodology

- Remote content analysis of all 32 Scottish public library websites conducted March-August 2010
- All options recorded, referenced and listed:
  - Options classified according to Buchanan and McMenemy (2010) model:
    - Provided by or accessed via the public library
    - Options further classified as:
      - Digital or non-digital service.
  - For the purpose of this study, we considered 1 is not a digital service.

What is a digital service?

- No standard definition of a digital service exists. We defined as: information service or resource accessed and/or provided by or accessed via the public library.

- What are the degrees of a digital service?
  1. Information on information (e.g. existence)
  2. Direct access to digital content (e.g. as provider)
  3. Indirect access to external digital content (e.g. as access provider)

- For the purposes of this study, we considered 1 is not a digital service.
Defining characteristics of a digital service

- Digital services can range from simple to more complex distributed and interactive systems, e.g.:
  - provision of online tools and virtual space for collaboration, sharing of content etc.,
  - online reference services,
  - more complex distributed and interactive systems such as digitised local archive collections linked to local school curriculums via virtual learning environments,
  - cross-institutional integrated digital collections.

Digital service categories (Buchanan and McMenemy, 2010)

Number of options available from homepage
NB – Services anonymised
Key usability observations

• Number of initial options on home pages ranged from 8 to 132 (average was 25).
• Rosenfeld and Morville (1998) argue that more than ten options can 'overwhelm' users.
• There was a high volume of ambiguous and branded terms.
• There was significant inconsistency in terminology evident, for example the following slide highlights the varied range of terms used for reference enquiry.

Example: variance in defining reference enquiry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Library</th>
<th>24 Hour Enquiry Services</th>
<th>Information Services</th>
<th>Online Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour Enquiry Services</td>
<td>Information Services</td>
<td>Online Resources</td>
<td>Reference Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/7 reference Internet &amp; Online Subscriptions</td>
<td>Quick Reference</td>
<td>Access information 24/7</td>
<td>Access 24/7 reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/7 Reference Information from Libraries - online information resources</td>
<td>Reference and Information</td>
<td>Access information 24/7</td>
<td>Access 24/7 reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour Libraries - Online Reference Enquiry</td>
<td>Ez-information</td>
<td>24 Hour Libraries - Online Reference Enquiry</td>
<td>Ez-information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Information Resources Online Information Services</td>
<td>Ez-information</td>
<td>Free Information Resources Online Information Services</td>
<td>Ez-information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Reference Resources Online Information Services</td>
<td>Ez-information</td>
<td>Free Reference Resources Online Information Services</td>
<td>Ez-information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information and Reference Services Online databases</td>
<td>Information and Reference Services Online databases</td>
<td>Information and Reference Services Online databases</td>
<td>Information and Reference Services Online databases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| PL as content or access provider by category

![PL as content or access provider by category chart]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EARLY LEARNING</th>
<th>SCHOLARSHIP</th>
<th>GENEALOGY AND HERITAGE</th>
<th>ENTERPRISE AND EMPLOYMENT</th>
<th>RECREATIONAL READING</th>
<th>HEALTH AND WELLBEING</th>
<th>COMMUNITY SUPPORT</th>
<th>REFERENCE ENQUIRY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content provided</td>
<td>Access provided</td>
<td>Content provided</td>
<td>Access provided</td>
<td>Content provided</td>
<td>Access provided</td>
<td>Content provided</td>
<td>Access provided</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Library as content or access provider?

- Access provision was most prevalent in reference services, especially around subscription services.
- As a ratio, was also prevalent in health and wellbeing, and enterprise and employment.
- In the latter two categories provision of access to library evaluated websites was frequent.
- Library created content was often seen in topics related to provision of childrens services, and genealogy and heritage.

Digital vs. non-digital service by category

Library as digital service provider

- Again, digital reference services was the most frequent category in this area.
- In the area of community support, digital services related to community information were seen.
- External links to reading sites was seen in recreational reading area, similarly in health and wellbeing.
Key findings and observations

- Access to digital libraries essential to social and economic mobility (Liu et al., 2006).
- However, wide variance in categorisation of digital services is a significant usability issue for PL websites and a potential barrier to user satisfaction.
- Successfully delivering digital services necessitates a high degree of collaborative working between PLs and partners (e.g., NHS, third sector, commercial).

Limitations

- Study limited in time/level of categorisation that could be applied.
- Presenting purely raw data for the first time today, data will be filtered more closely for an extended paper for publication in late 2011.
- Purpose of study is not to name/shame public libraries but to define patterns/trends in public library website provision and identify key issues for future development.
On-going further research at Strathclyde

- Development of an enhanced taxonomic structure providing a categorisation system and controlled vocabulary for digital services.
- Information seeking behaviour of different user groups will be examined to determine if public library websites satisfy needs.
- Investigating collaborative information architectures across multiple partners.
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