Reversible Control of Protein Corona Formation on Gold Nanoparticles Using Host–Guest Interactions
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ABSTRACT: When nanoparticles (NPs) are exposed to biological media, proteins are adsorbed, forming a so-called protein corona (PC). This cloud of protein aggregates hampers the targeting and transport capabilities of the NPs, thereby compromising their biomedical applications. Therefore, there is a high interest in the development of technologies that allow control over PC formation, as this would provide a handle to manipulate NPs in biological fluids. We present a strategy that enables the reversible disruption of the PC using external stimuli, thereby allowing a precise regulation of NP cellular uptake. The approach, demonstrated for gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), is based on a biorthogonal, supramolecular host–guest interactions between an anionic dye bound to the AuNP surface and a positively charged macromolecular cage. This supramolecular complex effectively behaves as a zwitterionic NP ligand, which is able not only to prevent PC formation but also to disrupt a previously formed hard corona. With this supramolecular stimulus, the cellular internalization of AuNPs can be enhanced by up to 30-fold in some cases, and even NP cellular uptake in phagocytic cells can be regulated. Additionally, we demonstrate that the conditional cell uptake of purposely designed gold nanorods can be used to selectively enhance photothermal cell death.
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Nanoparticles (NPs) have gained great momentum in biomedicine, owing to their applications in diagnosis, drug delivery, and multimodal imaging, among other areas.1−4 Gold NPs have been of particular interest because of their low intrinsic cytotoxicity, easy customization of morphology and surface functionalization, and the possibility of using their plasmonic properties to unleash biological responses.5−7 In the area of cancer and related cellular diseases, a number of applications for detecting and/or killing cancer cells have been proposed.8,9 However, biomedical application of these NP-based strategies can be hampered by insufficient cellular uptake and reduced in vivo transport.10 Additional limitations are related to scavenging by the mononuclear phagocyte system, which results in NPs being trapped in the liver and spleen,11 as well as to the lack of spatial and temporal control over their biological activity.

Many such limitations are related to the well-established tendency of NPs to adsorb biomolecules, physiological proteins, in particular, forming the so-called “protein corona” (PC). When NPs are in a biological milieu such as the bloodstream, plasma proteins readily bind to their surfaces and form a PC that affects their physicochemical properties and compromises their transport, targeting, and cell uptake capabilities.12,13 Indeed, PC formation usually hampers direct contact of NPs with cell membranes, in turn inhibiting their internalization.14,15

Therefore, a major challenge in this field of biological chemistry involves the development of methods that provide control over PC formation on the NPs surface. In this context, research efforts have been mainly focused on two strategies to deal with the PC issue. The first one relies on the development of methods to control PC composition and improve NP
biocompatibility, while the second strategy intends to prevent PC formation. Regarding the latter, the most common approach to reduce PC formation on NPs is based on pre-coating the NPs surface with hydrophilic polymers such as poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG). However, PEG coating can block protein adsorption only partially, and it has been reported that some plasma proteins (e.g., apolipoprotein E, IgG, etc.) can adsorb over PEG-coated surfaces. An alternative to PEG coating toward avoiding PC formation comprises the use of zwitterionic surface ligands. Strong electrostatic interactions between water molecules and zwitterionic ligands generate highly stable NPs, while
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**Figure 1.** Supramolecular control over PC formation. (A) Chemical structures of the guest molecule pyr and the host cage A (the chemical structure of only one edge of the tetrahedral cage A is shown for clarity). (B) Schematic illustration of the reversible control over PC formation on AuNPs, using a supramolecular host–guest complex between negatively charged pyr and positively charged cage A.
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**Figure 2.** NS2 synthesis and evaluation of its interaction with cage A. (A) Synthesis scheme and Zp characterization of NS1 and NS2. (B) Zp distributions for NS2 after addition of cage A. (black) $2 \times 10^{11}$ particles/mL suspension of NS2 in Milli-Q water ($-37 \pm 2$ mV). (red) After addition of $0.3 \mu$M of A ($+8 \pm 2$ mV). (green) After addition of $3 \mu$M of A ($+31 \pm 2$ mV). (purple) After addition of $5 \mu$M of A ($+32 \pm 3$ mV). (C) UV–Vis spectra of NS2 ($1.5 \times 10^{11}$ particles/mL) before and after addition of cage A. (black) NS2 in Milli-Q water. (red) After addition of $5 \mu$M of A. (green) After addition of $10 \mu$M of A. (D) Representative TEM corresponding to the sample with the red spectrum in (C).
minimizing nonspecific interactions with biomolecules.\textsuperscript{20} Zwitterionic ligands have been shown to inhibit PC formation completely in some specific cases,\textsuperscript{31} thereby leading to extended NP circulation lifetimes.\textsuperscript{22}

Recently, an oligocationic covalent cage \textit{A}, which enables the conversion of the negatively charged dye pyranine (\textit{pyr}) into a positively charged host–guest complex, has been described (Figure 1A).\textsuperscript{25} This macromolecular cage has high selectivity and nanomolar affinity ($K_g \approx 1.2$ nM) for \textit{pyr}, and the resulting interaction has been used in biological applications.\textsuperscript{4,25} Additionally, its small size and straightforward functionalization render cage \textit{A} a suitable candidate to be formulated into different dosage forms for use as a chemical stimulus.

We recently reported that small (2 nm) AuNPs carrying negatively charged pyranine molecules on their surface do not internalize into cells, whereas surface charge reversal by addition of cage \textit{A} promotes their cellular uptake.\textsuperscript{26} This switch is effective with small NPs, which do not tend to form a PC, but \textit{a priori} it might not work in the case of larger NPs. However, we envisioned that the host–guest interaction might be used as a handle to control the zwitterionic character of large NPs and, thereby, influence PC formation and cellular uptake. Herein we demonstrate that the PC formed on pyranine-functionalized 15 nm gold nanoparticles can be efficiently disrupted by judicious addition of the macromolecular cage \textit{A} (Figure 1B). Importantly, the initial state (adsorbed proteins) can be recovered by adding a molecular guest that outcompetes for the host macromolecular cage, thereby providing reversible control over PC formation. This approach can be additionally used for the programmed cellular uptake of gold nanorods, which allows establishing a cell-selective photothermal therapy.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Citrate-stabilized Au nanospheres (AuNSs, 15 nm diameter) were initially coated with thiolated amino-polyethylene glycol (HS-PEG-NH$_2$), resulting in AuNSs featuring exposed amino groups (NS1, Figure 2A). Subsequently, anionic pyranines bearing a carboxylic acid handle were covalently attached, to yield the expected \textit{pyr}-derivatized nanoparticles NS2. Successful functionalization of NS1 with \textit{pyr} was confirmed by zeta potential (Zp) measurements, since the Zp value shifted from +29 mV for NS1 to $-37$ mV for NS2 (Figure 2A). The colloidal stability of AuNSs during synthesis was verified by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and UV–vis spectroscopy (Supporting Information Figures S1 and S2). Fluorescence spectroscopy after cyanide digestion of NS2 showed that the average number of \textit{pyr} molecules per NP was ca. 400.

Prior to studying PC formation, we evaluated the supramolecular interactions between NS2 and cage \textit{A} using Zp measurements. We expected a shift of the initial negative Zp, arising from three negatively charged sulfonate groups in \textit{pyr}, toward less negative or even positive values upon interaction with cage \textit{A}. When an aqueous solution of cage \textit{A} (0.3 $\mu$M, 2 equiv with respect to the concentration of pyranine groups) was added to an aqueous dispersion of NS2 (2 $\times$ 10$^{11}$ particles/mL) Zp was found to shift from $-37 \pm 2$ to $+8 \pm 2$ mV, while further additions resulted in a further Zp shift up to $+32$ mV (Figure 2B). Importantly, absorbance spectra and TEM images confirmed that NS2 particles remained colloidal stable after completion of host–guest complexation (Figure 2C,D). Two types of PC are commonly distinguished, which are known as “hard corona” and “soft corona”. On the one hand, the hard corona (HC) is formed by proteins with high affinity for the NP and is located next to the NP surface.\textsuperscript{27,28} On the other hand, the soft corona includes proteins with lower affinity, which get exchanged over time, and can be readily detached upon repeated washing. Importantly, because the HC remains adsorbed onto the NPs during biophysical events, it exerts a significant influence over the NP physiological properties.\textsuperscript{29} Therefore, we aimed at evaluating the effect of cage \textit{A} on the formation and stability of a HC on NS2.

We prepared four dispersions of NS2 in 200 $\mu$L of diluted fetal bovine serum [FBS, 5% in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)], containing different amounts of cage \textit{A} (0, S, 10, and 20 $\mu$M), which was added before FBS. Dynamic light scattering measurements confirmed the colloidal stability of NS2 in the presence of 5% FBS (Figure S3). After incubation for 30 min at room temperature, the nanoparticles were separated from nonadsorbed proteins by centrifugation and then extensively washed to remove all unbound proteins, until the supernatant was free of any detectable protein as indicated by the Bradford assay.\textsuperscript{30} Subsequently, the remaining adsorbed proteins forming the HC were detached from NS2 by a standard treatment with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (10%) and dithiothreitol (DTT; 0.5 mM) at 90 °C for 10 min.\textsuperscript{31} The protein content was analyzed by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), which showed that as little as 5 $\mu$M of cage is enough to reduce by more than half the amount of adsorbed HC proteins, whereas using 20 $\mu$M of \textit{A} led to a fivefold reduction (Figure S4).

To confirm that the above effect is due to interactions between cage \textit{A} and \textit{pyr} on NS2, we performed control experiments using NPs lacking the pyranine moieties. We synthesized AuNPs coated with a thiolated poly(ethylene glycol) (NS-PEG) featuring a terminal methyl ether (HS-PEGS0000-O–CH$_3$, Figure S6). HC formation around these NPs was evaluated, both in the absence and in the presence of 10 $\mu$M \textit{A}, using the above procedure. As expected, no significant differences in the amount of adsorbed protein were observed between both samples (Figure S4), thus excluding the possibility that a direct interaction between cage \textit{A} and FBS proteins is the reason behind PC reduction. To rule out the possibility of a direct interaction between cage \textit{A} and the NP surface, which might be hindered by the PEG polymer in the case of NS2, we studied another NP type, NS-Lac, with a short coating ligand bound to the NP surface. As expected, no difference was observed in the amount of PC detected upon addition of cage \textit{A} or in its absence (Figure S7). To further confirm that the effect of cage \textit{A} is mediated by interactions with pyranine, we used the intrinsic fluorescence of this chromophore, which is partially quenched upon formation of the host–guest complex.\textsuperscript{23} We observed that addition of increasing concentrations of cage \textit{A} to a colloidal dispersion of NS2 in the presence of FBS (5% in PBS) does quench the fluorescence emission of pyranines, again evidencing host–guest complex formation, even in the presence of the proteins present in FBS (Figure S8).

While the above experiments demonstrate that the presence of cage \textit{A} in the buffer affects the formation of a HC, the question arises whether addition of this macromolecule to NPs that are covered by a preformed HC can also disrupt the
We anticipated this goal to be challenging, since the HC is assumed to be tightly bound to the NPs surface. We additionally wondered whether the effect of cage A could be reversed by adding free pyr (8-hydroxypyrene-1,3,6-trisulfonic acid), which should compete for cage A and hence might restore the initial PC composition on the NPs. Therefore, we incubated NS2 with diluted FBS (5% in PBS) for 30 min at 25 °C (total volume = 0.6 mL). We then separated a 0.4 mL aliquot of this dispersion and added cage A (20 μM), and the resulting mixture was kept at 25 °C for 10 min. Finally, to the 0.2 mL of the resulting dispersion, we added 50 μM pyranine, and the mixture was incubated at 25 °C for 10 min. After nonadsorbed proteins were removed, we applied the above-described protocol for HC release to the three resulting 0.2 mL solutions, followed by both SDS-PAGE analysis (Figure S5) and the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Figure 3A). The results revealed that addition of cage A (20 μM) to NS2 featuring a preformed PC resulted in removal of ca. 75%−79% of the HC after 10 min of incubation. This value is similar to that obtained in the experiment where the cage was added prior to FBS (82%). This result indicates that most of the proteins forming the HC are not irreversibly adsorbed to the surface of NS2, as they can be released under biocompatible conditions upon addition of the positively charged cage A. The BCA assay was also performed to quantify the protein recovered in the washing steps. Such experiments showed that, in the absence of cage A, only ca. 50% of the initial proteins used in the incubation were recovered in the washing steps.
The assay showed significant diﬀerences in the relative abundance of several proteins after addition of cage A (see Table S1, steps 1 and 2), thereby proving that not all proteins are equally aﬀected by host–guest complex formation. Furthermore, to evaluate whether the PC composition can also be reversibly controlled, ﬁve steps of cage A and pyr additions were performed with NS2, and an LC-MS/MS assay was performed for each step (Figure 3C and Tables S1 and S2). These results showed similar levels of relative abundance for each protein in the steps with high protein adsorption (steps 1, 3, and 5). Accordingly, the steps with low protein adsorption also gave similar values (steps 2, 4, and 6), thereby conﬁrming the ability of our approach to reversibly regulate the composition and the amount of proteins of the HC on NPs.

A detailed analysis of PC composition in all steps allowed us to identify as many as 26 diﬀerent proteins whose abundance after addition of cage A was reduced or increased by at least twofold (see Table S1) and 10 of them with a reduction by one-third (Figure 3C). The protein with the greatest reduction was β-enolase, which presents an overall reduction of 85% in the steps with low protein adsorption. The biological function of this protein is related to the development and regeneration of muscles. The only protein with more than twice increased presence after addition of cage A was the Tudor domain-containing protein 7, which is a component of speciﬁc cytoplasmic RNA granules involved in post-transcriptional regulation of several genes.

In an attempt to understand the variation in PC composition, we compared the isoelectric points, molecular weights, and aliphatic indexes of the diﬀerent proteins. No correlation was observed between these parameters and variations in relative abundance. For instance, comparing the 10 proteins showing the most signiﬁcant variations after cage A addition (Figure 3C), we found molecular weights between 50 and 500 kDa, isoelectric points between 5.3 and 8.7, and aliphatic indexes between 90 and 54. However, we also found that 8 of 26 proteins with the highest variation were involved in negative regulation of endopeptidase activity and 6 in blood coagulation. This unexpected speciﬁcity likely emerges from a structural similarity between local regions of proteins exhibiting similar biological roles and further proves the biological potential of our host–guest recognition strategy as a tool to control PC composition.

The above results conﬁrmed that, via the use of host–guest interactions between cage A and exposed pyr, it is possible to disrupt protein adsorption on the NPs surface and that, by the addition of deﬁned amounts of cage A and pyr, we can achieve eﬃcient and reversible regulation on PC formation. While the precise molecular mechanism behind PC disruption is not entirely clear, it might be related to the presence of zwitterionic surface ligands, that is, ligands carrying both positive and negative charges, whereas in the presence of A the recovery increased up to 86% (Figure S9).

The former assay also demonstrated that addition of pyr facilitates the recovery of the initial HC, likely because cage A is removed from the NP surface (Figure 3A). Moreover, reversible control of PC formation over NS2 could be repeatedly performed by successive additions of cage A and free pyr, thereby conﬁrming the reversible character of this adsorption switching process (Figure 3B) and the possibility of regulating PC formation on AuNPs through external additives.

For completeness, NS2 was also incubated with 100% rat serum for 10 min. Subsequently, 100 μM of cage A was added, and the BCA assay was again performed to compare the amount of protein remaining on NS2, before and after cage addition. A decrease of 30% in protein adsorption was observed after cage addition, thereby proving that the supramolecular strategy can even be applied under in vivo-like, complex biological conditions (Figure S10).

As a control experiment to evaluate whether other positively charged polycationic molecules can be used instead of cage A, NS2 was incubated with 20 μM of spermine, in the presence of diluted FBS (5% in PBS). The BCA assay showed a reduction of 20% in the amount of protein adsorption, which is far less eﬃcient than the 80% reduction observed for cage A under the same conditions (Figure S11). This result highlights the importance of a high aﬃnity between both oppositely charged molecules, to obtain an eﬃcient disruption of the PC.

Further insights regarding the eﬀect of supramolecular interactions between A and NS2 on PC composition were obtained through a comprehensive comparison between electrospray liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) proﬁles of protein coronas formed on NS2 dispersed in 5% FBS, before and after addition of 10 μM cage A. This assay showed signiﬁcant diﬀerences in the relative abundance of several proteins after addition of cage A (see Table S1, steps 1 and 2), thereby proving that not all proteins are equally aﬀected by host–guest complex formation. Furthermore, to evaluate whether the PC composition can also be reversibly controlled, ﬁve steps of cage A and pyr additions were performed with NS2, and an LC-MS/MS assay was performed for each step (Figure 3C and Tables S1 and S2). These results showed similar levels of relative abundance for each protein in the steps with high protein adsorption (steps 1, 3, and 5). Accordingly, the steps with low protein adsorption also gave similar values (steps 2, 4, and 6), thereby conﬁrming the ability of our approach to reversibly regulate the composition and the amount of proteins of the HC on NPs.

Figure 4. Uptake experiments in HeLa cells. (A) All NPs were incubated with cells using DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS, for 24 h, at 9 × 1010 NP/mL. For NS2 + cage A, 5 μM cage A was used. Zp values for each NP are indicated below the graph. The degree of internalization was quantiﬁed by ICP-MS. (B) TEM images of a HeLa cell incubated under the conditions described for NS2 + A.
negative charges, which favor the formation of a tight hydration layer around the NPs. Indeed, although the supramolecular nanocomposite formed by pyranine containing NS2 and cage A presents a similar Zp (+32 mV) as NS1 (+29 mV, one amino group per PEG ligand), 10 times more proteins were found to adsorb on NS1 than on the mixture of NS2 and 10 μM of A (Figures S12 and S4).

As mentioned in the introduction, PC formation hinders the contact between AuNPs and mammalian cell membranes, thereby preventing efficient internalization. We anticipated that our supramolecular approach might promote cellular uptake and eventually implement a stimuli-responsive control on the internalization. We performed 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) cell viability assays to assess the toxicity of NS2, both in the absence and in the presence of cage A, using human HeLa cells in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) medium containing 10% FBS. No cytotoxicity was observed for NS2 at concentrations up to 9 × 1010 particles/mL after 24 h of incubation (Figure S13). A slight decrease in cell viability (~10%) was observed when incubation was performed in the presence of 5 μM cage A. This toxicity increase might be attributed to a superior NP uptake under these conditions (vide infra). Considering the low-to-absent levels of AuNP-induced cytotoxicity, we used the selected concentration (9 × 1010 particles/mL) for all subsequent experiments with HeLa cells.

Cell uptake of NS2 by HeLa cells, in the absence and presence of cage A, was studied using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) to quantify the amount of internalized AuNPs. Incubation of HeLa cells with NS2 for 24 h, using DMEM with 10% FBS and appropriate washings, resulted in the internalization of 5800 ± 2000 particles/cell. When the same experiment was repeated in the presence of 5 μM cage A, cellular uptake increased by over an order of magnitude (74 000 ± 4000 particles/cell, Figure 4A). By using a larger amount of cage A (10 μM), we found a further increase in cellular uptake (up to 96 000 ± 2000 particles/cell, 17-fold with respect to the experiment without cage A). Additionally, in agreement with SDS-PAGE PC studies, when incubation was performed using 5 μM cage A and 10 μM free pyr, cellular uptake decreased by half (35 000 ± 10 000 particles/cell), as compared to the same experiment in the absence of pyr. Bio-TEM images of HeLa cells exposed to NS2 and cage A confirmed intracellular localization of AuNPs (Figure 4B), showing a large number of NPs clustered in cellular vesicles, as expected for an endosomal internalization pathway. In agreement with ICP-MS results, when the same incubation was performed in the absence of cage A, almost no NPs were found inside cells in TEM images (Figure S14).

One of the main limitations that hamper the application of NPs in medicine is the low delivery efficiency. For example, the delivery efficiency of purpose-designed NPs for solid tumors is usually less than 1% of the injected dose. The sequestration of NPs by macrophages of the mononuclear phagocytic system is one of the main reasons behind this problem. To evaluate the generality of HC modulation as a means to regulate NP internalization, and the possibility of this strategy to avoid the mononuclear phagocytic system, cell uptake experiments were also performed using a phagocytic macrophage-like cell line (J774). It has been reported that the PC has a lower impact on the overall internalization efficiency for macrophage cell lines. Incubation of J774 cells with NS2 (3 × 1010 particles/mL), under the conditions described for HeLa cells, induced a relatively low internalization (4977 ± 1000 particles/cell). When the experiment was repeated in the presence of 5 μM cage A, NP uptake increased by 1 order of magnitude (50 000 ± 6000 particles/cell). These results confirm that the supramolecular strategy can be used to enhance cell internalization of relatively large NPs into various cell types, including those from the mononuclear phagocyte system, but they also indicate that the approach might eventually be used to hide NPs from the mononuclear phagocytic system.

In our previous work, we applied the cage/pyranine complex to trigger cellular internalization of 2 nm AuNPs, which have low nonspecific protein adsorption due to their small size, and concluded that the uptake switch of small NPs was related to the change in surface charge from negative to positive. We thus explored whether the switch in cell uptake for larger NS2 (15 nm) was associated with HC disruption, rather than to a mere inversion in surface charge. We therefore performed control uptake experiments with HeLa cells, using NS-PEG and amino-terminated NS1, in the presence of FBS. NS-PEG features neutral AuNP surfaces (Zp −3 mV), whereas NS1 particles are positively charged (Zp +29 mV), similar to NS2 in the presence of cage A (Zp +32 mV). Note that the size of the PC in these NPs was similar to that of NS2 in the absence of cage (Figures S4 and S12). Upon incubation, we determined internalization of 600 ± 400 particles/cell for NS-PEG and 2000 ± 1000 particles/cell for NS1, that is, a much lower uptake efficiency than for NS2 in the presence of cage A (74 000 ± 4000 particles/cell, Figure 4A). In agreement with the HC disruption mechanism, when the incubation of cells was performed in the absence of FBS, that is, without the PC effect, the uptake of NS2 in the presence of cage was only 3 times higher than that of NS1, 210 000 ± 40 000 and 74 000 ± 10 000 particles/cell, respectively. Taking into consideration these results and the poor cell internalization ability of cage A, we can safely conclude that the internalization of NS2 promoted by a supramolecular mechanism is related to the disruption of the HC. However, it has been shown that, in some cases, zwitterionic NPs display a lower cellular uptake than cationic NPs, even in the presence of FBS. Therefore, we propose that the dramatic increase in cellular uptake observed in our system stems from a synergistic effect between PC suppression and the charge switch from negative to positive.

Finally, considering that AuNPs induce local heating when illuminated by a resonant laser, we explored the application of the conditional cell uptake strategy to enhance photothermal therapy. Gold nanorods (AuNRs) are particularly interesting for hyperthermia applications, because their longitudinal localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) can be readily tuned within the near-infrared (NIR) region (700–850 nm), that is, within the first biological transparency window. Although AuNRs can efficiently absorb and scatter light, absorption largely dominates for small NRs, which is highly beneficial for efficient plasmonics-based photothermal therapy. In this context, we investigated the application of our conditional uptake strategy with small AuNRs (29 × 9 nm) featuring a longitudinal LSPR centered around 800 nm (Figure 5a). AuNRs (NR1) were synthesized by seeded growth, and their surface was subsequently decorated with pyranines, following the same protocol used for NS2, thus resulting in an equivalent NR3 (Figure S15).
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for 20 min. The viability of cells treated with NR3 only was roughly the same as that of non-irradiated cells. However, for those cells incubated with NR3 in the presence of cage A, the viability was reduced to 34% due to heat released from NR3 during laser irradiation (Figure 5D).

CONCLUSIONS
We have presented an efficient strategy for stimuli-responsive, reversible control of protein corona formation over gold nanoparticles. The approach is based on the supramolecular interaction between surface-exposed pyrancies and positively charged supramolecular cages, resulting in the generation of PC-disrupting zwitterionic ligands. The strategy is fully reversible, so that the PC status can be fully controlled by varying amounts of cage A and externally added pyranines. Cellular uptake experiments with both cancer and phagocytic cells confirmed that this stimuli-responsive PC regulation provides an accurate handle over cell internalization of AuNPs, which is enhanced in the presence of the external cage. It was observed that PC composition after addition of the cage was preferentially impoverished in specific serum proteins with comparable functions. Therefore, this conditional release of specific proteins, which can be reversibly controlled by the addition of cage A and pyr, might find interesting biological applications. Finally, preliminary optical hyperthermia studies demonstrate the feasibility of regulating the laser-induced cytotoxicity of Au nanorods using external additives. This dual control on the toxicity using light and organic molecules is promising and deserves further studies, owing to its biomedical potential.

METHODS
Synthesis of NS1. Citrate-stabilized Au nanospheres (Au NSs) of 14.8 nm of diameter were synthesized according to the standard Turkevich method.\(^{43}\) An aqueous suspension of as-synthesized citrate-stabilized Au NSs (0.4 mM in Au, 2 mL) was placed in a 2 mL centrifuge tube (1 mL solution in each tube). Then, a solution 10 mM of α-amino-o-mercapto poly(ethylene glycol) hydrochloride (HSPEG-NH\(_2\)) (MW = 5000, 68 μL, calculated to provide 200 molecules per nm\(^2\)) was added under stirring to each tube and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Subsequently, AuNPs were centrifuged (18 500 g, 30 min) and washed with water three times. Finally, the tubes were centrifuged again to increase the concentration, and the concentrated dispersions from both tubes were mixed.

Synthesis of NS2. Pyr-CO\(_2\)H was covalently conjugated to NS1 with the aid of 1-ethyl-3-(3 dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS). Pyr-CO\(_2\)H (7.0 mg, 0.012 mmol), EDC (3.4 mg, 0.018 mmol), and NHS (2.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) were dissolved in 0.6 mL of 2-ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer (0.1 M, pH = 6.4). The mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature to activate the dye. This solution was subsequently added to a 200 μL solution of NS1 ([Au] = 1.44 mM) and stirred at room temperature for 14 h. The AuNPs were then centrifuged (18 500 g, 30 min) and washed several times with phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.5) and Milli-Q water, until no Pyr-CO\(_2\)H was detected in the washing phase. Finally, the nanoparticles were dispersed in 500 μL of Milli-Q water.

Synthesis of NR1. NR1 (longitudinal LSPR at 800 nm; length 29 nm; width 9 nm) was prepared using the seeded-growth method with some modifications, as previously described by Nikoobakhht and El-Sayed.\(^{36,37}\) Seeds were prepared by the standard cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)/NaBH\(_4\) procedure: 25 μL of a 0.05 M HAuCl\(_4\) solution was added to 4.7 mL of a freshly prepared 0.1 M CTAB solution, followed by injection of 300 μL of a freshly prepared 0.01 M NaBH\(_4\) solution, under vigorous stirring (1500 rpm). Excess borohydride was consumed by aging the seed solution for 30 min at room temperature

![Figure 5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b08752)

Figure 5. (A) UV–Vis–NIR spectrum of NR3. (B) Cell uptake of NR3 (9 × 10\(^{10}\) NR/mL) by HeLa cells, in the absence and in the presence of cage A (5 μM), as determined by ICP-MS. The incubation was performed in DMEM medium with 10% FBS for 24 h. (C) Schematic representation of the protocol followed in the NIR-laser hyperthermia experiments. HeLa cells were first incubated with NR3 (9 × 10\(^{10}\) NR/mL) for 24 h in DMEM medium with 10% FBS, with or without 5 μM cage A. Subsequently, noninternalized nanorods were removed by washing with PBS, and cells were irradiated with an 808 nm diode laser (Lumics, LU808T040) for 20 min at a power density of 3.2 W/cm\(^2\). Cell viability was calculated using the MTT assay (average of triplicate wells ± standard deviation). (D) Results of the irradiation experiment. The control bar (black) represents cell viability of the cells in the absence of cage A and NR3. Blue bars represent incubation without irradiation, proving that uptake of NR3 in the presence of cage A did not induce cytotoxicity.

As expected from our results with nanospheres, when cage A (5 μM) was mixed with NR3 in dilute FBS (5%), the amount of adsorbed proteins in the HC was reduced by more than half with respect to the system without cage (Figure S16). The cellular uptake behavior of NR3 was similar to that of NS2: that is, when a dispersion of 9 × 10\(^{10}\) NR/mL was added to HeLa cells and the mixture was incubated for 24 h using DMEM with 10% FBS, we determined a cellular uptake of only 4000 ± 1000 NR/cell, which increased to 126 000 ± 20 000 particles/cell (30-fold increase) in the presence of 5 μM cage A (Figure 5B).

We then explored whether the cage-responsive uptake of NR3 could be used for conditional control of cytotoxicity, in combination with NIR irradiation. HeLa cells were incubated with NR3 in DMEM with 10% FBS for 24 h, both in the absence and in the presence of cage A. Control experiments showed that NR3 is not cytotoxic at this concentration, regardless of the presence of cage A (Figure 5D, blue bars). After incubation, cells were washed twice with PBS to remove noninternalized AuNRs and then irradiated using a fiber-coupled 808 nm diode laser for 20 min. The viability of cells treated with NR3 only was roughly the same as that of non-irradiated cells. However, for those cells incubated with NR3 in the presence of cage A, the viability was reduced to 34% due to heat released from NR3 during laser irradiation (Figure 5D).
prior to use. In a typical synthesis of a 50 mL Au NR solution, 500 μL of 0.01 M AgNO₃, 1 mL of 1 M HCl, 500 μL of a 0.05 M HAuCl₄, and 800 μL of 0.1 M acetic acid solution were added to 50 mL of 0.1 M CTAB. Then, 3 mL of the seed solution was added under stirring. The mixture was left undisturbed at 25 °C for at least 6 h. The resulting gold nanorods were centrifuged in 50 mL tubes (5000g, 60 min) to remove spherical impurities. The precipitate was discarded, and the supernatant was centrifuged at 18 500g for 45–60 min in 2 mL tubes. The precipitate was redispersed in 4 mL of a 2 mM CTAB solution. The concentrated Au NR colloidal centrifuged again at 18 500g for 45–60 min. This step was repeated twice.

**Synthesis of NR2**. An aqueous dispersion of NR1 (0.4 mM in Au, 1 mM of CTAB, 10 mL) was placed in 2 mL centrifuge tubes (1 mL solution in each tube). The dispersions were centrifuged (18 500g, 30 min) to reduce the volume to 100 μL. At this point, a 10 mM solution of HS-PEG-NH₂ (MW = 5000, 71 μL, calculated to provide 200 molecules per nm²) was added under stirring to each tube. Subsequently, 800 μL of water was added, and the vials were stirred for 3 h. Finally, the AuNPs were centrifuged (18 500g, 30 min) and washed with water three times. Finally, the tubes were centrifuged again to increase the concentration, and the concentrated dispersions from both tubes were mixed.

**Synthesis of NR3**. Pyr-CO₂H was covalently conjugated to NR2 with the aid of EDC and NHS. Pyr-CO₂H (7.0 mg, 0.012 mmol), EDC (3.4 mg, 0.018 mmol), and NHS (2.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) were dissolved in 0.5 mL of MES buffer (0.1 M, pH = 6.4). The mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature to activate the dye. This solution was subsequently added to a 200 μL solution of NR2 ([Au] = 1.40 mM) and stirred at room temperature for 14 h. Finally, AuNPs were centrifuged (18 500g, 30 min) and washed several times with phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.5) and Milli-Q water, until no Pyr-CO₂H was detected in the washing phase. Finally, the NRs were dispersed in 500 μL of Milli-Q water.

**Quantification of Pyranine in NS2**. The amount of pyranine dye bound to NS2 was determined by ICP-MS, for the determination of the amount of gold. The average diameter was estimated from TEM micrographs of NS2 (14.8 ± 0.9 nm), and the number of gold atoms per NS2 was deduced according to the density of gold (1.932 × 10⁻²⁰ g/nm²). The amount of pyr in solution was calculated using fluorescence spectroscopy after etching of NS2 with KCN (380 nm excitation wavelength). The fluorescence signal obtained at 430 nm after etching was used to calculate the amount of pyr in solution, using a calibration curve for Pyr-CO₂H. The number of pyr molecules per NS was ca. 408.

**Proteins Immobilized on NPs in the Presence and Absence of Cage A**. To study the protein corona on AuNPs, a 20 g/nm³) were mixed with 20 μL of Novex Tris-Glycine SDS buffer (1X Thermo Scientific), 5 μL of NuPAGE reducing buffer (10X, Thermo Scientific), and 10 μL of Milli-Q water. The mixture was boiled for 2 min at 95 °C. Treated samples were then loaded in Novex Tris-Glycine MiniGel of 10 wells 4⁻²⁰% (Thermo Scientific), and the gels were run for 45 min at 120 mV in Novex Tris-Glycine SDS (1X) running buffer. Staining was performed with Coomassie Blue (Aldrich) for 2 h, followed by washing with Milli-Q water for 3–4 d. Proteins adsorbed onto AuNPs were quantified by using ImageJ.

**Mass Spectrometry**. Proteins immobilized onto AuNPs (1.5 × 10¹¹ particles) were mixed with 20 μL of Novex Tris-Glycine SDS buffer (1X Thermo Scientific), 5 μL of NuPAGE reducing buffer (10X, Thermo Scientific), and 10 μL of Milli-Q water. The mixtures were boiled for 2 min at 95 °C. Treated samples were then loaded in Novex Tris-Glycine MiniGel of 10 wells 10% (Thermo Scientific), and the gels were run for 5 min at 120 mV in Novex Tris-Glycine SDS (1X) running buffer. Staining was performed with Coomassie Blue (Aldrich) for 2 h, followed by washing with Milli-Q water for 3–4 d. Proteins adsorbed onto AuNPs were quantified by using ImageJ. Bands of interest were washed in milli-Q water. Reduction and alkylation were performed using dithiothreitol (10 mM DTT) in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate at 56 °C for 20 min, followed by iodoacetamide (50 mM iodoacetamide in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate) for another 20 min in the dark. Gel pieces were dried and incubated with trypsin (12.5 μg/mL in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate) for 20 min on ice. After rehydration, the trypsin supernatant was discarded; gel pieces were hydrated with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate and incubated overnight at 37 °C. After digestion, acidic peptides were cleaned with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) 0.1% and dried out in a RVC2 25 speedvac concentrator (Christ). Peptides were resuspended in 10 μL 0.1% formic acid (FA), sonicated for 5 min, and analyzed by LC-MS/MS. For a complete description on LC/MS/MS see Supporting Information.

**Cell Cultures and Internalization Studies**. HeLa or J774 cells were plated in 96-well microplates, 1.4 × 10⁵ cells/well, and allowed to adhere overnight. The following day cell media was replaced with nanoparticle solution (final concentration 9 × 10¹⁰ particles/mL for HeLa cells and 3 × 10¹⁰ particles/mL for J774 cells) diluted in DMEM/FBS (two wells for each replicate). Cells were incubated with NPs for 24 h at 37 °C, followed by removal of the NPs and two washing steps with DMEM/FBS. Adherent cells were trypsinized, and samples were frozen overnight at −20 °C (at this point, the duplicate wells were combined). The resulting cell lysates were digested for 48 h using 500 μL of aqua regia. The sample solutions were then diluted to 3 mL with deionized water. The assays were performed in triplicate for each particle. The cells were counted prior to the addition of aqua regia to determine the number of cells.

The gold concentration in colloidal samples was determined by ICP-MS under the following operating conditions: Radio frequency power: 1550 W; plasma Ar flow rate: 14 L/min; nebulizer Ar flow rate: 1.12 L/min; auxiliary gas rate: 0.8 L/min; isotopes were monitored in kinetic energy determination (KED) mode: 197Au/193Ir (internal standard); dwell time: 10 ms; quartz cyclonic spray chamber.

**Cellular Uptake Imaging by TEM**. TEM was used to study the intracellular location of NP2, with and without cage A. HeLa cells were plated at 2 × 10⁵ cells/well in a 12-well plate. Cells were allowed to adhere overnight, followed by addition of NP2 (9 × 10¹⁰ NPs/mL), with and without cage A (5 μM). After 24 h of incubation, cells were washed and trypsinized. Cell pellets were washed with Sorensen’s buffer (0.1 M) and fixed with a 2% formaldehyde/2.5% glutaraldehyde solution in Sorensen’s buffer, initially for 10 min at room temperature, followed by replacement of the fixing solution and incubation at 4 °C for 4 h. Samples were washed with Sorensen’s buffer, stained with 1% OsO₄, dehydrated, and embedded in Spurr’s resin. Once polymerized, 60 nm thick slices were cut using an ultramicrotome. Samples were imaged using TEM (JEOL JEM-1400PLUS, 40–120 kV).

**NR3 Irradiation Assays**. HeLa cells were plated in a 96-well plate at 1.4 × 10⁵ cells/well and allowed to adhere overnight. The following day media was replaced with NR3, in the presence or absence of cage...
A. NRs were left overnight with cells (~24 h) followed by laser irradiation after removing nonendocytosed NPs. Irradiation was performed with an 808 nm diode laser, illuminating the whole well (0.4 cm spot size) (t = 20 min, p = 3.2 W/cm², Vf = 200 μL/well). Cell viability was analyzed using the MTT assay, and absorbance was measured at 550 nm, showing both non-irradiated and irradiated wells, and in presence or absence of cage A.
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