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Abstract

Peridynamics (PD) is a non-local continuum theory that enables failure prediction. It enables both crack initiation and propagation as well as crack branching. Also, it has been utilized to model simplified structures such as beams, plates and shells. In this study, a new peridynamic shell membrane formulation is presented. The equations of motion are obtained by using Euler-Lagrange equations. The bond constant is determined by comparing peridynamic and classical equations of motion for shell membranes for a special condition of peridynamic internal length parameter, horizon, approaching zero. Comparison of peridynamic results with analytical results for a benchmark problem confirms the validity of the present shell membrane formulation.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V.
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0)
Peer-review under responsibility of the European Structural Integrity Society (ESIS) ExCo

Keywords: Peridynamics; Shell; Membrane; Non-local

1. Introduction

Peridynamics (PD) is a non-local continuum mechanics formulation introduced by Silling (2000). It has certain advantages with respect to some other existing methodologies especially for failure prediction. It is possible to represent both crack initiation and propagation as well as crack branching. There has been a rapid progress on peridynamics especially during the recent years. Amongst these, Basoglu et al. (2019) utilized PD to investigate micro-crack and macro-crack interactions, and how the locations and orientations of micro-cracks effect the propagation of...
a macro-crack. Imachi et al. (2019) developed a new concept called new transition bond and demonstrated its capability for dynamic fracture analysis. In addition, dynamic crack arrest phenomenon was studied by Imachi et al. (2020). Kefal et al. (2019) utilized peridynamics for topology optimization of cracked structures. Liu et al. (2018) used peridynamics to model fracture of zigzag graphene sheets. Oterkus and Madenci (2012a,b) presented a peridynamic formulation suitable to model fiber-reinforced composites. This formulation was utilized by Oterkus et al. (2010a) to predict damage growth from loaded composite fastener holes. Oterkus et al. (2010b) developed a peridynamic model for fatigue analysis. In addition, Oterkus et al. (2012) performed impact damage assessment of reinforced concrete. Vazic et al. (2017) also investigated the micro-crack and macro-crack interactions by only considering parallel micro-cracks with respect to the macro-crack. De Meo et al. (2016) and Zhu et al. (2016) used peridynamics to predict granular fracture in polycrystalline materials. PD can also be used for multiphysics analysis. For example, De Meo and Oterkus (2017) simulated evolution of pitting corrosion by using peridynamics. De Meo et al. (2017) further extended this study by examining the onset, propagation, and interaction of multiple cracks generated from corrosion pits. Diyaroglu et al. (2017a) introduced peridynamic diffusion model and implemented in finite element framework. Moreover, Oterkus et al. (2014) and Diyaroglu et al. (2017b) utilized PD for moisture concentration analysis which is an important concern for electronic packages. Wang et al. (2018) utilized peridynamics to study the fracture evolution during lithiation process. An extensive review on peridynamics research is given in Madenci and Oterkus (2014) and Javili et al. (2019).

Peridynamics has also been utilized to model simplified structures such as beams, plates and shells. Taylor and Steigmann (2015) developed a peridynamic formulation for thin plates. Yang et al. (2020) introduced peridynamic Kirchhoff plate formulation using state-based peridynamics. O’Grady and Foster (2014a,b) derived Euler beam and Kirchhoff plate formulations within non-ordinary state-based framework. Diyaroglu et al. (2015) proposed peridynamic Timoshenko beam and Mindlin plate formulations by taking into account transverse shear deformations. In another study, Vazic et al. (2020) developed a peridynamic model for a Mindlin plate resting on a Winkler elastic foundation. Yang et al. (2019) demonstrated how to implement peridynamic beam and plate formulations in finite element framework. In this study, a new peridynamic formulation is presented specifically for shell membranes. The formulation is obtained by using Euler-Lagrange equation. The formulation is compared with the classical formulation as the peridynamic length scale parameter, horizon, approaches zero. A benchmark problem is considered for validation, and peridynamic solution captures the analytical solution.

2. Peridynamic shell membrane formulation

![Shell membrane geometry (left) and solution domain (right).](image)

In this section, derivation of peridynamic shell membrane formulation is presented. For the geometry, a cylindrical shell membrane is considered as shown in Fig. 1. Cylindrical coordinate system is utilized by defining the coordinates; \(x\): axial direction, \(\theta\): tangential direction and \(r\): radial direction. To simplify the calculations, an imaginary cut is
introduced and the cylindrical domain is mapped into a 2-Dimensional domain. In the 2-Dimensional domain $y$-axis corresponds to tangential direction. Since the geometry is a cylinder, there should be a continuity of interactions between material points located at the left and right edges of the 2-Dimensional domain (blue regions). Each material point has three degrees of freedom; $u$, $\theta$ and $w$ which correspond to displacement components in axial, tangential and radial directions.

To obtain the equations of motion of peridynamic shell membrane formulation, Euler-Lagrange equations are utilized as

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}_i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_i} = 0 \quad (1a)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_j} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_j} = 0 \quad (1b)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{w}_i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = 0 \quad (1c)
$$

where the Lagrangian, $L$, is defined as

$$
L = T - U \quad (2)
$$

In Eq. (2), the total kinetic energy, $T$ and total potential energy, $U$ of the system can be expressed as

$$
T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \rho V_i \left( \dot{u}_i^2 + \dot{\theta}_i^2 + \dot{w}_i^2 \right) \quad (3a)
$$

$$
U = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \left( c \left( u_j - u_i \right) \cos \phi_j + \left( \theta_j - \theta_i \right) \sin \phi_j - \frac{1}{2R} \left( w_i + w_j \right) \xi_y \sin^2 \phi_j \right)^2 \frac{1}{2 \xi_y} V_j \left( b^u_j u_i + b^\theta_j \theta_i + b^w_j w_i \right) V_i \quad (3b)
$$

where $M$ is the total number of points in the solution domain, $\lambda_i$ is the number of material points inside the horizon of the material point $i$, $R$ is the radius of the cylinder, $c$ is the bond constant, $V$ is the volume of the material point, $\xi_y$ and $\phi_j$ are the length and orientation of the bond between material points $i$ and $j$, respectively. In Eq. (3b), $b^u_j$, $b^\theta_j$ and $b^w_j$ represent body load components in axial, tangential and radial directions, respectively. By substituting Eqs. (3a,b) in Eqs. (2) and (1a-c) yields the equations of motion of the peridynamic shell membrane formulation as

$$
\rho \ddot{u}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \left( c \left( u_j - u_i \right) \cos \phi_j + \left( \theta_j - \theta_i \right) \sin \phi_j + \frac{1}{2R} \left( w_i + w_j \right) \xi_y \sin^2 \phi_j \right) \frac{1}{\xi_y} V_j - b^u_i = 0 \quad (4a)
$$

$$
\rho \ddot{\theta}_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \left( c \left( u_j - u_i \right) \sin \phi_j \cos \phi_j + \left( \theta_j - \theta_i \right) \sin^2 \phi_j - \frac{1}{2R} \left( w_i + w_j \right) \xi_y \sin^3 \phi_i \right) \frac{1}{\xi_y} V_j - b^\theta_j = 0 \quad (4b)
$$
\[ \rho \ddot{w}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( c \left( u_j - u_i \right) \cos \phi_j + \left( \sigma_j - \sigma_i \right) \sin \phi_j - \frac{1}{2R} \left( w_j + w_i \right) \xi_j \sin^2 \phi_j \right) \left[ \frac{1}{2R} \sin^2 \phi_j \right] \right) V_j - b_i'' = 0 \quad (4c) \]

The peridynamic equations of motion given in Eqs. (4a-c) can be verified by comparing them against classical equations of motion for the shell membrane for the special case of the horizon size approaches zero, i.e. \( \delta \rightarrow 0 \). They can be written as

\[ \rho \ddot{u}_i = \frac{E}{2(1-\nu^2)} \left( 2u_{x,xx} + \frac{(1+\nu)}{R} \sigma_{i,x} - \frac{2\nu}{R} \sigma_{i,xx} + \frac{(1-\nu)}{R^2} u_{i,xx} \right) + b_i'' \quad (5a) \]

\[ \rho \ddot{\sigma}_i = \frac{E}{2(1-\nu^2)} \left( \frac{(1+\nu)}{R} u_{i,x} + \frac{(1-\nu)}{R^2} \sigma_{i,xx} \right) + b_i'' \quad (5b) \]

\[ \rho \ddot{w}_i = \frac{1}{R^2} \partial_{i,\theta} - \frac{1}{R} w_i + \frac{\nu}{R} u_{i,x} \right) + b_i'' \quad (5c) \]

where \( \rho \) is density, \( E \) is elastic modulus and \( \nu \) is Poisson’s ratio. In Eqs. (5a-c), “dot” symbol represents derivative with respect to time whereas “comma” symbol represents derivative with respect to space.

For the horizon size converging to zero, the displacement components of the material point \( i \) can be expressed in terms of the displacement components of the material point \( j \) by using Taylor’s expansion up to the second order as

\[ u_j = u_i + u_{i,x} (x_j - x_i) + \frac{1}{2} u_{i,xx} (x_j - x_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( u_{i,xx} \left( x_j - x_i \right) + \frac{1}{2} u_{i,xxx} \left( x_j - x_i \right)^2 \right) \left( \theta_j - \theta_i \right)^2 \quad (6a) \]

\[ \sigma_j = \sigma_i + \sigma_{i,x} (x_j - x_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i,xx} (x_j - x_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_{i,x} \left( x_j - x_i \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i,xxx} \left( x_j - x_i \right)^2 \right) \left( \theta_j - \theta_i \right)^2 \quad (6b) \]

\[ w_j = w_i + w_{i,x} (x_j - x_i) + \frac{1}{2} w_{i,xx} (x_j - x_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( w_{i,x} \left( x_j - x_i \right) + \frac{1}{2} w_{i,xxx} \left( x_j - x_i \right)^2 \right) \left( \theta_j - \theta_i \right)^2 \quad (6c) \]

By using the relationships

\[ x_j - x_i = \xi_j \cos \phi_j \quad (7a) \]

\[ \theta_j = \theta_i + \theta_{i,x} (x_j - x_i) + \frac{1}{2} \theta_{i,xx} (x_j - x_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \theta_{i,x} \left( x_j - x_i \right) + \frac{1}{2} \theta_{i,xxx} \left( x_j - x_i \right)^2 \right) \left( \theta_j - \theta_i \right)^2 \quad (7b) \]

Eqs. (6a-c) can be rewritten as

\[ u_j = u_i + u_{i,x} \xi_j \cos \phi_j + \frac{1}{2} u_{i,xx} \xi_j^2 \cos^2 \phi_j + \frac{1}{R} u_{i,xx} \xi_j^2 \sin \phi_j + \frac{1}{2!} u_{i,xxx} \xi_j^2 \sin^2 \phi_j \quad (8a) \]

\[ \sigma_j = \sigma_i + \sigma_{i,x} \xi_j \cos \phi_j + \frac{1}{2!} \sigma_{i,xx} \xi_j^2 \cos^2 \phi_j + \frac{1}{R} \sigma_{i,xx} \xi_j^2 \sin \phi_j + \frac{1}{2!} \sigma_{i,xxx} \xi_j^2 \sin^2 \phi_j \quad (8b) \]

\[ w_j = w_i + w_{i,x} \xi_j \cos \phi_j + \frac{1}{2} w_{i,xx} \xi_j^2 \cos^2 \phi_j + \frac{1}{R} w_{i,xx} \xi_j^2 \sin \phi_j + \frac{1}{2!} w_{i,xxx} \xi_j^2 \sin^2 \phi_j \quad (8c) \]

The volume of the material point \( j \) for an incremental volume can be expressed as

\[ V_j = \h \xi d \xi d \phi \quad (9) \]

Then, the equations of motion of the peridynamic shell membrane formulation given in Eqs. (4a-c) can be written in integral form as
The peridynamic equations of motion given in Eqs. (4a-c) can be verified by comparing them against classical equations of motion given in Eqs. (5a-c). By equating Eqs. (11a-c) and (5a-c) yields the following relationships

\[ c = \frac{9E}{\pi h \delta^3} \]  
\[ \nu = \frac{1}{3} \]

3. Numerical results

In order to validate the current peridynamic shell membrane formulation, an isotropic cylindrical shell having 1 m edge length, 0.1592 m radius and 0.01 m thickness is considered. The elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio are specified as 200 GPa and 1/3, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1, the cylindrical shell geometry is mapped into a 2-Dimensional domain for numerical solution. For spatial discretization, a discretization size of 0.01 m is utilized. The horizon size is chosen as \( \delta = 3\Delta \). The steady-state solution is obtained by using adaptive dynamic relaxation scheme presented in Kilic and Madenci (2010). A uni-axial loading condition in the x-direction is applied as a body load with an amount of \( 5 \times 10^7 \) N/m² along a region of 0.04 m at the top and bottom edges. Since the 2-Dimensional domain is used for the numerical solution of the cylindrical shell, an additional condition is enforced so that the material points at the left and right edges of the solution domain inside a region with a thickness of horizon size interact with each other.
Variation of axial displacements along the axial direction and radial displacements along the tangential direction are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. PD results are compared against the analytical solutions and a very good agreement is observed between the two solutions.

4. Conclusions

In this study, a new peridynamic formulation was presented for shell membranes. Peridynamic equations were obtained by using Euler-Lagrange equations. The bond constant expression was determined by comparing peridynamic equations of motion against classical equations of motion for a special case of horizon converging to zero. To validate the newly developed peridynamic formulation, a cylindrical shell membrane subjected to tension loading was considered. Peridynamic results were compared against finite element analysis solutions and a very good agreement was observed between the two solutions.
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