Resonant alignment of microswimmer trajectories in oscillatory shear flows
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Oscillatory flows are commonly experienced by swimming microorganisms in the environment, industrial applications and rheological investigations. We experimentally characterise the response of the alga \textit{Dunaliella salina} to oscillatory shear flows, and report the surprising discovery that algal swimming trajectories orient perpendicular to the flow-shear plane. The ordering has the characteristics of a resonance in the driving parameter space. The behaviour is qualitatively reproduced by a simple model and simulations accounting for helical swimming, providing the mechanism for ordering and criteria for the resonant amplitude and frequency. The implications of this work for active oscillatory rheology and industrial algal processing are discussed.

PACS numbers: Valid PACS appear here

Many swimming microorganisms experience shear flow in natural and industrial processes. Swimming is strongly biased by environmental cues and fluid shear \cite{1, 2}, with significant implications for ecology \cite{3} and industrial exploitation \cite{4}. Classic examples include directed swimming relative to light (phototaxis) and hydrodynamic focusing in down-welling flow due to viscous and gravitational torques (gyrotaxis) \cite{1, 5}.

There is great potential to use individual and collective microswimmer behaviour to improve microbial biotechnology, such as algal photobioreactor design \cite{4}. For example, gyrotactic microorganisms in laminar channel flow tend to focus and so drift faster and diffuse less than non-swimming cells or nutrients \cite{6, 7} while in turbulent flows cells accumulate in transiently downwelling \cite{7, 9} or strongly accelerated \cite{10} regions. Horizontal shear flows can trap gyrotactic swimmers (a mechanism for oceanic thin layers) \cite{8} and modify hydrodynamic instabilities and patterns (bioconvection) \cite{11, 12}. Phototaxis and shear flow can combine to drive cell focusing \cite{13} and pattern formation \cite{14}. Complex transport dynamics can even result from relatively simple shear flow \cite{2, 15}. The rheology of active media is also of recent interest: suspensions of swimming bacteria behave less viscously \cite{16} and algae more viscously \cite{17} than dead cells.

Here, we investigate the interaction of the swimming alga \textit{Dunaliella salina} with oscillatory shear flows. Surprisingly, in experiments swimming trajectories are strongly ordered by the flow for particular driving parameter values. The ordering mechanism is distinct from that observed recently with \textit{Dunaliella primolecta}, with constant, strong shear flows \cite{18}. We explore the observed resonant ordering employing simple but predictive models, and discuss implications for active oscillatory rheology and industrial processing of swimming algae.
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\caption{(a) Schematic of apparatus to image suspensions of algae in oscillatory shear flows. (b) Flow oscillation axis $e_y$ (not always aligned with the image $x$ axis, but consistent across repeat experiments) and the angle $\theta$ of the swimming trajectory displacement $\Delta r$ to the $x$-axis.}
\end{figure}

\textit{D. salina} (CCAP 19/18) cells were grown on modified Pick medium \cite{19, 20} under 12:12 light/dark cycle at 21°C. All experiments were carried out at this temperature. Cells were concentrated by upswimming (gravitaxis) using cotton wool rafts \cite{11}. Dilute ($10^6$ cells/ml) suspensions were subjected to oscillatory shear on the stage of an optical microscope (Olympus BX51). The suspension was placed between two transparent parallel plates 400 µm apart, the top plate connected to an electromechanical drive that sinusoidally sheared the suspension (Figure 1). Plate parallelism was ensured by zeroing sample capillary flow. Video sequences of sheared algae were acquired using a Mikrotron MC1310 at 10× (NA 0.25) using red-filtered bright-field illumination to minimise phototaxis \cite{21}. Sequences were captured in a plane equidistant from top and bottom plates at depth 200 µm. Algae were tracked using MATLAB versions of established algorithms \cite{22, 23}. The direction of the imposed oscillating flow was inferred from short-time cell trajectories and confirmed by tracking PEGylated polystyrene colloids (Supplementary Materials, FigS1). Cell observa-
tion before and after measurements found that the apparatus did not damage the cells (e.g., deflagellation).

With no flow, D. salina swimming trajectories were distributed isotropically in the horizontal plane (Figure 2). As in [24], gravitactic bias was not evident for tracks in this plane on experimental timescales. On application of oscillatory shear with amplitude $A$ and frequency $f$, trajectories might be expected simply to reflect superposition of isotropic swimming and oscillatory advection. This was indeed the case for some driving parameters, such as $A = 120 \mu m$ and $f = 6 \text{ Hz}$ (Fig 2b). However, for $A = 224 \mu m$ and $f = 2 \text{ Hz}$ swimming trajectories unexpectedly aligned perpendicular to the flow-shear plane (Fig 2b). Alignment can be quantified by the start-to-end displacement vector, $\Delta r(\tau)$, of the trajectory of each swimmer (see [1b]). $\Delta r(\tau)$ evaluated at short times within oscillation cycles provides the oscillating flow direction $e_y \sim \Delta r(\tau \to 0)$. However, evaluating $\Delta r(\tau)$ over the largest available integer multiple $n$ of the oscillation period, $\tau = n/f$, excludes cycle-by-cycle flow oscillations and provides the orientation $\theta$ of the swimming trajectory; i.e., it measures net swimming progress.

Distributions $P(\theta)$ of orientations are presented beside the trajectories in Figure 2. The distribution for $f = 2 \text{ Hz}$, $A = 224 \mu m$ shows how trajectories orient along the line perpendicular to the flow-shear plane, but are equally likely in either direction along this line. If the flow is halted, the distribution returns to uniform (Figure S1, Supplementary Materials). Swimming speed distributions, also shown in Figure 2, appear to accentuate the importance of strong swimmers during alignment. However, for all cases modal speed is $\approx 60 \mu m \text{s}^{-1}$, which compares well with recent measurements [25].

To statistically quantify observed alignment as a function of the flow parameters, we count trajectories with displacement $\Delta r$ oriented perpendicular, $N_{\perp}$, and parallel, $N_{\parallel}$, to the flow direction and define $R = N_{\perp}/N_{\parallel}$. By parallel (perpendicular) displacements we mean swimming orientations within $\pm \pi/4$ of the flow (vorticity) axis. The surface plot in Figure 3 illustrates how the alignment has the characteristics of resonance, occupying a small region of the imposed flow parameter space. Fixed amplitude and frequency sections of the ordering surface are shown in Figure 4 and discussed below.

Discussion. The observed trajectories result from the combination of shear flow and swimming. Many algae swim helically, in part to facilitate phototaxis via a directional eyespot [20]. Thus we model the algae as helical swimmers in a flow. Following [27], we assume that a cell at position $\mathbf{r}$ swimming with speed $v$ in direction $\mathbf{p}$ has an intrinsic angular velocity $\omega_0 \mathbf{n}$ about an axis $\mathbf{n}$, where $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \cos \beta$, for constant angle $\beta$, due to an asymmetric (non-planar) flagellar stroke. Hence, if the only external torque is due to flow with velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and vorticity $\mathbf{\omega}$, spherical swimmers obey $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{u}(t) + \mathbf{v}_p$, $\mathbf{p} = (\frac{1}{2} \omega(t) + \omega_0 \mathbf{n}) \times \mathbf{p}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{2} \omega(t) \times \mathbf{n}$. Experimenta-

---

**FIG. 2.** Trajectories, orientation distributions and speed of D. salina. (a) No flow: isotropic trajectories. (b) Oscillatory shear flow with amplitude $A = 120 \mu m$ and frequency $f = 6 \text{ Hz}$: tracks show oscillation at short times, but retain isotropy of swimming orientation over longer times. (c) $A = 224 \mu m$ and $f = 2 \text{ Hz}$: swimming directions align perpendicular (blue) to the flow oscillation direction (cyan). Pink/green circles denote start/end points of each 5s track.
with $A$ and times with $1/\omega_d$, the model equations read

\[
\dot{r} = \Gamma \cos(t)Z e_x + \nu p
\]

\[
\dot{p} = \left[ \frac{\Gamma}{2} \cos(t) e_y + \Omega^{-1} n \right] \times p; \quad \dot{n} = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \cos(t) e_y \times n
\]

where $\Gamma = \gamma_{\infty}/\omega_d = A/H$ is the dimensionless shear rate/amplitude, $\Omega = \omega_d/\omega_h$ is a frequency ratio and $\nu = v/(A\omega_d) = \nu_0/(\Gamma \Omega)$ a nondimensional swimming speed, with $\nu_0 = v/(\omega_h H)$. The Cartesian representation of (1-2), with $Z$ measured from the bottom plate and $XY$ the flow-vorticity plane (figure 1), was solved numerically to simulate a suspension of swimmers (see Supplementary Materials). The ratio $R$ was computed from many simulations with a uniform distribution of initial swimmer orientations.

Non-swimmers ($\nu \to 0$) passively follow the imposed oscillatory flow. In the absence of flow, the governing equations predict helical trajectories: $p$ rotates around $n$ (the helix axis) with frequency $\omega_h$. With the experimental resonance close to helical swimming frequency, it is tempting to think that helical trajectories are responsible for the observed alignment. This is only partially true, as we shall see.

First we ask if the combination of ‘non-helical’ swimming and oscillatory shear alone is sufficient to induce the observed behaviour. Figure 3 displays the ordering ratio (experiment a,b; simulation c,d) and simulated swimmer trajectories (e) for a model where helical swimming is switched off (dashed lines). While $R$ peaks at characteristic values of the driving amplitude $\Gamma$ (Fig 4a), it is entirely independent of driving frequency (Fig 4b) in stark contrast to the experiments. The non-helical limit does, however, predict alignment: it is worth considering further. In this limit ($\beta \to 0$), the governing equations simplify considerably if we choose Euler angles $\Theta$ and $\Phi$ such that $p = n = (\sin \Theta \sin \Phi, \cos \Theta, \sin \Theta \cos \Phi)$, where $\Theta$ increases from the direction of vorticity, $e_x$, along the $Y$-axis, and $\Phi$ is measured from the $Z$-axis [e.g. $(\Theta, \Phi) = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$ is along the $X$-axis]. Equations (1-2) give

\[
\frac{\dot{X}}{\nu_L} = \cos(\Phi) Z
\]

\[
\frac{\dot{Y}}{\nu_L} = \sin(\Phi) Z
\]

\[
\frac{\dot{Z}}{\nu_L} = \nu_L \cos \Phi
\]

\[
\frac{\dot{\Theta}}{\nu} = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \cos(t)
\]

\[
\frac{\dot{\Phi}}{\nu} = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sin(t)
\]

where $\nu_L = \nu \sin \Theta_0$ and $\nu_L = \nu \cos \Theta_0$ are the nondimensional swimming speed components perpendicular and parallel to $e_y$. Integration of (3-4) and (5-6) yields $Y(t) = Y_0 + \nu_L t, \Theta(t) = \Theta_0$ and $\Phi(t) = \Phi_0 + \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sin(t)$.

(Remember that $\Gamma = A/H$ is the non-dimensional shear rate.)

As the $Y$ component of the trajectory grows linearly in time, independent of shear, alignment can only depend on the coupled $X$ and $Z$ dynamics. In particular, closed orbits in the $XZ$-plane are present at resonance, see figure 4e, panel (i). For such orbits, progress only in the $Y$-direction is possible, leading to alignment. Off-resonance, orbits are open and cells can progress in $X$ and $Z$ directions (figure 4e, panel (ii)).

This phenomenon can be understood in terms of oscillatory Jeffery dynamics of the swimmer orientation. We see from (3-6) that oscillatory shear forces swimmer orientation in the vertical $XZ$-plane to describe circular arcs swept sinusoidally in time (contrast this with circular Jeffery orbits in steady shear flow, $\omega_d \to \infty$), with angular amplitude $\Gamma/2$. Folded orbits only arise when shear is sufficient large to rotate swimmer orientation by integral multiples of $\pi$, so it can make no net progress during a cycle (see 4e). This provides a prediction for the resonant ordering amplitude $\Gamma_{\text{res}} \approx 2\pi n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, in good agreement with non-helical simulations (Fig 4e). The latter agree qualitatively with the experimental results in Fig 3. Quantitatively, much smaller values of $\Gamma$ are sufficient to induce ordering in experiment. A possibility is that the $\Gamma$ reported underestimates the shear that swimmers were exposed to. If this is the case, we were not able to identify the source of this systematic error. Alternatively, additional mechanism/s, such as those discussed below, are at play to modify the ordering dynamics.

The model for non-helical swimmers predicts alignment as a function of amplitude, but does not reproduce the experimentally observed dependence on both driving amplitude and frequency (Fig 4b). $D.\text{~salina}$ is a helical swimmer, rotating at $1.5 \pm 2\text{ Hz}$ [25]. This second, internal frequency provides the possibility of further resonance. Indeed, with $\beta \neq 0$ (recall $\beta$ is the angle between $p$ and $n$) numerical results reveal that ordering is frequency dependent (Figure 3). Position equations are
unchanged, but depend on more complex orientation dynamics resulting from the coupling of flow-induced and helical rotation. Cell orientation angles $\Theta_p$ and $\Phi_p$, defining $\mathbf{p}$, evolve according to (see Supplementary Materials)

$$\dot{\Theta}_p = \Omega^{-1} \sin \Theta_n \sin(\Phi_n - \Phi_p),$$

$$\dot{\Phi}_p = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \cos(t) + \Omega^{-1} [\cos \Theta_n - \sin \Theta_n \cot \Theta_p \sin(\Phi_n + \Phi_p)],$$

whereas angles for $\mathbf{n}$ satisfy $[\mathbf{q}]$, such that $\dot{\Theta}_n = 0$, $\dot{\Phi}_n = \Gamma \cos(t)/2$. As the $\mathbf{p}$-dynamics are slaved to the $\mathbf{n}$-dynamics, trajectories with helical swimming do retain broad features of non-helical orbits in oscillatory shear, see Figure[4], but they are nevertheless qualitatively perturbed (even for infinitesimal $\beta$). Thus only for particular driving frequencies and amplitudes does helical swimming produce alignment-inducing orbits. The frequency condition for ordering can be obtained by considering the case of a swimmer with $\mathbf{n}$ in the direction of vorticity: $\Theta_n(0) = 0 = \Phi_n(0); \Theta_p(0) = \beta$; and $\Phi_p(0) = 0$. Equation [9] then integrates to $\dot{\Phi}_p(t) = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sin(t) + \Omega^{-1} t$: a helical phase can perturb simple Jeffery rotation by the flow. Only when $\Omega \sim 1$, i.e. when the driving and helical phase are synchronised, can a resonant value of $\Gamma$ give alignment, agreeing with both simulations and experiments (Fig 4b,d).

Oscillatory Jeffery orbits and helical swimming provide a first order explanation for the dependence of resonance in experiments on both frequency and amplitude. The model is predictive: swimmers with different helical frequencies should display a different resonance spectrum (Fig 4a, inset). This description could be extended to investigate how additional effects such as taxes, orientation noise, inertia and cell shape either dominate, compete or act in concert with helical swimming to affect resonance. Intriguing possibilities include stochastic resonance due to noise in the flow velocity gradients [28], the active response of flagella to shear [29], and effective shape and response to shear due to flagellar beats [30]. A full analytical investigation of the nonlinear dynamics of the helical model in oscillatory shear is beyond the scope of this paper, but it is clear that much is to be discovered, analogous to structures observed for swimming cells in experiments on both frequency and amplitude. The simple model combining shear and non-helical swimming predicts resonant alignment of the trajectories of swimming cells, but only when helical swimming is included does the experimentally observed frequency dependence of the resonance arise. The rich dynamics and the counterintuitive interactions between swimmers and flow revealed by our experiments and modelling have implications for both active suspension rheology and the design of novel cell processing methods. While simplified models of swimmers (rod or spheroidal pushers and pullers with no helical motion) appear adequate to explain active rheological phenomena such as shear-thickening in algal suspensions [17][32], the current work suggests such models may fail in active oscillatory rheology experiments. Biotechnologically, the results hint at methods for improvement in efficiency of the algal processing pipeline [33]. For example, in downstream processing of useful microalgae, like the $\beta$-carotene producer D. salina, cells commonly experience oscillatory and squeezing flows [34]. Resonant alignment will provide boundary accumulation over times $L/v$, where $L$ is the size of the shear plate and $v$ is the swim-
ming speed. This may be ‘engineered out’ by tuning process parameters from resonance; or it may be fruitfully exploited as a new way to guide and harvest cells.
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[34] Preliminary squeezing results confirms our findings for shear.