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Abstract

A comprehensive dynamic process model has been developed to investigate features of the inherently transient hot radial forging process, taking account of complex process kinematics, thermo-elastoplastic material behaviour and microstructural evolution. As an input to this model, a fully systematic thermomechanical testing matrix was carried out on a Gleeble 3800 including temperature (20-1100 °C), strain (up to a true strain of 1) and strain rates (from 0.1 to >50 s\(^{-1}\)). The proposed model can accurately capture vibration characteristics due to the high frequency short strokes during radial forging, which have been found to have a strong effect on material flow, forging load. Numerical analyses were performed to investigate the effect of different axial spring stiffnesses on forging load, strain distribution in the workpiece, and maximum axial feeding rate. It has been found that forging load increases significantly with increasing stiffness of the axial spring. The axial spring stiffness was also found to have a strong effect on determination of axial feeding rate and reduction ratio of workpiece by limiting the axial vibration amplitude of workpiece under the maximum compression of spring coil to avoid hard stop of workpiece in the axial direction during forging. It has been found that the spring stiffness does not have a strong effect on the strain distribution in the workpiece. For practical application, the proposed model is applied to simulate the manufacturing process of a hollow transmission shaft using a GFM SKK10/R machine. Simulation results based on a 3 dimensional framework provide a detailed insight of material flow, residual stress and grain size evolution during the multiple pass radial forging process and the results are compared with available experimental measurements. The results provide valuable insights for process design.
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1. Introduction

Radial forging is an open-die forging process utilizing radially moving dies for reducing the diameters of ingots, bar, rods, and tubes for production of tubular components with different internal and external profiles. The process is fully automated and has good reliability to produce high integrity forgings with tight tolerance, smooth surface, improved mechanical properties at relatively high production rates and low cost. These bring extensive application potentials in aerospace, automotive, and oil and gas industries. Establishing the radial forging procedure is still an expensive and time consuming process based on trial and error, since a number of parameters such as workpiece temperature, rotational speed, feed rate, die geometry, oscillation speed and amplitude etc. need to be determined and optimised to meet stringent requirement of structure and mechanical properties. Recent development on process modelling on radial forging using finite element analysis has been proven to be an accurate approach to improve understanding of the process[1–3].

The challenge of modelling and simulation of the radial forging process is the large number of short and high frequency strokes around the work pieces, which makes the simulation of radial forging process excessively time consuming. With the assumption of predominant radial and axial material flow during the process, radial forging simulation were usually performed in simplified axisymmetric two-dimensional (2D) analysis. The simplified axisymmetric model allows parametric study of effects of different process parameters on material flow [3], and strain heterogeneity [4]. However these 2D models do not fully account for the rotational feed of the billet, and circumferential effect of the forging process. To fully understand the various aspects of hot radial forging process, a 3D model needs to be developed. A symmetric 3D model was developed by Ghai and Movahhedy [5] to investigate the die design for the radial forging process neglecting the rotational feed of billet. Rong et al. [6] and Chen et al. [7] developed a coupled thermomechanical full 3D FE model to consider the circumferential effect in radial forging process, however simulation was performed only for a short period of actual process time due to the excessively long computation time, which is difficult to reflect the all the characteristics of radial forging process. Another challenge in modelling and simulation of the radial forging process is the complex process kinematics due to the inherently high frequency of vibration. In previous modelling approaches, a prescribed time dependent velocity or displacement boundary conditions for the gripper cannot capture the correct vibration characteristics of the actual process.

In the present work, a comprehensive 3D dynamic model is proposed to address the above challenges. The main contribution of this paper is to take account of full process kinematics of radial forging by including the axial spring in the process model and investigate the dynamic vibration effect on various aspects of radial forging. Another contribution is the attempt made to predict grain size evolution in 3D radial forging simulation.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>die oscillation amplitude (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D₀</td>
<td>initial grain size (µm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dₛ</td>
<td>recrystallized grain size (µm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>die oscillation frequency (Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>axial spring stiffness (N/mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>die displacement in radial direction (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>universal gas constant (JK⁻¹mol⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>absolute temperature (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>die axial feeding rate (mm/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>die angle (degree)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Modelling Approach

A schematic of the radial forging process is shown in Fig. 1. The workpiece is fixed by a gripper and deformed by 4 radially oscillating dies through high frequency short-strokes. After each stroke, the gripper rotates for a specific angle to obtain a good surface finish of workpiece. To prevent twisting of the workpiece, a high oscillating system is applied to the gripper to interrupt rotation during stoke. After each stroke the workpiece is fed axially towards the hammer die at a specific rate. To stabilize the hammer/workpiece interaction an axial spring bar is connected to the gripper, which allows the workpiece to move in axial direction during stokes. This coordinative
rotation and feed reduce the diameter of workpiece in each pass. After each pass, the hammer dies move in at the radial direction to further reduce the diameter in the next deformation pass. The process is repeated until the whole part is manufactured.

The process model is developed and implemented using the commercial software Abaqus/Explicit (version 6.13-4) to represent the forging process using a GFM SKK10 radial forging machine. The axial spring stiffness is set to $10^4$ N/mm. A rigid contact was defined between the driver and gripper, a small gap of 1 mm between them defines the maximum compression of the axial spring. To simplify the simulation, the axial feed of tube was implemented by moving the four hammer dies towards the tube at 1 mm after each stroke and the driver was kept stationary. The dies oscillate at 20 Hz with an amplitude of 3.5 mm. The displacement in the radial direction is defined as bellow:

$$l = A\sin(2\pi ft)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The 3D FEM model setup is illustrated in Fig. 2. The initial outer/inner diameters of the tube are 90 mm and 30 mm, respectively. The initial length of the tube is 150 mm. The tube rotates 18 degree after each stroke. A coupled thermal-displacement brick element with reduced integration (C3D8R) and enhanced hourglass control is used to for the workpiece. ALE (Arbitrary Lagrangian–Eulerian) adaptive meshing method is used to cope with large deformation during the radial forging process. All tools are consider as rigid. The friction between the tools and workpiece are constant and described by a penalty formulation and the friction coefficient is set to 0.3.

The flow stress of material were obtained from a continuous hot compression tests carried out on a Gleeble 3800. It needs to be pointed out that the interrupted nature of deformation may affect the flow stress, so the testing method used in this work is a simplified approach to identify the flow stress, neglecting the short interruption due the high frequency strokes. The material used in the simulation is 20MnCr5 with a starting forging temperature of 1000°C. Temperature and strain rate dependent stress-strain curves are shown in Fig. 3. The Johnson-Mehl-Avrami-Kohnogorov (JMAK) based model is implemented in Abaqus to predict grain size evolution during radial forging using recrystallisation kinetics model from literature [8]. The dynamic recrystallized (DRX) grain size is described as follows:

$$D_d = D_0 e^{-0.27\left[\exp\left(\frac{310244}{RT}\right)\right]^{-0.27}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
rotation and feed reduce the diameter of workpiece in each pass. After each pass, the hammer dies move in at the radial direction to further reduce the diameter in the next deformation pass. The process is repeated until the whole part is manufactured.

The process model is developed and implemented using the commercial software Abaqus/Explicit (version 6.13-4) to represent the forging process using a GFM SKK10 radial forging machine. The axial spring stiffness is set to 104 N/mm. A rigid contact was defined between the driver and gripper, a small gap of 1 mm between them defines the maximum compression of the axial spring. To simplify the simulation, the axial feed of tube was implemented by moving the four hammer dies towards the tube at 1 mm after each stroke and the driver was kept stationary. The dies oscillate at 20 Hz with an amplitude of 3.5 mm. The displacement in the radial direction is defined as bellow:

\[
\sin(2\pi \frac{l}{A} f t) = 0.27 exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{d}{D} - 1\right)^4 \frac{RT}{\varepsilon\varepsilon_0}\right) - 0.27
\]

The 3D FEM model setup is illustrated in Fig. 2. The initial outer/inner diameters of the tube are 90 mm and 30 mm, respectively. The initial length of the tube is 150 mm. The tube rotates 18 degree after each stroke. A coupled thermal-displacement brick element with reduced integration (C3D8R) and enhanced hourglass control is used to for the workpiece. ALE (Arbitrary Lagrangian–Eulerian) adaptive meshing method is used to cope with large deformation during the radial forging process. All tools are considered as rigid. The friction between the tools and workpiece are constant and described by a penalty formulation and the friction coefficient is set to 0.3. The flow stress of material were obtained from a continuous hot compression tests carried out on a Gleeble 3800. It needs to be pointed out that the interrupted nature of deformation may affect the flow stress, so the testing method used in this work is a simplified approach to identify the flow stress, neglecting the short interruption due to the high frequency strokes. The material used in the simulation is 20MnCr5 with a starting forging temperature of 1000°C. Temperature and strain rate dependent stress-strain curves are shown in Fig. 3. The Johnson-Mehl-Avrami-Kohnogorov (JMAK) based model is implemented in Abaqus to predict grain size evolution during radial forging using recrystallisation kinetics model from literature [8]. The dynamic recrystallized (DRX) grain size is described as follows:

\[
V, V_2
\]

Different spring stiffnesses have been used in the simulations to investigate its effect on the vibration characteristics of radial forging. Fig. 5 shows the axial displacement of the gripper during forging under 3 different spring stiffnesses. The positive displacement indicates the gripper’s movement away from the hammer and dies forming its equilibrium position. The vibration amplitude increases with increasing spring axial stiffness, and the frequency is consistent with the hammer stroking frequency. A quick damping of vibration is found in the higher-time resolution plot as shown in Fig. 5 (c) when using a spring with higher stiffness (10^6 N/mm). This is because the compressed spring with higher stiffness allows faster retreat of the workpiece, causing hammer/workpiece interaction when the hammer die is opening.

Fig. 1. Radial forging process (a) Axial spring in the GFM SKK 10 radial forging machine, and (b) schematic representation of the radial forging.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Vibration characteristics of radial forging

In order to understand the vibration characteristics of radial forging, the material flow in axial direction during strokes is shown in Fig. 4. The velocity field (mm/s) in the axial direction shows that the material flows in both directions during deformation. The green region indicates the location of neutral plane, where the velocity in the axial direction is zero. On the left side of neutral plane, the material moves towards the gripper and the axial spring connecting the gripper and driver is compressed. When the hammer die leaves the workpiece, the energy stored in the compressed axial spring is released and the workpiece is pushed back towards the hammer die. Depending on the retreating speed of the workpiece driven by the compressed axial spring, the workpiece is under damping or free vibration when the die is leaving the workpiece. This process repeats in the next stroke and the short and high frequency strokes cause complex vibration characteristics during radial forging process.

Fig. 3 Temperature and strain rate dependent flow stress data.

Fig. 4 Material flow in axial direction during the hammer die stroke.

Different spring stiffnesses have been used in the simulations to investigate its effect on the vibration characteristics of radial forging. Fig. 5 shows the axial displacement of the gripper during forging under 3 different spring stiffnesses. The positive displacement indicates the gripper’s movement away from the hammer and dies forming its equilibrium position. The vibration amplitude increases with increasing spring axial stiffness, and the frequency is consistent with the hammer stroking frequency. A quick damping of vibration is found in the higher-time resolution plot as shown in Fig. 5 (c) when using a spring with higher stiffness (10^6 N/mm). This is because the compressed spring with higher stiffness allows faster retreat of the workpiece, causing hammer/workpiece interaction when the hammer die is opening.
3.3. Microstructural evolution during multiple pass deformation

Fig. 7 show the grain size evolution during a multiple pass radial forging process. It can be seen that as the strain gradually penetrate towards the core of billet, a uniform grain refinement is reached through DRX in the two-pass deformation process. It needs to be pointed out that only DRX is considered in this work, but static recrystallisation (SRX) may also plays an important role in multiple pass deformation process especially when the duration between interrupted deformation pass is very long. In this work, due the high forging sequence and relatively small part we considered, the overall process time is around 30 s, in this circumstance, we believe DRX dominate the microstructure evolution, so SRX has been neglected.

3.2. The effect of axial spring stiffness on forging load and material flow

Fig. 6 shows the effect of axial spring stiffness on the forging load and material flow. The forging load is decreasing with decreasing spring stiffness. It has also been found that the lower axial spring stiffness favor the formation of fishtail formation as shown in Fig. 6 (b). Fishtail formation is a common defect during radial forging process, which affects the material utilisation in the process. For a given inertial mass of the radial forging system and axial spring stiffness in the radial forging system, process parameters including axial feeding rates and reduction ratio in each pass need to be balanced to optimise forging load, material flow and minimize defect formation.

Fig. 6. The effect of axial spring stiffness on (a) forging load and, (b) material flow.
3.2. Microstructural evolution during multiple pass deformation

The effect of axial spring stiffness on forging load and material flow dominate the microstructure evolution, so SRX has been neglected. For relatively small part we considered, the overall process time is around 30 s, in this circumstance, we believe DRX the duration between interrupted deformation pass is very long. In this work, due to the high forging sequence and recrystallisation (SRX) may also play an important role in multiple pass deformation process especially when two-pass deformation process. It needs to be pointed out that only DRX is considered in this work, but static strain gradually penetrate towards the core of billet, a uniform grain refinement is reached through DRX in the formation.

The reduction ratio in each pass need to be balanced to optimise forging load, material flow and minimize defect and axial spring stiffness in the radial forging system, process parameters including axial feeding rates and formation of fishtail formation as shown in Fig. 6 (b). Fishtail formation is a common defect during radial forging decreasing with decreasing spring stiffness. It has also been found that the lower axial spring stiffness favor the

Fig. 7 show the grain size evolution during a multiple pass radial forging process. It can be seen that as the reduction ratio in each pass need to be balanced to optimise forging load, material flow and minimize defect.

Fig. 6. The effect of axial spring stiffness on (a) forging load and, (b) material flow.

4. Summary and conclusion

A comprehensive dynamic model has been developed to improve the fundamental understanding of the hot radial forging process. The results are summarized as follows:

1. Material flows in both directions during stoke and a neutral plane is well captured.
2. Dynamic effect in radial forging process is modeled and vibration characteristics are well understood.
3. The axial spring stiffness has been found to have a strong effect on forging load and material flow.
4. The effect of DRX has been considered to predict grain size evolution during radial forging process.

The present model provides detailed insight in the radial forging process and has potential application on process optimization. Model calibration is still ongoing using data from forging trials to be produced with the newly available GFM SKK10/R radial forging machine at AFRC. Additional material tests need to be done to refine the microstructure model for better predictive capability of microstructural evolution.
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