Determinants of Antibiotics Prescribing among Doctors in a Nigerian Urban Tertiary Hospital
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Abstract:
Background and Objective
The problem of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has assumed pandemic dimensions especially among low and middle income countries such as Nigeria. Irrational use of currently available antimicrobial agents is a major contributory factor. There remains a paucity of documented information on the determinants of antibiotics prescribing among physicians in Nigeria to guide future strategies to reduce AMR. This study therefore aimed to investigate the patterns and determinants of antibiotics prescription among doctors in a tertiary hospital in Nigeria as the first step to improve future antibiotic use in hospitals.
Methodology
A cross sectional survey of the determinants of antibiotic prescribing among doctors in the Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, was performed using a structured questionnaire. Information was obtained about the doctors and the factors determining their prescription of antibiotics. The results were summarized using descriptive statistics with Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS).
Results
98 respondents were studied with a mean age of 36.24(9.01) years, mean duration of practice of 10.68(9.25) years, and mainly males (64.3%). 97% prescribe antibiotics frequently, mostly based on clinical judgment and experience with rare laboratory supports. Factors of cost, drug availability and information from pharmaceutical representatives influenced antibiotic prescribing. There were indications of non-existence or non-functional institutional policies and guidelines regarding antimicrobial therapies.
Conclusion
There is an urgent need to institute evidence based institutional policies and guidelines for improving antimicrobial use among hospitals in Nigeria. This is already being followed up
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1. Introduction
The problem of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has assumed pandemic dimensions especially among Low and Middle Income Countries (LMICs) such as Nigeria [1,2].
AMR now poses a serious threat to global health, increasing morbidity, mortality and costs if not addressed [3-7]. Recent reports revealed its occurrence in all regions of the world and the potential to affect anyone, of any age, and in any country, leading to calls to develop appropriate plans across countries [8,9]. Records of resistance to carbapenem antibiotics that are used as last resort for life threatening infections now exist in practically all regions of the world. [1] Similarly, there is increasing resistance to widely used third generation cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones occasioned by increasing use of antibiotics [1,10]. This has led to calls for international collaboration to reduce future rates of AMR including calls by World Health Assembly to member states to publish their national action plans towards combating drug resistant infections especially antibiotic resistant bacteria with a deadline which expired in May 2017 without satisfactory responses. [8, 11, 12, 13].

The prevalence of AMR documented across Africa, including Nigeria, remains high [2, 14-15]. As a result in Nigeria, there is a substantial decline in the susceptibility of antibiotics commonly used for empirical treatments. Examples include 88% of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections now resistant to methicillin, over 98% resistance to β-lactam antibiotics in post-operative wound infections, susceptibility rates below 60% to empiric antibiotics in urinary tract isolates and below 50% for antibiotics used for empiric treatment of patients with community acquired pneumonia [16-18].

Major contributory factors to AMR are the irrational use of currently available antibiotics and uncurtailed access to antibiotics [19]. These factors have resulted in excessive use of antibiotics with resultant increase in AMR and concomitant strains on the health care systems [2, 6, 20-22]. Other predisposing factors to AMR include healthcare environment factors such as regulatory policies and infection control practices, lack of guidelines as well as patient pressure [23-25].

Globally, there is evidence that prescribing physicians still have limited knowledge and incorrect practices regarding antibiotic prescribing [26]. Factors influencing physicians prescribing of antibiotics include the severity and duration of infections, patient’s expectations, fear of complications, diagnostic uncertainties and the influence of pharmaceutical companies [26-28]. Inappropriate antibiotic prescribing can also emanate from contextual factors such as a lack of existing guidelines, cultural factors such as the influence of peers, and behavioural factors such as the perception of decision autonomy by prescribers. [29]. Prescribing etiquette is also seen as as a major determinant
of antibiotic prescribing practices among physicians. Furthermore, Calbo et al in their review also outlined physicians’ level of training, motivation, work load, practice settings, access to diagnostic techniques, and services of infectious diseases consultants, as factors influencing antibiotic prescribing.

The benefits of appropriate antibiotic prescribing include direct positive impact on clinical outcomes, efficient use of healthcare resources, avoidance or reduction of adverse drug effects as well as the prevention of emergence of drug resistant bacteria. To undertake this and reduce AMR, physicians need adequate knowledge of the patterns of antimicrobial sensitivity in their facilities as well as comply with approved evidence based guidelines. This can be part of antimicrobial stewardship programmes (ASPs), which have proven to be effective with improving subsequent antibiotic use. ASPs should be designed with knowledge of the determinants of antimicrobial prescribing among physicians, with acknowledgement that much work still needs to be undertaken around such initiatives in LMICs.

There remains paucity of documented information on the determinants of antibiotics prescribing among physicians in Nigeria, which is important given that Nigeria is the most populous African nation coupled with the high burden of infectious diseases in sub-Saharan Africa. This study therefore aimed to investigate the patterns and determinants of antibiotic prescribing among doctors in a tertiary hospital in Nigeria as the first step to improve future antibiotic use in hospitals. This survey also serves as a pilot for a national survey on the subject across Nigeria.

2. Methodology
The study design was a quantitative cross sectional survey conducted among the prescribing doctors who attended a hospital grand round on the subject of the rational use of antibiotics at the Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, in Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria. This included whether prescriptions are for originators or generics (INN – International non-proprietary name) since the cost of medicines is mostly out-of-pocket. The hospital is a major referral center in Lagos metropolis with a bed capacity of 774 beds and is one of three tertiary centers serving an estimated catchments population of over 19 million people in Lagos State, Nigeria. Consequently, if there are concerns with antibiotic prescribing in this centre, such concerns may well be magnified in non-teaching hospitals including secondary hospitals. A self-administered structured
questionnaire was used to collect data comprising of the socio demographic characteristics of the doctors and the potential factors determining their prescription of antibiotics prior to the delivery of a presentation by one of the co-authors (OO). There were 169 prescribing doctors employed by the hospital at the time of the survey. All 98 doctors who were in attendance at the grand round when the presentation was delivered participated. Respondents maintained anonymity as they did not require documenting any identifiable personal information.

2.1. Ethical Approval
The study received ethical approval from the Hospital Ethics Committee and verbal consent was received from the responding participants.

2.2. Statistical Analysis
Statistical tests were performed using Statistical Package for Social Science version 15.0. Data explored and the results were summarized with descriptive statistics. Continuous variables were expressed as mean with standard deviation and categorical variables as proportions.

3. Results
Among the 98 (58%) respondents, 63 were males (64.3%) and 35 females (35.7%), with a good representation of most clinical specialties and professional ranking/status of doctors in the hospital at that time (Figures 1 and 2).

Figure 1 – Clinical speciality of respondents
Their mean age was 36.24(9.01) years and mean duration of professional practice was 10.68 (9.25) years. The majority of the doctors (97%) prescribed antibiotics in their daily practices. Over 97% indicated that their choices were influenced by drug promotional and marketing activities of pharmaceutical industry. Other major factors influencing prescribing included the use of clinical judgment (93.9%), professional experience (87.8%), as well as consideration of the costs to patients (80.6%), as most patients pay out of pocket, and drug availability (80.6%). Positively, over 70% of respondents indicated that they consider local epidemiology of bacterial infections, literature evidence and a senior colleagues’ decision in their prescribing decision. However, only 45.9% indicated that their choice of antibiotic considered laboratory results. Inclination to follow the unit policy/protocol was seen among only 43.9% of responders, and the use of hospital policy/treatment guidelines was only 8.2% (Table: 1).
Table 1: Factors Determining Antibiotics Prescription among Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Frequency (N)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug Promotional and Marketing Influences</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Judgment (without laboratory result)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>93.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost to Patients</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Availability</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiology of Infection</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Evidence (Literature)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Colleague’s Decision</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Results</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Policy</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Policy (Treatment Guideline)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In terms of the doctor’s choices in prescribing, the duration of antibiotic treatment, and the factors determining those choices, 39.8% of doctors indicated their prescription is individualized considering patient specific conditions. 35.7% preferred to prescribe for 1 week, 15.3% indicated they preferred 5 days of treatment and 9.2% preferred to treat for more than a week. The natures of illness (56.1%), and the severity of infections (39.8%), were the major determinants for choosing the duration of antibiotic treatment. Consideration for the clinical response to treatment was 22.4%, and to the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of the antibiotic was 7.1% (Table 2a, 2b).
Table 2a: Duration of Antibiotics Prescription

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient Specific</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1 week</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2b: Factors Determining Choice of Duration of Antibiotics Prescriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of infection</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of infection</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Response of Patient</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties of Drug</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nearly three quarters (72.4%) of respondents indicated that they prescribe both generic and branded products interchangeably whilst only 5.1% exclusively prescribed branded products and 22.45% generic products using the International Non-proprietary Names (INN) (Table: 3). 74% and 82% of respondents were unaware of the unit and hospital guidelines for antimicrobial therapies respectively.

Table 3: Form of Prescriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generic and Branded</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Interchangeably)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic (Exclusively)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branded (Exclusively)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

The finding that 97% respondents from most clinical specialties prescribed antibiotics in their daily practice is indicative of the universality of antibiotic use in clinical practice in Nigeria, suggesting the importance of the topic and their rational use. Concerns seen in this initial study include the fact that over 97% of the doctors alluded to drug promotional and marketing activities influencing their prescribing in some way. This reveals the extent to which drug promotion is prevalent in Nigeria. Even though
findings from previous studies indicated that the interactions between doctors and the pharmaceutical industries could be mutually beneficial, it is also potentially controversial especially if only biased information is supplied alongside financial rewards [39,40]. While some studies argue that physicians believed their prescribing behavior are not easily influenced by the interactions with pharmaceutical representatives as they are able to objectively evaluate such information [41,42], other study demonstrated a significant increase in drug use in subsequent months after promotional activities by pharmaceutical companies [43]. Consequently, this is an important factor to consider in planning interventional measures towards promoting rationale antibiotic prescribing. Physician education around rational medicine use has worked well in other countries to reduce the influence of pharmaceutical companies and enhance rational prescribing behavior [44,45]. Inappropriate antibiotic prescribing is known to emanate from the trio of behavioural, cultural and contextual factors [28].

Another concern is the high rate of prescribing relying on clinical judgment (94%) and professional experience (88%) rather than approved local guidelines based on antibiograms. These findings are indicative of highly prevalent ‘decision autonomy’ in antibiotic prescribing in hospitals in Nigeria, which urgently needs to be addressed. This could be due to the lack of functioning hospital Drugs and Therapeutic Committee (DTC), approved hospital and unit policies governing antibiotic prescribing practices, instigation of ASPs, inadequate and timely laboratory support and the lack of a reliable supply of antibiotics for uninterrupted duration of treatment. [46-48].

Encouragingly, 76% of the respondents took the epidemiology of infection into consideration when prescribing antibiotics while 71% alluded to best evidence influencing their prescribing practices. However, the influence of pharmaceutical companies as well as senior colleague’s in determining antibiotics prescription is a concern especially if this leads to inappropriate antibiotic prescribing [30]. The high proportion of antibiotic prescribing influenced by senior colleague’s decisions can be explained by the fact that the majority of respondents (over 64%) in this study were trainees. The finding that 44% of respondents were aware of the existence of some form of unit based policy on antibiotics could be a reflection of senior colleague’s driven practices in their respective clinical units. However, the low percentage of respondents (8%) who were aware of any hospital based antibiotic policy strongly suggests the lack of existence or implementation of such policies, which urgently needs to be addressed.
along with the establishment of ASPs to improve future antibiotic prescribing. As mentioned, ASPs have been found to be effective to help improve antibiotic prescribing and reduce AMR [35, 49]. ASPs have helped promote the rational uses of antimicrobials through formulary restrictions, pre-authorization, monitoring, audit and feedback exercises as well as guideline development [50]. Functional ASPs in the hospital should address discrepancies and concerns highlighted in Table 2a and Table 2b by standardizing appropriate antibiotics use. This builds on national initiatives ongoing in other African countries such as Ghana and South Africa to improve antibiotic prescribing [51, 52].

Most respondents (over 72%) in this survey prescribed antibiotics in both generic and branded forms interchangeably while 22% prescribed in generic form exclusively (Table 3). While the survey did not explore the rationale behind these practices in detail, they may be informed by the concerns with the safety and efficacy of generics in Nigeria, as well as issues of affordability as many patients pay from their pockets and the influence of promotional activities [53, 54]. These issues and associated concerns will be explored in future studies.

Study Limitations
We are aware that only 58% of the total number of doctors in the hospital took part. In addition, the proportion of the respondents who were senior doctors was relatively low compared to the total respondents. However, the representation of most clinical specialties and all professional cadres of doctors in this survey suggests that the findings could be indicative of the determinants for antibiotic prescribing practices across the clinical disciplines in this hospital adding robustness to the findings. While the findings in this survey may not necessarily be generalizable to the entire Nigerian clinical population, we believe they constitute useful indications of the likely problems regarding antibiotic prescribing in the country that urgently needs to be addressed.

Conclusion
The findings in this pilot survey demonstrated a high frequency of antibiotic prescribing among the doctors in this leading tertiary hospital in Nigeria and the indications of inappropriate antibiotic prescribing. There is an urgent need to follow up these findings with a more extensive survey with national coverage to define the problem in greater
detail and institute evidence based institutional policies and guidelines for promoting rational antimicrobial use across Nigeria. Efforts towards establishment of ASPs and DTCs have commenced in this hospital following analysis of the findings to address highlighted concerns, and will be followed up in future studies.
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