

1 **Proteomic analysis of *Lactobacillus pentosus* for the identification of potential**
2 **markers of adhesion and other probiotic features**

3

4 Beatriz Pérez Montoro¹, Nabil Benomar¹, Natacha Caballero Gómez¹, Said Ennahar²,
5 Peter Horvatovich³, Charles W. Knapp⁴, Esther Alonso¹, Antonio Gálvez¹, Hikmate
6 Abriouel^{1*}

7

8 ¹Área de Microbiología, Departamento de Ciencias de la Salud, Facultad de Ciencias
9 Experimentales, Universidad de Jaén, 23071-Jaén, Spain.

10 ²Equipe de Chimie Analytique des Molécules Bio-Actives, UMR 7178, IPHC-DSA,
11 Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, 67400 Illkirch-Graffenstaden, France.

12 ³Department of Analytical Biochemistry, Centre for Pharmacy, University of
13 Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

14 ⁴Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Strathclyde,
15 Glasgow, Scotland, United Kingdom.

16

17 ***Correspondence:** Dr. Hikmate Abriouel, Área de Microbiología. Departamento de
18 Ciencias de la Salud. Facultad de Ciencias Experimentales. Edif. B3. Universidad de
19 Jaén. Campus Las Lagunillas s/n. 23071-Jaén, Spain. Tel.: 34-953-212003; fax: 34-953-
20 212943.

21 hikmate@ujaen.es

22

23 **Abstract**

24 We analyzed the adhesion capacity to mucus of 31 *Lactobacillus pentosus* strains
25 isolated from naturally fermented Aloreña green table olives using an immobilized
26 mucin model. On the basis of their adhesive capacity to mucin, three phenotypes were
27 selected for cell-wall protein proteomic analysis to pinpoint proteins involved in the
28 adhesion process: the highly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-43N (73.49% of adhesion
29 ability), the moderately adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-37N (49.56% of adhesion ability) and
30 the poorly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF2-20P (32.79% of adhesion ability). The results
31 revealed four moonlighting proteins over-produced in the highly adhesive *L. pentosus*
32 CF1-43N, which were under/not produced in the other two *L. pentosus* strains (CF1-
33 37N and CF2-20P). These proteins were involved in glycolytic pathway
34 (phosphoglycerate mutase and glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase), stress response
35 (small heat shock protein) and transcription (transcription elongation factor GreA).
36 Furthermore, the relative fold change in gene expression analysis showed significant up-
37 regulation of the genes coding for these four moonlighting proteins in the highly
38 adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-43N versus the poorly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF2-20P and
39 also in response to mucin for 20 h which clearly indicate the significant role of these
40 genes in the adhesion capacity of *L. pentosus*. Thus, these proteins could be used as
41 biomarkers for mucus adhesion in *L. pentosus*. On the other hand, mucin exposure
42 induced other probiotic effects in *L. pentosus* strains, enhancing their co-aggregation
43 ability with pathogens and possible inactivation.

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52 **Keywords:**

53 Proteomics; *Lactobacillus pentosus*; Probiotics; Mucus; Adhesion; Biomarkers; qRT-
54 PCR.

55

56

58 1. Introduction

59 The *Lactobacillus* genus belongs to the lactic acid bacteria (LAB) group and includes
60 bacteria of great importance in food fermentation (e.g., dairy, meat and vegetables) and
61 also potential probiotic bacteria as evidenced by their large and diverse genomes
62 harboring genes with functional properties for such traits (Abriouel et al., 2016;
63 Bonatsou, Tassou, Panagou &, Nychas, 2017; Maldonado-Barragán et al., 2011;
64 Panagou et al., 2008). In particular, the probiotic potential of lactobacilli from vegetable
65 origins have attracted considerable attention in the last decade especially those isolated
66 from naturally fermented table olives as they are frequently consumed as part of the
67 mediterranean diet. As such, *L. pentosus* and *L. plantarum*, frequently isolated from
68 fermented table olives, have been characterized with regard to their probiotic properties
69 and their role as starter cultures in olive fermentation (Abriouel et al., 2012; Blana,
70 Grounta, Tassou, Nychas, & Panagou, 2014; Grounta, Doulgeraki, Nychas, & Panagou,
71 2015; Hurtado, Reguant, Bordons, & Rozès, 2010; Rodríguez-Gómez et al., 2014).

72 Table olive surface is colonized during fermentation and storage by biofilm-forming
73 lactic acid bacteria (LAB), especially *Lactobacillus* spp. (Randazzo, Rajendram, &
74 Caggia, 2010) which are responsible for the fermentation process in cooperation with
75 yeasts (Abriouel, Benomar, Lucas, & Gálvez, 2011; Arroyo-López, Durán-Quintana,
76 Ruiz-Barba, Querol, & Garrido-Fernández, 2006; Nisiotou, Chorianopoulos, Nychas, &
77 Panagou, 2010). As reported by Faten et al. (2016), *L. plantarum* cells adhere to the
78 olive surface, forming a protective biofilm ($10^{6.1} - 10^{8.1}$ CFU/g) which could be
79 considered beneficial because their presence appeared to effectively inhibit the adhesion
80 of undesirable microorganisms during storage. Similarly, Grounta, Doulgeraki, Nychas,
81 and Panagou (2015) showed that multifunctional starter *L. pentosus* B281 formed
82 biofilms ($10^{5.5} - 10^{6.5}$ CFU/g) during controlled fermentation of *Conservolea* natural
83 black olives for 153 days. Furthermore, olives are considered as good providers of
84 probiotics for the host (Lavermicocca et al., 2005; Martins et al., 2013; Pérez Montoro
85 et al., 2016); for one reason, the molecular adhesion properties of these lactobacilli to
86 intestinal mucosa (reviewed in Bonatsou, Tassou, Panagou, & Nychas, 2017) is
87 presumed to be similar to those for the olive surface (De Bellis, Valerio, Sisto, Lonigro,
88 & Lavermicocca, 2010; Domínguez-Manzano et al., 2012; Faten et al., 2016). Besides
89 acid and bile tolerance, adhesion to intestinal epithelium is among the main criteria for

90 the selection of probiotic strains, since adequate host-interaction is required for
91 successful colonization of mucosal surfaces by probiotic bacteria and thus the provision
92 of health benefits including pathogen exclusion and stimulation of the mucosal immune
93 system (Cross, 2002; Ouwehand, Salminen, & Isolauri, 2002). Since the primary target
94 is the mucus layer protecting gastrointestinal tract (GIT) (Fuller, 1989), the bacteria in
95 GIT become directly exposed to mucin.

96 In this study, we examined the surface proteome of potentially probiotic *L. pentosus*
97 strains isolated from naturally fermented Aloreña green table olives (Abriouel et al.,
98 2012; Pérez Montoro et al., 2016) to determine the molecular mechanisms involved in
99 their adhesion to mucus. For the first time, proteins are identified which may serve as
100 adhesion biomarkers to discriminate *L. pentosus* strains with regard to their probiotic
101 potential. Furthermore, we explored whether the interaction of *L. pentosus* strains with
102 mucin impacted other probiotic features.

103

104 **2. Materials and Methods**

105 *2.1. Bacterial strains and growth conditions*

106 Thirty-one *Lactobacillus pentosus* strains isolated from naturally-fermented Aloreña
107 green table olives (Abriouel et al., 2012) were used in this study. Strains were cultured
108 in de Man Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) broth (Fluka, Madrid, Spain) at 30°C for 24 h.
109 Cultures were maintained in 20% glycerol at -20°C and -80°C for short and long term
110 storage, respectively.

111

112 *2.2. In vitro mucus adhesion assay*

113 *In vitro* evaluation of the mucin-adhesion ability of *L. pentosus* strains was
114 performed as previously reported by Valeriano, Parungao-Balolong, and Kang (2014)
115 with some modifications. Porcin mucin (Sigma) solution was prepared at 1 mg/ml in
116 sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and stored at -20°C until use. 100 µl of porcin
117 mucin solution was immobilized on a 96-well polystyrene microtiter plate for 1 h, and
118 then incubated overnight at 4°C. Wells were washed twice with 200 µl of sterile PBS
119 and then added with 100 µl of a bacterial suspension (10⁸ CFU/ml) prepared from an
120 overnight culture, which was washed twice and resuspended in PBS. Microtiter plates
121 were incubated for 1 h at 37°C, after which they were washed five times with 200 µl of
122 sterile citrate buffer to discard unbound bacteria and added with 200 µl of 0.5% Tween
123 80 (v/v) to collect adhered bacteria. Viable cell count was determined by plating onto
124 MRS agar plates in triplicate. The percentage of adhesion was estimated using the
125 formula (Collado, Meriluoto, & Salminen, 2008):

126
$$\% \text{ Relative Adhesion} = \frac{\text{CFU/ml after adhesion}}{\text{CFU/ml before adhesion}} \times 100\%$$

127

128 *2.3. Cell wall protein extraction*

129 Extraction of cell-wall protein fraction was done according to Izquierdo et al. (2009)
130 with some modifications. Cell surface exposed proteins were extracted by lysozyme cell
131 shaving. Briefly, selected strains were inoculated (1%) into 40 ml MRS in triplicate and
132 incubated for 18-20 h (stationary phase) at 37°C. Bacterial cultures were harvested by
133 centrifugation (5000 rpm, 5 min, 4°C), washed three times with PBS, and the obtained
134 pellets were resuspended in 2 ml of the extraction solution previously prepared (100
135 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5 mM EDTA and 1 mg/ml lysozyme). The mixtures were

136 incubated for 2 h at 37°C under moderate agitation, and then centrifuged (8000 g, 15
137 min, 4°C). Supernatants were collected and 0.3 ml of a homogenized solution (50:50,
138 v/v) consisting of a strong cation exchanger phase (SCX, International Sorbent
139 Technology, Tucson, USA) previously equilibrated with a solution 100 mM Tris-HCl,
140 pH 8.0 and 5 mM EDTA was added. The mixtures were incubated under strong
141 agitation for 30 min at 37°C, centrifuged (5000 rpm, 2 min, 4°C) and the resulting
142 supernatants were collected, filtered through a 0.45 µm pore size filter (Cromafil
143 PET; Macherey-Nagel, Hoerd, France) and the proteins were precipitated by adding 10
144 ml of ice-cold acetone. Protein pellets were collected by centrifugation (9000 rpm, 10
145 min, 4°C) and purified by adding 0.5 ml of Trizol (Euromedex, Souffelweyersheim,
146 France) and 0.1 ml of chloroform, followed by vortex agitation and incubation for 5 min
147 at room temperature. After centrifugation (12000 rpm, 15 min, 4°C), the upper aqueous
148 phase was discarded, 0.15 ml of ethanol was added and the content was mixed by
149 inversion. Samples were centrifuged (2000 rpm, 5 min, 4°C) and the proteins present
150 in the supernatant were precipitated by adding 2 ml of ice-cold acetone. Protein pellets
151 were harvested by centrifugation (12000 rpm, 15 min, 4°C), washed twice with
152 acetone/water (80:20, v/v) at -20°C and resuspended in 0.2 ml of buffer solution (7 M
153 urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 20 mM Tris, pH 8.5) prior quantification of the protein
154 concentration using Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad).

155

156 *2.4. Protein separation by 2-DE gel electrophoresis*

157 A buffer solution IEF (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 20 mM Tris, pH 8.5, 1
158 M DTT, 0.2% carrier ampholites, pH 3.0–10.0, 0.5 % bromophenol blue) was used for
159 sample dilution (about 150 µg of protein). Diluted samples were loaded onto 17 cm, pH
160 3-10 IPG strips for rehydration at room temperature for 12-15 h at 50 V, focused for
161 60000 V × h using a Protean[®] IEF Cell (Bio-Rad) and separated on a 12% SDS-
162 polyacrylamide gel as previously reported by Izquierdo et al. (2009). The gels were
163 stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (Fluka, Steinheim, Germany) and scanned on a
164 GS-800 Calibrated Densitometer (Bio-Rad). With each bacterial strain, three analyses
165 from three independent cultures were carried out. Only proteins whose presence was
166 confirmed on the nine gels were considered as proteins of interest and selected for
167 further analysis.

168

169 2.5. *Image analysis and trypsin digestion*

170 Gel imaging, spot detection, matching, and quantitation were carried out using
171 PDQuest 8.0.1 data analysis software for 2D gel electrophoresis (Bio-Rad). Spots
172 intensities were normalized to the total intensity of valid spots, and both qualitative and
173 quantitative analyses were performed. To determine the differential production of a
174 protein, a protein was considered under- or overproduced when spot intensities passed
175 the threshold of at least a twofold difference (one-way ANOVA, p -value < 0.05), as
176 previously described by Izquierdo et al. (2009). Spots of interest, corresponding to
177 statistically significant changes in the levels of protein expression, were excised and
178 subjected to tryptic digestion according to Izquierdo et al. (2009).

179

180 2.6. *Tryptic digest analysis and protein identification*

181 Tryptic digests were analyzed using an Ultimate 3000 nano-LC-MS/MS system
182 (Dionex, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), in line connected to an QExactive Plus mass
183 spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). The simple mixture was
184 loaded on a trapping column (Acclaim PepMap, C18, 300 $\mu\text{m} \times 5 \text{ mm}$ (ID \times length),
185 5 μm particle size, 100 \AA porosity, Thermo Scientific). After washing, the mixture was
186 separated using a 40 min linear gradient from 5% of 0.1% of formic acid (FA) in water
187 to 90% of 0.1% FA in acetonitrile at a flow rate of 250 nl/min on an analytical nanoLC
188 column (Acclaim PepMap RSLC, C18, 75 $\mu\text{m} \times 500 \text{ mm}$ (ID \times length), 2 mm particle
189 size, 100 \AA porosity, Thermo Scientific). The mass spectrometer was operated in data-
190 dependent acquisition mode, automatically switching between MS and MS/MS
191 acquisition for the eight most abundant multiple charged ions (2, 3, and 4 times). Full-
192 scan MS spectra were acquired from m/z 300 to 1650 at a target value of 3×10^6 with a
193 resolution of 70,000 at 200 m/z . MS/MS spectra were obtained with a resolution of
194 35,000 at 200 m/z . The scan range for MS/MS was set to m/z 200–2000.

195 The identification of peptides and proteins was performed using PEAKS 8.0, using
196 the reference sequence of *Lactobacillus pentosus* KCA1
197 (<http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/I8R8S7>) and *Lactobacillus pentosus* DSM 20314
198 (<http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/A0A0R1FPQ6>) downloaded in FASTA format from
199 UniprotKB and contained 12272 protein sequences annotated with Tremb identifiers.
200 The search parameters included parent mass error tolerance of 10.0 ppm and
201 monoisotopic parent mass, fragment mass error tolerance of 0.02 Da, trypsin was used

202 as enzyme cleavage, the maximal number of missed cleavage was 3 and only tryptic
203 peptides were considered during the search. Carbamidomethylation for reduced and
204 alkylated cysteine was used as fix, while methionine oxidation was used as variable
205 modification, with 6 maximal variable post-translational modification per peptide.
206 Results were considered with false discovery rate (FDR) \leq 1% at PSM, peptide and
207 protein levels.

208

209 *2.7. Characterization of adhesive L. pentosus strains using Scanning Electron* 210 *Microscope*

211 The mucin-adhesion capacity of each *L. pentosus* strain (i.e., highly, moderately and
212 poorly adhesive phenotypes) was examined using scanning electron microscope (SEM)
213 according to the methods described by Nyenje, Green, and Ndip (2012) with some
214 modifications. For this, sterile stubs were introduced in sterile centrifuge tubes with 5
215 ml of Porcin mucin solution (1 mg/ml) in PBS, as described above, for 1 h at room
216 temperature, and then incubated overnight at 4°C. Further, the stubs were removed,
217 washed twice with 5 ml of sterile PBS and then added with 5 ml of a bacterial
218 suspension (10^8 CFU/ml) prepared as described above (paragraph 2.2). The stubs were
219 incubated for 1 h at 37°C and then they were washed five times with 5 ml of sterile
220 citrate buffer to discard unbound bacteria. Then, the stubs were removed, and the
221 bacteria were fixed using 4% formaldehyde for 1 h at room temperature and then
222 dehydrated in a series of 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100% ethanol solutions (15 min each).
223 Finally, the stubs were frozen at -80°C overnight, freeze-dried for 4 hours and sputter-
224 coated with Gold palladium using Elko 1B.3 ion coater before viewing with the SEM
225 (FESEM, MERLIN de Carl Zeiss, Oxford).

226

227 *2.8. Effect of mucin exposure on gene expression*

228 To test the changes in the expression of genes -selected as biomarkers by proteomic
229 analysis- in the presence and absence of mucin, MRS broth (5 ml) added or not with
230 porcin mucin solution at 0.1% (w/v) was inoculated with 1% of an overnight culture of
231 *L. pentosus* strains with high or poor adhesion capacity to mucin. After 20 h incubation
232 at 37°C, cells were centrifuged and subjected to RNA extraction using Direct-zol™
233 RNA Miniprep (Zymo Research, California, USA) according to the manufacturer's

234 instructions. RNA quantification and quality assessment were carried out by using a
235 NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). RNAs were adjusted to a
236 concentration of 500 ng/ml and frozen at -80 °C until required for analysis.

237 The expression of selected genes was determined by quantitative, real-time PCR
238 (qRT-PCR) using SensiFAST™ SYBR & Fluorescein One-Step Kit (BIOLINE).
239 Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthase alpha-subunit (*pheS*) gene was used as a housekeeping
240 gene (Naser et al., 2005), and a no-template control (NTC) was used as negative control.
241 Primers and annealing temperatures used in this study are described in Table 1.
242 Quantitative PCRs (qPCRs) were performed in triplicate on a CFX96 Touch™ Real-
243 Time PCR Detection System from BioRad using 2 Power SYBR green chemistry. PCR-
244 grade water served as a negative control.

245

246 2.9. Evaluation of the effect of mucin on the probiotic profile of *L. pentosus* strains

247 To test whether mucin had any effect on *L. pentosus* strains auto-aggregation or co-
248 aggregation capacity with pathogenic bacteria: *Listeria innocua* CECT 910,
249 *Staphylococcus aureus* CECT 4468, *Escherichia coli* CCUG 47553, and *Salmonella*
250 *Enteritidis* UJ3449. All *L. pentosus* strains were cultured separately overnight at 37°C in
251 the presence of 0.1% mucin (prepared as described above, paragraph 2.2.). After
252 incubation, the auto-aggregation and the co-aggregation capacities versus controls
253 prepared in MRS broth without mucin were determined following the procedures
254 reported by Pérez Montoro et al. (2016). The auto-aggregation percentage is expressed
255 as:

$$256 \text{ Agg\%} = \left(1 - \frac{OD_1}{OD_0}\right) \times 100\%,$$

257 where OD_0 and OD_1 represent the absorbance values measured at 580 nm at times $t =$
258 0 h and $t = 2$ h, respectively. The percentage of co-aggregation was expressed as:

$$259 \text{ Co - Agg\%} = \left(1 - \frac{OD_{600} \text{ of upper suspension at time of 0h}}{OD_{600} \text{ of total bacteria suspension at starting time of 0h}}\right) \times 100\%.$$

260

261 2.10. Statistical analysis

262 All analyses were performed in triplicate. Statistical analyses were conducted using
263 Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, US) program to determine
264 averages and standard deviations. Statistical evaluation of *in vitro* adhesion, auto-

265 aggregation and co-aggregation assays were conducted by analysis of variance
266 (*ANOVA*) using Statgraphics Centurion XVI software (Statpoint Technologie,
267 Warrenton, Virginia, US). The same software was used to perform Shapiro–Wilk and
268 the Levene tests to check data normality and to perform 2-sided Tukey’s multiple
269 contrast to determine the pair-wise differences between strains, where level of
270 significance was set at *P*-value of <0.05.

271

272 **3. Results**

273 *3.1. Adhesion capacity of L. pentosus strains*

274 The relative adhesion capacity to mucin was investigated among 31 *L. pentosus*
275 strains with the aim of selecting three phenotypes for further proteomic examination:
276 highly (HA), moderately (MA) and poorly (PA) adhesive strains. Strains exhibited
277 adhesion capacities ranging from 32.79% to 73.49% showing that adhesion is a strain
278 specific property (Table 2). We therefore selected three strains with significant ($p <$
279 0.05) differences in adhesion ability: *L. pentosus* CF2-20P, with a poor adhesion
280 (32.79%), *L. pentosus* CF1-37N, with a moderate adhesion (49.56%), and *L. pentosus*
281 CF1-43N, with a high adhesion (73.49%) (Table 2). The three strains were further
282 investigated by comparative analyses of their cell-wall proteomes.

283

284 *3.2. Comparative cell wall proteomic analysis of L. pentosus strains*

285 The objective of this investigation was to pinpoint proteins involved in the adhesion
286 of *L. pentosus* strains to mucus. Figure 1 shows representative 2-D electrophoresis
287 patterns of cell surface proteome extracted by lysozyme cell shaving of *L. pentosus*
288 CF2-20P (PA), CF1-37N (MA) and CF1-43N (HA) strains. Overall, cell wall proteomes
289 of highly and poorly adhesive *L. pentosus* strains were very similar; however, the
290 moderately adhesive *L. pentosus* strain showed several distinctive proteins (Fig. 1).
291 Eleven of the observed proteins displayed differential production levels; among them,
292 nine were over-produced in *L. pentosus* CF1-43N (HA), seven in *L. pentosus* CF1-37N
293 (MA) and one in *L. pentosus* CF2-20P (PA) (Table 3, Table S1). All proteins were
294 identified using *L. pentosus* DSM 20314 or *L. pentosus* KCA1 proteome (Table 3).
295 Among the differentially expressed proteins, four could be linked to the ability of *L.*
296 *pentosus* strains to adhere to mucus, as they were found in higher amounts in the cell-
297 wall proteome of the highly adhesive strain CF1-43N, as compared to strains CF2-20P
298 and CF1-37N (Table 3, Table S1). These proteins were phosphoglycerate mutase
299 “PGM” (spot 7203), glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase “GNPDA” (spot 5301),
300 transcription elongation factor GreA “GreA” (spot 2102) and the small heat-shock
301 protein (spot 1102). These four proteins are involved in the glycolytic pathway (PGM
302 and GNPDA), stress response (small heat shock protein) and transcription (GreA).

303

304 *3.3. SEM analysis of adhesion capacity of L. pentosus strains*

305 To elucidate variations in adhesion capacity of *L. pentosus* strains, SEM images
306 revealed differences in adhesion to mucin especially between the highly and the poorly
307 adhesive strains (Fig. 2). Microscopy revealed that the poorly adhesive *L. pentosus*
308 CF2-20P used other adhesion mechanisms since mucin induced biofilm formation (Fig.
309 2 F-G), which was not evident in the HA strain. As such, it could be presumed that the
310 highly adhesive strain relied on surface properties for attachment.

311

312 3.4. Expression of genes selected as “biomarkers” in response to mucin exposure

313 The genes corresponding to proteins differentially produced in the highly and poorly
314 adhesive *L. pentosus* strains CF1-43N and CF2-20P, respectively were *pgm* (coding for
315 phosphoglycerate mutase), *nagB* (coding for glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase),
316 *greA* (coding for transcription elongation factor GreA) and *shsp* (coding for the small
317 heat-shock protein). The relative expression of all genes in the absence of mucin was
318 high in the highly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-43N in comparison with the poorly
319 adhesive *L. pentosus* CF2-20P except for *nagB* gene (Fig. 3). The highly adhesive *L.*
320 *pentosus* CF1-43N (without mucin) was considered as control for mucin exposure and
321 set to one. The fold changes in the expression of *pgm*, *nagB*, *greA* and *shsp* genes in
322 response to mucin exposure in the highly and poorly adhesive strains CF1-43N and
323 CF2-20P, respectively were shown in Figure 3. There was a significant up-regulation of
324 *pgm*, *nagB* and *greA* genes in the highly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-43N when exposed
325 to mucin for 20 h and the fold change was ranging from 2.6 to 5. However, only *pgm*
326 was up-regulated in the poorly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF2-20P, while *shsp* gene was
327 under-regulated in the presence of mucin (Fig. 3).

328 3.5. Mucin influence on probiotic properties of *L. pentosus* strains

329 Pre-exposure of *L. pentosus* strains to mucin decreased their auto-aggregation
330 capacity except for *L. pentosus* CF1-37N (MA) (Table 4). However, there was an
331 increase in the co-aggregation ability of *L. pentosus* CF1-43N (HA) with *Listeria*
332 *innocua* CECT 910 and *Escherichia coli* CCUG 47553 and of *L. pentosus* CF1-37N
333 (MA) with *Salmonella* Enteritidis UJ3449 (Table 4). *L. pentosus* CF2-20P on the other
334 hand did not exhibit improved co-aggregation with these bacteria after mucin exposure
335 (Table 4).

336

337 4. Discussion

338 *Lactobacillus* spp. of vegetal origin are potentially probiotic and could confer health
339 benefits by promoting healthy digestion (simple and complex carbohydrates, and also
340 prebiotics), inhibiting pathogens (via production of acids and plantaricins, auto-
341 aggregation, or co-aggregation with several pathogens) and strengthening the intestinal
342 barrier (interaction with host cells) (Pérez Montoro et al., 2016). Thus, selection of
343 potential probiotic strains with the capacity to colonize, even transiently, the intestinal
344 tract, should be based on the survivability under harsh gastro-intestinal conditions (e.g.,
345 exposures to acid and biles) and also on the ability to adhere to host cells and mucosa -
346 a presumed requisite for sufficient host-interaction and health effects. As such, the
347 adhesion of probiotic bacteria to intestinal mucosa could very importantly help to
348 guarantee efficient colonization and persistence in the intestinal tract. Several reports
349 have highlighted the importance of the molecular adhesion mechanisms by
350 *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp., the two most known and used probiotic
351 groups (Gilad, Svensson, Viborg, Stuer-Lauridsen, & Jacobsen, 2011; Izquierdo et al.,
352 2009; Sánchez, Bressollier, & Urdaci, 2008). Furthermore, Kleerebezem et al. (2010)
353 reported that an important part of *Lactobacillus*' health-promoting interactions with the
354 host (intestinal) system involves effector molecules existing in the bacterial cell
355 envelope. Therefore, cell-wall proteomic approaches could bring insight into the
356 molecular mechanisms involved in adhesion process and help identify key molecules
357 underlying a strain's ability to colonize, persist and exert beneficial health effects.

358 Intriguingly, part of the cytoplasmic housekeeping proteins detected in the
359 extracellular proteomes have been defined as moonlighting proteins. Moonlighting
360 proteins display a dual role depending on their subcellular localization, as they perform
361 metabolic functions inside the cell but also could be transported to the cell-wall surface
362 to contribute to secondary biochemical functions (Huberts & van der Klei, 2010). Such
363 proteins include glycolytic proteins (such as PGM, phosphoglycerate kinase, pyruvate
364 kinase, GAPDH, glucose 6-phosphate isomerase, enolase), protein folding and stress
365 responses-involved proteins (GroEL and DnaK), as well as transcription and translation
366 proteins (elongation factor Tu, elongation factor Ts, several ribosomal proteins)
367 (Bergonzelli et al., 2006; Castaldo et al., 2009; Granato et al., 2004; Kainulainen et al.,
368 2012; Kinoshita et al., 2008). These protein could be found on the surface of
369 *Lactobacillus* spp. where they act as adhesion promoting factors. Izquierdo et al. (2009)
370 suggested that the over-production of EF-Tu, GroEL chaperonin, molecular chaperone

371 DnaK, GroES co-chaperonin and GAPDH may contribute to the high adhesion ability
372 of *L. plantarum* WHE 92 to mucin. Overall, in this study the cell-wall of the highly
373 adhesive *L. pentosus* showed different moonlighting protein patterns when compared
374 with *L. plantarum*, although they have similar key functions (i.e., glycolytic enzymes
375 and stress response).

376 PGM, a key enzyme of the central metabolism, which catalyzes the interconversion
377 of 3-phosphoglycerate and 2-phosphoglycerate during glycolysis and gluconeogenesis
378 has been shown to contribute to *Bifidobacterium*'s ability to adhere to plasma
379 components (Candela et al., 2007). Furthermore, *in silico* analysis of *L. pentosus* MP-10
380 isolated from Aloreña green table olives revealed different gene copies of PGM in its
381 genome, which indicates that the gene products may accomplish other functions as
382 moonlighting proteins (Abriouel et al., 2017). In this study, PGM was over-produced in
383 the highly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-43N as compared to the other two strains: *L.*
384 *pentosus* CF2-20P and *L. pentosus* CF1-37 each with poorly and moderately adhesive
385 capabilities, respectively. This fact suggests that this surface-associated protein plays a
386 key role in the adhesion of *L. pentosus* to mucus in addition to other functions such as
387 resistance to acids. Pérez Montoro et al. (2018) showed that 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-
388 dependent PGM 2 (PGAM-d) was among the key markers of acid resistance in *L.*
389 *pentosus*, as it was also over-produced by resistant strains in response to acids.

390 GNPDA, which catalyzes the reversible isomerization-deamination of glucosamine
391 6-phosphate (GlcN6P) to form fructose 6-phosphate (Fru6P) and ammonium ion during
392 carbohydrate metabolic process, was also over-produced in *L. pentosus* CF1-43N (HA),
393 but it was down-regulated in *L. pentosus* CF1-37N (MA) and not expressed in *L.*
394 *pentosus* CF2-20P (PA). No previous reports could however be found about a possible
395 role of this protein in the adhesion process, but Koskenniemi et al. (2011) showed
396 however that GNPDA/isomerase was strongly up-regulated after addition of bile.

397 GreA is another moonlighting protein which was over-produced in the highly
398 adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-43N as compared to *L. pentosus* CF2-20P (PA), and was not
399 found in *L. pentosus* CF1-37N (MA). This protein has multiple roles in *E. coli*
400 enhancing the resistance of host cells to environmental perturbations and may have
401 functional chaperone roles during resistance response to various stressors (Li et al.,
402 2012). Similarly, GreA was up-regulated under low pH growth of *Streptococcus mutans*
403 (Len, Harty, & Jacques, 2004) and also under cell-wall targeted antibiotic stress in *S.*
404 *aureus* (Singh, Jayaswal, & Wilkinson, 2001).

405 Regarding stress proteins, small heat shock protein was present in higher amounts in
406 the highly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-43N than the other two strains. This protein is
407 involved in survival and stress tolerance, but it is also associated with probiotic
408 interactions with the host (Candela et al., 2009; Gilad, Svensson, Viborg, Stuer-
409 Lauridsen, & Jacobsen; Izquierdo et al. 2009; Le Maréchal et al., 2014; Sánchez et al.,
410 2005). The small heat-shock protein is involved in the irreversible protein denaturation
411 prevention in response to cellular stresses (Narberhaus, 2002); in this study, the small
412 heat shock protein of Hsp20 family was involved in the adhesion of *L. pentosus* to
413 mucin in a similar way as reported by Le Maréchal et al. (2015) for *Propionibacterium*
414 *freudenreichii*.

415 Analysis of the expression of genes coding for PGM, GNPDA, GreA and small heat-
416 shock protein in response to mucin exposure in the highly and poorly adhesive *L.*
417 *pentosus* strains CF1-43N and CF2-20P, respectively confirmed the role of these
418 proteins in mucin adhesion. Differences were detected between the highly and poorly
419 adhesive *L. pentosus* in the absence of mucin, since *L. pentosus* CF1-43N showed
420 higher fold change with 2.2-9 compared with *L. pentosus* CF2-20P. After exposure to
421 mucin, *nagB*, *greA* and *pgm* were upregulated in the highly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-
422 43N while *shsp* gene didn't change. However, when compared to the poorly adhesive *L.*
423 *pentosus* CF2-20P, *shsp* gene was up-regulated in *L. pentosus* CF1-43N. The expression
424 change in response to mucin exposure clearly indicates the significant role of *nagB*,
425 *greA*, *pgm* and *shsp* genes in the adhesion capacity of *L. pentosus*.

426 SEM images confirmed that *L. pentosus* CF1-43N (HA) cells were more tightly
427 adhered to mucin than *L. pentosus* CF2-20P (PA) cells, and the mechanisms employed
428 by the highly and the poorly adhesive strains seem to be different. As such, the
429 lactobacilli used different mechanisms to interact with the host cells; here, we observed
430 that the differential surface proteins in the highly adhesive strain likely contributed to
431 more efficient mucin interaction and greater number of adhered cells. On the contrary,
432 mucin-adhesion of the poorly adhesive *L. pentosus* strain was mediated by biofilm
433 formation (exopolysaccharides and/or fimbrial interactions). Fimbriae are proteinaceous
434 extensions involved in mucus adhesion of lactobacilli as reported by Van Tassel &
435 Miller (2011). Thus, lactobacilli from Aloreña green table olives exhibited a variety of
436 molecular mechanisms mediating host-adhesion, which reflects niche specialization
437 since surface proteins are essential for host colonization as for LAB (e.g., review by
438 Nishiyama, Sugiyama, & Mukai, 2016). The interaction with mucin, whether by

439 fimbria, exopolysaccharide or surface proteins of probiotic *L. pentosus* strains, could
440 improve their antimicrobial effect in the gut since their co-aggregation ability was
441 enhanced in the presence of mucin. Conditions, as those present in the gut after
442 interaction of probiotic *L. pentosus* strains, could improve the protection of mucosal
443 barrier via exclusion of pathogens.

444 Further, the probiotic potential of *L. pentosus* strains could be enhanced by a
445 previous stress (e.g, acids, bile salts, antimicrobials, mucin) since a plethora of genes
446 involved in survival and interaction with host can be expressed as a consequence. A
447 previous study by Casado Muñoz et al. (2016) showed that adaptation to sub-lethal
448 concentrations of antimicrobials could promote the desirable increased robustness of
449 probiotic *L. pentosus* MP-10 to many environmental and gastrointestinal conditions
450 (e.g., acid and bile stresses). Similarly, Pérez Montoro et al. (2018) described that pre-
451 exposure of *L. pentosus* strains to acids enhanced their probiotic function such as auto-
452 aggregation ability via surface proteins. In the present study, PGM was over-produced
453 in the highly adhesive *L. pentosus* strain in the absence and presence of mucin and also
454 under acidic conditions in *L. pentosus* strains (Pérez Montoro et al., 2018).

455

456 **5. Conclusions**

457 Cell wall proteome analysis identified, for the first time, key protein biomarkers
458 involved in mucus adhesion of *L. pentosus* strains. The results revealed the presence, in
459 higher amounts, of four moonlighting proteins in the highly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-
460 43N than in the other two *L. pentosus* strains. The genes coding for these proteins were
461 up-regulated in response to mucin in the highly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-43N which
462 clearly indicates the significant role of *nagB*, *greA*, *pgm* and *shsp* genes in the adhesion
463 capacity of *L. pentosus*. These proteins were involved in glycolytic pathway (PGM and
464 GNPDA), stress response (small heat shock protein) and transcription (GreA). They
465 could be used as biomarkers for the adhesion ability of *L. pentosus* strains and probably
466 also for other probiotic effects, such as the co-aggregation with pathogens, which was
467 enhanced following exposure to mucin.

468

469

470 **Acknowledgments**

471 We acknowledge research grants: AGL2013-43571-P (Ministerio de Economía y
472 Competitividad, MINECO, FEDER), UJA2014/07/02 (Plan Propio UJA) and Research
473 Team (EI_BIO01_2017).

474 Technical and human support provided by CICT of Universidad de Jaén (UJA,
475 MINECO, Junta de Andalucía, FEDER) is gratefully acknowledged.

476

477 **References**

- 478 Abriouel, H., Benomar, N., Lucas, R., & Gálvez, A. (2011). Culture-independent study
479 of the diversity of microbial populations in brines during fermentation of naturally
480 fermented Aloreña green table olives. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,
481 *144*, 487-96.
- 482 Abriouel, H., Benomar, N., Cobo, A., Caballero, N., Fernández Fuentes, M. A., Pérez-
483 Pulido R, et al. (2012). Characterization of lactic acid bacteria from naturally-
484 fermented Manzanilla Aloreña green table olives. *Food Microbiol* 32:308-316.
- 485 Abriouel, H., Pérez Montoro, B., Casado Muñoz, M. C., Lavilla Lerma, L., Hidalgo
486 Pestaña, M., Caballero Gómez, N., et al. (2016). Complete genome sequence of a
487 potentially probiotic *Lactobacillus pentosus* MP-10 isolated from fermented
488 Aloreña table olives. *Genome Announcement*, *4*, e00854-16.
- 489 Abriouel, H., Pérez Montoro, B., Casimiro-Soriguer, C. S., Pérez Pulido, A. J., Knapp,
490 C. W., Caballero Gómez, N., et al. (2017). Insight into Potential Probiotic Markers
491 Predicted in *Lactobacillus pentosus* MP-10 Genome Sequence. *Frontiers in*
492 *Microbiology*, *8*, 891.
- 493 Arroyo-López, F. N., Durán-Quintana, M. C., Ruiz-Barba, J. L., Querol, A., & Garrido-
494 Fernández, A. (2006). Use of molecular methods for the identification of yeast
495 associated with table olives. *Food Microbiology*, *23*, 791-6.
- 496 Bergonzelli, G. E., Granato, D., Pridmore, R. D., Marvin-Guy, L. F., Donnicola, D., &
497 Corthésy-Theulaz, I. E. (2006). GroEL of *Lactobacillus johnsonii* La1 (NCC 533)
498 is cell surface associated: potential role in interactions with the host and the gastric
499 pathogen *Helicobacter pylori*. *Infection and Immunity*, *74*, 425-434.
- 500 Blana, V. A., Grounta, A., Tassou, C. C., Nychas, G. J., & Panagou, E. Z. (2014).
501 Inoculated fermentation of green olives with potential probiotic *Lactobacillus*
502 *pentosus* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* starter cultures isolated from industrially
503 fermented olives. *Food Microbiology*, *38*, 208-18.
- 504 Bonatsou, S., Tassou, C. C., Panagou, E. Z., & Nychas, G. J. E. (2017). Table Olive
505 Fermentation Using Starter Cultures with Multifunctional Potential.
506 *Microorganisms*, *5*, 30.
- 507 Candela, M., Bergmann, S., Vici, M., Vitali, B., Turrone, S., Eikmanns, B. J., et al.
508 (2007). Binding of human plasminogen to *Bifidobacterium*. *Journal of*
509 *Bacteriology*, *189*, 5929–5936.

510 Candela, M., Biagi, E., Centanni, M., Turrone, S., Vici, M., Musiani, F., et al. (2009).
511 Bifidobacterial enolase, a cell surface receptor for human plasminogen involved in
512 the interaction with the host. *Microbiology*, *155*, 3294–3303.

513 Casado Muñoz, M. C., Benomar, N., Ennahar, S., Horvatovich, P., Lavilla Lerma, L.,
514 Knapp, C. W., et al. (2016). Comparative proteomic analysis of a potentially
515 probiotic *Lactobacillus pentosus* MP-10 for the identification of key proteins
516 involved in antibiotic resistance and biocide tolerance. *International Journal of*
517 *Food Microbiology*, *222*, 8-15.

518 Castaldo, C., Vastano, V., Siciliano, R. A., Candela, M., Vici, M., Muscariello, L., et al.
519 (2009). Surface displaced alfa-enolase of *Lactobacillus plantarum* is a fibronectin
520 binding protein. *Microbial Cell Factories*, *8*, 14.

521 Collado, M. C., Meriluoto, J., & Salminen, S. (2008). Adhesion and aggregation
522 properties of probiotic and pathogen strains. *European Food Research and*
523 *Technology*, *226*, 1065–1073.

524 Cross, M. L. (2002). Microbes versus microbes: Immune signals generated by probiotic
525 lactobacilli and their role in protection against microbial pathogens. *FEMS*
526 *Immunology And Medical Microbiology*, *4*, 245–253.

527 De Bellis, P., Valerio, F., Sisto, A., Lonigro, S. L., Lavermicocca, P. (2010). Probiotic
528 table olives: Microbial populations adhering on olive surface in fermentation sets
529 inoculated with the probiotic strain *Lactobacillus paracasei* IMPC2.1 in an
530 industrial plant. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *140*, 6–13.

531 Domínguez-Manzano, J., Olmo-Ruiz, C., Bautista-Gallego, J., Arroyo-López, F. N.,
532 Garrido-Fernández, A., & Jiménez-Díaz, R. (2012). Biofilm formation on abiotic
533 and biotic surfaces during Spanish style green table olive fermentation.
534 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *157*, 230–238.

535 Faten, K., Hamida, K., Soumya, El. A., Saad, I. S. K., Hasna, M., Hassan, L., et al.
536 (2016). *Lactobacillus plantarum*: Effect of a protective biofilm on the surface of
537 olives during storage. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, *47*, 202–209.

538 Fuller, R. (1989). A review: Probiotics in man and animals. *Journal of Applied*
539 *Bacteriology*, *66*, 365-378.

540 Gilad, O., Svensson, B., Viborg, A. H., Stuer-Lauridsen, B., & Jacobsen, S. (2011). The
541 extracellular proteome of *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis* BB-12 reveals
542 proteins with putative roles in probiotic effects. *Proteomics*, *11*, 2503-2514.

543 Granato, D., Bergonzelli, G. E., Pridmore, R. D., Marvin, L., Rouvet, M., & Cortesy-
544 theulaz, I. E. (2004). Cell surface-associated elongation factor Tu mediates the
545 attachment of *Lactobacillus johnsonii* NCC533 (La1) to human intestinal cells and
546 mucins. *Infection and Immunity*, *72*, 2160–2169.

547 Grounta, A., Doulgeraki, A. I., Nychas, G. J. E., & Panagou, E. Z. (2015). Biofilm
548 formation on Conservolea natural Black olives during single and combined
549 inoculation with a functional *Lactobacillus pentosus* starter culture. *Food*
550 *Microbiology*, *56*, 35-44.

551 Huberts, D. H. E. W., & van der Klei, I. J. (2010). Moonlighting proteins: an intriguing
552 mode of multitasking. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, *1803*, 520-525.

553 Hurtado, A., Reguant, C., Bordons, A., Rozès, N. (2010). Evaluation of a single and
554 combined inoculation of a *Lactobacillus pentosus* starter for processing cv.
555 Arbequina natural green olives. *Food Microbiology*, *27*, 731-40.

556 Izquierdo, E., Horvatovich, P., Marchioni, E., Aoude-Werner, D., Sanz, Y., & Ennahar,
557 S. (2009). 2-DE and MS analysis of key proteins in the adhesion of *Lactobacillus*
558 *plantarum*, a first step toward early selection of probiotics based on bacterial
559 biomarkers. *Electrophoresis*, *30*, 949-956.

560 Kainulainen, V., Loimaranta, V., Pekkala, A., Edelman, S., Antikainen, & J., Kylväjä,
561 R. (2012). Glutamine synthetase and glucose-6-phosphate isomerase are adhesive
562 moonlighting proteins of *Lactobacillus crispatus* released by epithelial cathelicidin
563 LL-37. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *194*, 2509–19.

564 Kinoshita, H., Uchida, H., Kawai, Y., Kawasaki, T., Wakahara, N., Matsuo, H., et al.
565 (2008). Cell surface *Lactobacillus plantarum* LA 318 glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate
566 dehydrogenase (GAPDH) adheres to human colonic mucin. *Journal of Applied*
567 *Microbiology*, *104*, 1667-1674.

568 Kleerebezem, M., Hols, P., Bernard, E., Rolain, T., Zhou, M., Siezen, R. J., et al.
569 (2010). The extracellular biology of the lactobacilli. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*,
570 *34*, 199-230.

571 Koskenniemi, K., Laakso, K., Koponen, J., Kankainen, M., Greco, D., Auvinen, P., et
572 al. (2011). Proteomics and Transcriptomics Characterization of Bile Stress
573 Response in Probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG. *Molecular & Cellular*
574 *Proteomics*, *10*, M110.

575 Lavermicocca, P., Valerio, F., Lonigro, S. L., De Angelis, M., Morelli, L., & Callegari,
576 M. L. (2005). Study of adhesion and survival of Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria on

577 table olives with the aim of formulating a new probiotic food. *Applied and*
578 *Environmental Microbiology*, 71, 4233–4240.

579 Le Maréchal, C., Peton, V., Plé, C., Vroland, C., Jardin, J., Briard-Bion, V., et al.
580 (2014). Data from an integrative approach decipher the surface proteome of
581 *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*. *Data Brief*, 1, 46–50.

582 Le Maréchal, C., Peton, V., Plé, C., Vroland, C., Jardin, J., Briard-Bion, V., et al.
583 (2015). Surface proteins of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* are involved in its
584 anti-inflammatory properties. *Journal of Proteomics*, 112, 447–461.

585 Len, A. C., Harty, D. W., & Jacques, N. A. (2004). Stress-responsive proteins are
586 upregulated in *Streptococcus mutans* during acid tolerance. *Microbiology*, 150,
587 1339–1351.

588 Li, K., Jiang, T., Yu, B., Wang, L., Gao, C., Ma, C., et al. (2012). Transcription
589 Elongation Factor GreA Has Functional Chaperone Activity. *PLoS ONE*, 7,
590 e47521.

591 Maldonado-Barragán, A., Caballero-Guerrero, B., Lucena-Padrós, H., & Ruiz-Barba, J.
592 L. (2011). Genome sequence of *Lactobacillus pentosus* IG1, a strain isolated from
593 Spanish-style green olive fermentations. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 193, 5605.

594 Martins, E. M. F., Ramos, A. M., Vanzela, E. S. L., Stringheta, P. C., Pinto, C. L. O., &
595 Martins, J. M. (2013). Products of vegetable origin: A new alternative for the
596 consumption of probiotic bacteria. *Food Research International*, 51, 764–770.

597 Narberhaus, F. (2002). Alpha-crystallin-type heat shock proteins: socializing
598 minichaperones in the context of a multichaperone network. *Microbiology and*
599 *Molecular Biology Reviews*, 66, 64-93.

600 Naser, S.M., Thompson, F. L., Hoste, B., Gevers, D., Dawyndt, P., Vancanneyt, M.,
601 Swings, J. (2005). Application of multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) for rapid
602 identification of *Enterococcus* species based on *rpoA* and *pheS* genes.
603 *Microbiology*, 151, 2141-2150.

604 Nishiyama, K., Sugiyama, M., & Mukai, T. (2016). Adhesion Properties of Lactic Acid
605 Bacteria on Intestinal Mucin. *Microorganisms*, 4, 34.

606 Nisiotou, A. A., Chorianopoulos, N., Nychas, G. J. E., & Panagou, E. Z. (2010). Yeast
607 heterogeneity during spontaneous fermentation of black *Conservolea* olives in
608 different brine solutions. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 108, 396-405.

609 Nyenje, M.E., Green, E., & Ndip, R.N. (2012). Biofilm Formation and Adherence
610 Characteristics of *Listeria ivanovii* Strains Isolated from Ready-to-Eat Foods in
611 Alice, South Africa. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2012, 873909.

612 Ouwehand, A. C., Salminen, S., & Isolauri, E. (2002). Probiotics: An overview of
613 beneficial effects. *Antonie Leeuwenhoek*, 82, 279–289.

614 Panagou, E.Z., Schillinger, U., Franz, C.M.A.P., & Nychas, G.J.E. (2008).
615 Microbiological and biochemical profile of cv. Conservolea naturally black olives
616 during controlled fermentation with selected strains of lactic acid bacteria. *Food*
617 *Microbiology*, 2, 348-358.

618 Pérez-Montoro, B., Benomar, N., Lavilla-Lerma, L., Castillo-Gutiérrez, S., Gálvez, A.,
619 & Abriouel, H. (2016). Fermented Aloreña Table Olives as a Source of Potential
620 Probiotic *Lactobacillus pentosus* Strains. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 1583.

621 Pérez Montoro, B., Benomar, N., Caballero Gómez, N., Ennahar, S., Horvatovich, P.,
622 Knapp, C. W., et al. (2018). Proteomic analysis of *Lactobacillus pentosus* for the
623 identification of potential markers involved in acid resistance and their influence on
624 other probiotic features. *Food Microbiology*, 72, 31-38.

625 Randazzo, C. L., Rajendram, R., & Caggia, C. (2010). Lactic acid bacteria in table olive
626 fermentations. In V. R. Preedy & R. R. Watson (Eds.). *Olives and Olive Oil in*
627 *Health and Disease*. (pp. 369–376). Academic Press: London.

628 Rodríguez-Gomez, F., Romero-Gil, V., Bautista-Gallego, J., García-García, P., Garrido-
629 Fernandez, A., & Arroyo-López, F. N. (2014). Production of potential probiotic
630 Spanish-style green table olives at pilot plant scale using multifunctional starters.
631 *Food Microbiology*, 44, 278-87.

632 Sánchez, B., Champomier-Vergès, M. C., Anglade, P., Baraige, F., de los Reyes-
633 Gavilán, C. G., Margolles A, et al. (2005). Proteomic analysis of global changes in
634 protein expression during bile salt exposure of *Bifidobacterium longum* NCIMB
635 8809. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 187, 5799–5808.

636 Sánchez, B., Bressollier, P., & Urdaci, M.C. (2008). Exported proteins in probiotic
637 bacteria: adhesion to intestinal surfaces, host immunomodulation and molecular
638 cross-talking with the host. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology*, 54, 1-
639 17.

640 Singh, V. K., Jayaswal, R. K., & Wilkinson, B. J. (2001). Cell wall-active antibiotic
641 induced proteins of *Staphylococcus aureus* identified using a proteomic approach.
642 *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 199, 79–84.

643 Valeriano, V. D., Parungao-Balolong, M. M., & Kang, D. K. (2014). *In vitro* evaluation
644 of the mucin-adhesion ability and probiotic potential of *Lactobacillus mucosae*
645 LM1. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 117, 485-497.

646 Van Tassell, M. L., & Miller, M. J. (2011). *Lactobacillus* Adhesion to Mucus.
647 *Nutrients*, 3, 613–636.

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677 **Figure legends**

678

679 **Figure 1.** 2-DE gels of cell-wall proteomes from *Lactobacillus pentosus* CF2-20P (A),
680 *L. pentosus* CF1-37N (B) and *L. pentosus* CF1-43N (C) with poorly, moderately and
681 highly adhesive capacity, respectively. The figure shows representative 2-DE gel
682 images (pH range: 3-10) of cell-wall protein lysates from early stationary phase of *L.*
683 *pentosus* strains. Spots exhibiting differential production between *L. pentosus* strains
684 were identified by LC-MS/MS analysis and database search.

685

686 **Figure 2.** Scanning electron micrographs of mucin-adhered *L. pentosus* CF1-43N (A-
687 C) and *L. pentosus* CF2-20P (D-G) with highly and poorly adhesive capacity,
688 respectively. Resolution of 10k (A and D), 30k (B and E), 82.53k (G) and 200k (C
689 and F) were shown.

690

691 **Figure 3.** The effect of mucin on the expression of *greA*, *nagB*, *pgm* and *shsp* genes in
692 *L. pentosus* CF1-43N and *L. pentosus* CF2-20P. The relative expression level in
693 control for the highly adhesive *L. pentosus* CF1-43N (without mucin exposure) was
694 set to one for fold expression analysis in other experimental groups. Each bar
695 represents mean value and standard deviation as error bar of three independent
696 experiments. The samples CH and CP corresponded to controls (without mucin) of *L.*
697 *pentosus* CF1-43N and *L. pentosus* CF2-20P, respectively; the samples MH and MP
698 corresponded to mucin-exposed *L. pentosus* CF1-43N and *L. pentosus* CF2-20P,
699 respectively. *significant differences between controls of both strains without mucin
700 ($P < 0.05$). **significant differences between the same *L. pentosus* strain in the
701 presence and absence of mucin ($P < 0.05$).