

1 **Tanaka, C., Janssen, X., Basterfield, L., Parkinson, K., Pearce, M., Reilly, J., ... Reilly, J. (2018).**
2 **Bidirectional associations between adiposity, sedentary behavior and physical activity: a longitudinal**
3 **study in children. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health.***

4

5 **Abstract 200 words**

6 **Background:** Previous studies have reported on the associations between obesity and sedentary behavior
7 (SB) or physical activity (PA) for children. The present study examined longitudinal and bidirectional
8 associations between adiposity and SB and PA in children. **Methods:** Participants were 356 children in
9 England. PA was measured at age 7 and 9 years using accelerometry. Outcome and exposures were time in
10 SB and PAs and concurrent body mass index (BMI) Z-score and fat index (FI). **Results:** Adiposity at baseline
11 was positively associated with change in SB ($\beta=0.975$, for FI) and negatively associated with changes in
12 moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) ($\beta=-0.285$ for BMI Z-score, $\beta=-0.607$ for FI), vigorous PA (VPA) (β
13 $=-0.095$ for FI) and total PA ($\beta=-48.675$ for FI), but not vice versa. The changes in SB, MVPA and total PA
14 for children with overweight/obesity were significantly more adverse than those of healthy weight children.
15 **Conclusions:** A high BMI Z-score or high body fatness at baseline was associated with lower MVPA and
16 VPA after 2 years, but not vice versa, which suggests that in this cohort adiposity influenced PA and SB, but
17 the associations between adiposity and SB or PA were not bidirectional.

18

19

20

21

22

1 **Introduction**

2 Childhood obesity is a widespread health and social problem which is still increasing in prevalence in
3 many countries.¹ A previous review of prospective studies concluded that low levels of baseline physical
4 activity (PA) were only weakly or not at all associated with body fat gain.² More recent reviews also suggest
5 that the influence of changes in objectively measured sedentary behavior (SB) on change in adiposity in
6 children and adolescents was unclear.³⁻⁵

7 Only a few previous longitudinal studies have reported on the associations between obesity as a predictor
8 and SB or PA as an outcome by using an accelerometer as an objective measure of habitual physical activity
9 and sedentary behavior for children and adolescents,⁶⁻¹⁰ and these studies did not examine bidirectional
10 associations. We have identified only four prospective studies that examined bidirectional associations
11 between adiposity and objectively measured SB and/or PA in children and adolescents.¹¹⁻¹⁴ In two studies in
12 children, increased adiposity or adiposity at baseline was negatively associated with change in moderate-
13 vigorous PA (MVPA) but not vice versa.^{12,14} On the other hand, one prospective study of preschool children
14 demonstrated that adiposity did not influence change in total PA, MPA and VPA.¹¹ Moreover, one prospective
15 study of adolescents showed that adiposity did not influence MVPA level or adiposity later in life.¹³ One
16 prospective study of adults also demonstrated that obesity as a predictor was negatively associated with
17 subjective PA level later in life,¹⁵ but that PA level did not influence fatness. Moreover, in regard to sedentary
18 behavior, the other prospective adult cohort study showed that fatness led to objectively measured sedentary
19 behavior but that sedentary behavior did not lead to fatness.¹⁶ However, a number of recent childhood studies
20 have found that reductions in objectively measured PA are associated with increased adiposity,^{17,18} but did
21 not examine bidirectional associations. It is possible that the associations between obesity and SB or PA may
22 be bidirectional, and that increased adiposity may increase SB and/or decrease PA in children and
23 adolescents.¹⁹

24 With an evidence base limited apparently to just four studies of bidirectionality in children and
25 adolescents, one of which followed up for only 200 days,¹⁴ and the others which simply considered baseline
26 adiposity or PA and SB,¹¹⁻¹³ the reverse causation or ‘bidirectionality hypothesis’ needs to be tested by new

1 evidence. Thus, the main aim of the present study was to examine the longitudinal bidirectional associations
2 between adiposity and daily SB and PA, measured objectively, in childhood.

4 **Materials and Methods**

5 The Gateshead Millennium Study (GMS) is an observational cohort study which has been described in
6 detail elsewhere.^{20,21} The sample was socioeconomically representative of northeast England at the first SB
7 and PA data collection in 2006/2007.²⁰ Baseline measures of PA and SB for the present study were collected
8 between October 2006 and December 2007 when the children were aged 6–7 years, and follow-up data were
9 collected 2 years later. Children aged 6–7 years (n=510 at baseline) were included in the study. The study
10 was approved by the Gateshead and South Tyneside LREC (6-7y) and Newcastle University Ethics
11 Committee (9y). Informed written consent was obtained from the parent/main caregiver of each child, and
12 children provided assent to their participation.

14 **Objective measurement of sedentary behavior and physical activity**

15 Overall SB and PA were measured with the Actigraph GT1M accelerometer as described previously.²¹
16 The Actigraph has high validity, high reliability, and low reactivity in children.²² In UK children, there are
17 small but significant seasonal variations in objectively measured PA,^{23,24} and so baseline and follow-up
18 measurements were made during the same season. Children in the present study were asked to wear an
19 accelerometer during waking hours for 7 days. Accelerometers were attached to an elastic belt and worn on
20 the hip. Accelerometer counts were collected in 15 second intervals (epochs). Data were reduced manually,
21 by juxtaposing accelerometry output and log-sheets in order to delete occasional periods of nonwear time.^{22,24}
22 Children were only included if they recorded complete wear time diaries. Non-wear time and sleep data were
23 removed manually based on the wear time diaries and visual inspection by a trained researcher. It was decided
24 not to define non-wear time using consecutive zeros as previous research has shown this affects the outcomes
25 significantly especially in longitudinal studies where changes in their behavioral patterns are very likely.²⁵ In
26 this cohort, 3 days of accelerometry with a minimum of 6 hours recording per day provides acceptable

1 reliability,²⁶ so measures were included in the present study if at least 3 days of accelerometry of at least 6
2 hours were obtained at both baseline and follow-up measures, but in practice the actual accelerometry
3 monitoring periods were typically much longer than these minimum values and are reported below.

4 Five constructs were measured: SB (expressed as minutes/day and %); light PA (LPA) (expressed
5 minutes/day and %); moderate PA (MPA) (expressed as minutes/day and %), MVPA (expressed as
6 minutes/day and %); total volume of physical activity (TPA, expressed as counts per minute; cpm). Evidence-
7 based “cutoff points” were used to measure SB and the intensity of PA : <25 counts per 15 seconds to quantify
8 SB,^{28,29} 25-799 counts per 15 seconds to quantify LPA,^{27,28} 800-2049 counts per 15 seconds or more to
9 quantify MPA,²⁸ 2050 counts per 15 seconds or more to quantify vigorous PA.²⁸ MVPA was calculated as a
10 sum of MPA and vigorous PA.

11

12 **Anthropometric measurements**

13 Height was measured to 0.1 cm with a Leicester Portable height measure and weight measured to 0.1
14 kg in light indoor clothing. Body mass index (BMI=weight [kg]/height [m]²) was calculated for each child
15 and Z-scores expressed relative to UK 1990 population reference data.³¹ Definitions of obesity as a BMI of
16 more than the 95th centile (z score > 1.645) and overweight as a BMI greater than the 85th centile (z score
17 >1.036) compared to 1990 BMI UK reference data were used. Body fat was estimated with a TANITA TBF
18 300MA. Fat mass was estimated from TANITA bioelectric impedance (TBF-300MA) by applying constants
19 for the hydration of fat-free mass having first estimated total body water using validated sex and age-specific
20 prediction equations.^{32, 33} Then fatness was estimated from total body water using sex- and age-specific
21 prediction equations from Haroun et al.³³ Fat index (FI) was calculated as a Z score relative to age and sex
22 specific reference data from the UK ALSPAC (Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children) cohort
23 (born in 1991/92), as described in Wright et al..³⁴

24

25 **Statistical analysis**

26 Descriptive characteristics of the study sample were presented as a mean and standard deviation (SD).

1 Change variables were calculated as follow-up values minus baseline values. An independent samples t-test
2 was used to compare between boys and girls. There were no significant interactions between gender and
3 variation of each variable. Partial correlations were analyzed between BMI Z-score and FI, changes in BMI
4 Z-score and changes in FI, SB and each PA intensity at baseline and changes in SB and each PA intensity
5 while adjusting for gender.

6 The associations between change of BMI Z-score or FI and SB or each PA variable at baseline variables
7 were analyzed by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) adjusted for gender and BMI Z-score or FI at baseline.
8 Moreover, if the association was found to be significantly associated with SB or MVPA or MPA variable,
9 extra analysis was conducted adjusting for the other variable (MVPA or SB). The associations between
10 change of SB or each PA variable and BMI Z-score or FI at baseline variables were analyzed by ANCOVA
11 adjusted for sex and SB or each PA variable at baseline. Moreover, the associations between change in BMI
12 Z-score or FI and change in SB or each PA variable were analyzed using ANCOVA adjusted for gender and
13 BMI Z-score or FI at baseline and SB or each PA variable at baseline. The associations between weight status
14 at baseline (children with overweight/obesity versus healthy weight children) and change of SB or PA were
15 analyzed by ANCOVA, adjusted for sex and the SB or each PA variable at baseline. Moreover, if weight
16 status was found to be significantly associated with SB or each PA variable extra analysis was conducted
17 adjusting for SB or MVPA. Analyses were performed with the entire sample and for boys and girls separately,
18 because our previous study found a possible gender difference in the relationship between SB or MVPA on
19 adiposity in childhood using the longitudinal GMS data.¹⁷ Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS
20 statistics 20.0 for Windows (IBM Co., Tokyo, Japan). All statistical tests were regarded as significant when
21 p-values were less than 0.05.

22

23 **Results**

24 Due to missing data (no consent to take part/unable to trace for follow-up measures [n=55], no
25 accelerometer data at follow-up [n=59], no height/weight data at follow-up [n=4] and no body composition
26 data at follow-up [n=36]), our longitudinal sample for the present study comprised data from 356 children.

1

2 **Characteristics of study participants**

3 The characteristics of study participants are presented in Table 1. Ninety (25%) of the sample was
4 categorized as having overweight/obesity at baseline. The duration of accelerometry was much greater than
5 the minimum criteria specified (at least 3 days and 6 hours), with an average of 6.4 days and 11.2 hours at
6 baseline and 6.1 days and 11.4 hours at follow-up, respectively. Boys had lower SB and VPA and higher
7 MVPA, MPA and TPA (only at follow-up) than girls at each time point. The partial correlations between
8 baseline values of BMI Z-score and FI and change in BMI Z-score and FI were $r=0.705$ ($p<0.001$) and
9 $r=0.603$ ($p<0.001$), respectively.

10 The partial correlations at baseline and the change between SB (%) and LPA (%) were strong ($r=-0.937$
11 and $r=-0.939$, respectively; $p<0.001$). However, the relationship between absolute SB (min/day) and LPA
12 (min/day) was weak at baseline ($r=-0.217$, $p<0.001$) and the relationship between the change in SB and LPA
13 was not significantly correlated ($r=-0.053$, $p=0.318$). The partial correlations between SB (% or min/day) and
14 MVPA (%), MPA (%) or TPA (cpm) were moderate. The partial correlation between SB (%) and VPA (%)
15 was weak. The details of partial correlations are shown in the Supplementary Table1.

16

17 **Baseline adiposity as a predictor of change in sedentary behavior, physical activity, and vice versa**

18 Changes in BMI Z-score or FI were not associated with SB or the different intensities of PA at baseline.
19 On the other hand, changes in MVPA (min/day and %), MPA (min/day and %) were associated with both
20 BMI Z-score and FI at baseline (Table 2a). The change in SB (%), VPA (min/day and %) and TPA (cpm)
21 were also associated with FI at baseline. These associations remained after adjusting for change in MVPA
22 (%) or SB (min/day, %) as covariates. The change in LPA was not associated with both BMI Z-score and FI
23 at baseline.

24 For boys, the changes in MVPA (min/day and %) and MPA (min/day and %) were associated with BMI
25 Z-score and FI at baseline (Table 2b). The change in SB (%) and TPA (cpm) were also associated with FI at
26 baseline. On the other hand, for girls, the associations were only significant between FI at baseline and

1 changes in MVPA (min/day and %), MPA (min/day and %) and VPA (min/day and %) (Table 2c). All these
2 significant associations remained significant after addition of MVPA (%) or SB (min/day and %) as the
3 further covariates in both genders. On the other hand, changes in BMI Z-score or FI were not associated with
4 SB or the different intensities of PA at baseline in both genders.

5 The results of associations between change in adiposity and change in SB or PA are shown in the
6 Supplementary Table 2a, Table 2b and Table 2c. Change in FI was negatively associated with change in
7 MVPA and MPA in both genders.

8

9 **Influence of baseline overweight and obesity on changes in sedentary behavior and physical activity**

10 Children with overweight/obesity at baseline had a significantly bigger increase in SB (%; $p=0.022$)
11 and significantly bigger reductions in MVPA (min/day; $p=0.001$, %; $p<0.001$), MPA (min/day; $p=0.002$, %;
12 $p=0.001$) and TPA (cpm; $p=0.002$) than those of healthy weight children, with all associations remaining
13 significant after adjusting for change in MVPA (%) or SB (min/day, %) as covariates. The table is shown in
14 the Supplementary Table 3a.

15 For boys, children with overweight/obesity at baseline had a significantly bigger increase in SB (%;
16 $p=0.043$) and a significantly bigger reduction in MVPA (min/day; $p=0.004$, %; $p=0.001$), MPA (min/day;
17 $p=0.004$, %; $p=0.001$) and TPA (cpm; $p=0.009$) than those of normal weight children. All of these
18 associations remained significant after adjusting for change in MVPA (%) and SB (min/day or %). However,
19 there were no significant differences in SB or PA between weight status categories for girls. The tables are
20 shown in the Supplementary Table 3b and Table 3c.

21

22 **Discussion**

23 This study examined whether adiposity was associated with subsequent SB or PA level in childhood
24 and vice versa. To our knowledge, no previous study has addressed both habitual SB and the different
25 intensities of PA in the ‘bidirectionality hypothesis’ in children. Previously, we reported the
26 unidirectional associations between of accelerometer-measured SB or MVPA on adiposity in childhood

1 using longitudinal GMS data.^{17,21} Using the same cohort study, we herein examined the bidirectional
2 associations between baseline and subsequent changes of adiposity and habitual SB or the different
3 intensities of PA during childhood. Adiposity at baseline was associated with subsequent changes in SB (%)
4 or PA, independent of changes in MVPA or SB, but not vice versa. Moreover, higher baseline adiposity
5 predicted greater increases in SB and declines in PA.

6 We identified only four prospective studies that examined bidirectional associations between adiposity
7 and objectively measured SB and/or PA in children and adolescents.^{12,14} Hjorth et al.¹⁴ demonstrated that
8 changes over time in MVPA were negatively associated with changes in adiposity. However, none of the
9 movement behaviors (SB, MVPA and total PA) at baseline predicted changes in adiposity, but higher
10 adiposity at baseline predicted a decrease in MVPA and total PA, and an increase in sedentary time.¹⁴
11 Metcalf et al.¹² reported that there were no significant associations between baseline total PA and
12 subsequent change in adiposity, yet for the reverse analysis, baseline adiposity versus changes in total PA
13 from age 7 to 8 years and 9 to 10 years were found to be significantly associated. In addition, adiposity at
14 baseline predicted change in MVPA from 7 to 10 years, but MVPA at 7 years did not predict change in
15 adiposity from 7 to 10 years.¹² On the other hand, Burgi et al.¹¹ demonstrated that adiposity or total PA,
16 MPA and VPA as a predictor did not influence change in total PA, MPA and VPA level in age 4-6 year
17 children. Moreover, Hallal et al.¹³ reported that adiposity at 11.3 years or MVPA at 13.3 years as a predictor
18 did not influence MVPA level at 13.3 years or adiposity at 14.7 years. The present study findings are
19 consistent with the two studies in primary school children on the relation between adiposity and MVPA at
20 baseline or change in MVPA that respects the temporal sequence of possible cause and effect.^{12,14}

21 Two previous studies in children or adolescents reported significant associations between adiposity at
22 baseline and MVPA at follow-up, or the change on total PA.^{7,8} However, six previous studies among
23 children and adolescents reported no associations between adiposity at baseline and change in SB, MVPA,
24 MPA and VPA, or MVPA and total PA at follow-up.^{6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13} Potential confounding factors may partially
25 explain the inconsistency across studies. Some previous studies did not take into account LPA or SB, which
26 were found to be significant confounding factors in the present study. Moreover, it may be inappropriate to

1 directly compare results across studies, even where studies have used the same hardware and software,
2 because of the use of different of accelerometer cut points and decisions about issues such as epoch length
3 and non-wear time for the assessment of habitual SB or PA. However, in the current study and our previous
4 studies in this cohort the use of two distinct SB accelerometer cut-points (>100 cpm/min vs >1100
5 cpm/min) and epoch length (15 sec vs 60 sec) and this did not influence the association between SB and
6 adiposity.^{17,21}

7 In the present study, the percentages of MVPA, MPA and total PA (only at follow-up) were
8 significantly higher in boys than girls, and the percentages of time in SB and VPA were significantly lower
9 in boys than girls. However, the differences between the sexes were small, and it is not clear if these small
10 differences could explain the different associations between adiposity and SB or PA between boys and girls
11 found in the present study. In addition, the numbers of boys and girls in the present study were similar and
12 2-year changes in SB and PA were actually more marked in girls than boys.^{21,35} We compared coefficient of
13 variation for SB and PA in boys versus girls. In general, CV values were comparable between boys and
14 girls. A systematic review concluded that PA was associated more consistently with adiposity in boys than
15 girls, and the present study was consistent with this finding.³⁶ It is not clear why adiposity might be more
16 sensitive to variation in SB (%) and total PA in boys than in girls, but it is possible that influences on the
17 energy-intake side of the energy-balance equation may be more important in girls than boys. One additional
18 possible reason might be the gender difference in the level of maturation.³⁷

19 In the present study higher baseline adiposity, and overweight/obesity at baseline, predicted a greater
20 increase in SB (%) and decline in PA (most marked for MVPA). This indicates that children who are
21 overweight and obese at age 7 may be a high risk group for becoming inactive and may benefit from PA
22 interventions more than those who are of normal weight. The present study supports Kwon's study showing
23 that the odds of being in the lowest quartile relative to the highest quartile of intensity-weighted MVPA at
24 age 11 for boys and girls with high BF% at age 8 were approximately four times higher than the odds for
25 those with low BF% at age 8.⁸ Weight status-specific intervention strategies for PA promotion or SB
26 reduction may be important in boys. Furthermore, our recent review showed that school-aged boys spent

1 more time in sedentary behavior compared to adolescent boys.⁵ However, time spent in SB was similar for
2 school-aged and adolescent girls.⁵ Therefore, if further studies support the findings of the present study,
3 future intervention studies aiming to decrease SB should possibly focus on primary (elementary) school-
4 aged boys with overweight/obesity.

5 There were several limitations to the present study. Sleep is an important predictor of overweight and
6 obesity.³⁸ However, the present study focused on habitual SB or PA in waking time only, and so any
7 influence of adiposity on sleep cannot be considered by the present study. Moreover, although adiposity
8 may impact PA levels by influencing cognition such as the intention to be active and perceived behavioral
9 control over factors which influence PA,^{39,40} those potentially mediating variables, and indeed other
10 mediators, were not assessed in the present study. Moreover, total sedentary time is not the same as breaks
11 in sedentary time (e.g. number of breaks in sedentary time)⁴¹, and this is another limitation of the present
12 study. Nonetheless, to our knowledge, this study is the first prospective cohort study in a fairly large
13 childhood sample to explicitly examine the bidirectionality hypothesis. The use of objective and
14 accurate measures for both SB and PA and adiposity helped reduce measurement error. Future studies
15 should prospectively examine the bidirectional association between adiposity and patterns of SB to obtain
16 more evidence on this important issue.

17 In conclusion, the present study suggested that the children with lowest adiposity at baseline showed
18 smallest declines in PA at two-year follow-up than those with highest adiposity at baseline, but not vice
19 versa. The present study also suggests that adiposity might be particularly influential on MVPA, and that it
20 also influences time spent sedentary, a behavioral risk factor which increases across childhood and
21 adolescence.⁵ Regarding future research, more evidence should be accumulated to test the reverse causation
22 hypothesis in childhood and adolescence, and in different populations.

23

24 **Acknowledgements**

25 We appreciate the support of Gateshead Health National Health Service Foundation Trust, Gateshead
26 Education Authority, and local schools. We thank members of the research team for their effort. We especially

1 thank the families and children who participated in the Gateshead Millennium Study. This work was
2 supported by grants from the Scottish Government Chief Scientist Office (grant CZH/4/484 and CZH/4/979),
3 the UK National Prevention Research Initiative (GO501306), and Gateshead PCT. The cohort was first set
4 up with funding from the Henry Smith Charity and Sport Aiding Medical Research for Kids. AA is funded
5 by the National Institute of Health Research as an NIHR Research Professor.

6

7

1 **References**

- 2 1) World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. 2013. Available at
3 <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/>
- 4 2) Wilks DC, Besson H, Lindroos AK, Ekelund U. Objectively measured physical activity and obesity
5 prevention in children, adolescents and adults: a systematic review of prospective studies. *Obes Rev*
6 2011;12:e119-e29.
- 7 3) Mitchell JA, Byun W. Sedentary Behavior and Health Outcomes in Children and Adolescents. *Am J*
8 *Lifestyle Med* 2013;13:1-27.
- 9 4) Ekelund U, Hildebrand M, Collings PJ. Physical activity, sedentary time and adiposity during the first
10 two decades of life. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2014;73:319-329.
- 11 5) Tanaka C, Reilly JJ, Huang WY. Longitudinal changes in objectively measured sedentary behavior and
12 their relationship with adiposity in children and adolescents: systematic review and evidence appraisal.
13 *Obesity Reviews* *Obes Rev* 2014; 15:791-803.
- 14 6) Sallis JF, Alcaraz JE, McKenzie TL, Hovell MF. Predictors of change in children's physical activity
15 over 20 months. Variations by gender and level of adiposity. *Am J Prev Med* 1999; 16:222-229.
- 16 7) Corder K, van Sluijs EM, Ekelund U, Jones AP, Griffin SJ. Changes in children's physical activity over
17 12 months: longitudinal results from the SPEEDY study. *Pediatrics* 2010;126:e926-e935.
- 18 8) Kwon S, Janz KF, Burns TL, Levy SM. Effects of adiposity on physical activity in childhood: Iowa
19 Bone Development Study. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43:443-448.
- 20 9) Hallal PC, Dumith SC, Ekelund U, et al. Infancy and childhood growth and physical activity in
21 adolescence: prospective birth cohort study from Brazil. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2012;9:82.
- 22 10) Cumming SP, Sherar LB, Esliger DW, Riddoch CJ, Malina RM. Concurrent and prospective
23 associations among biological maturation, and physical activity at 11 and 13 years of age. *Scand J Med*
24 *Sci Sports* 2014;24:e20-e28.

- 1 11) Bürgi F, Meyer U, Granacher U, et al. Relationship of physical activity with motor skills, aerobic
2 fitness and body fat in preschool children: a cross-sectional and longitudinal study (Ballabeina). *Int J*
3 *Obes (Lond)* 2011;35, 937–944.
- 4 12) Metcalf BS, Hosking J, Jeffery AN, Voss LD, Henley W, Wilkin TJ. Fatness leads to inactivity, but
5 inactivity does not lead to fatness: a longitudinal study in children (EarlyBird 45). *Arch Dis Child*
6 2011;96:942-947.
- 7 13) Hallal PC, Reichert FF, Ekelund U, et al. Bidirectional cross-sectional and prospective associations
8 between physical activity and body composition in adolescence: birth cohort study. *J Sports Sci*
9 2012;30:183-190.
- 10 14) Hjorth MF, Chaput JP, Ritz C, Dalskov SM, et al. Fatness predicts decreased physical activity and
11 increased sedentary time, but not vice versa: support from a longitudinal study in 8- to 11-year-old
12 children. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2014;38:959-965.
- 13 15) Petersen L, Schnohr P, Sørensen TI. Longitudinal study of the long-term relation between physical
14 activity and obesity in adults. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2004;28:105-112.
- 15 16) Ekelund U, Brage S, Besson H, Sharp S, Wareham NJ. Time spent being sedentary and weight gain in
16 healthy adults: reverse or bidirectional causality? *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;88:612-617.
- 17 17) Basterfield L, Pearce MS, Adamson AJ, et al. Physical activity, sedentary behavior, and adiposity in
18 English children. *Am J Prev Med* 2012;42:445-451.
- 19 18) Mitchell JA, Pate RR, España-Romero V, O'Neill JR, Dowda M, Nader PR. Moderate-to-vigorous
20 physical activity is associated with decreases in body mass index from ages 9 to 15 years. *Obesity*
21 *(Silver Spring)* 2013;21:E280-E293.
- 22 19) Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, et al. Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically
23 active and others not? *Lancet* 2012; 380:258-271.
- 24 20) Parkinson KN, Pearce MS, Dale A, et al. Cohort profile: the Gateshead Millennium Study. *Int J*
25 *Epidemiol* 2010;40:308 -317.

- 1 21) Basterfield L, Adamson AJ, Frary JK, et al. Longitudinal study of physical activity and sedentary
2 behavior in children. *Pediatrics* 2011;127:e24-e30.
- 3 22) Reilly JJ, Penpraze V, Hislop J, Davies G, Grant S, Paton JY. Objective measurement of physical activity
4 and sedentary behaviour: review with new data. *Arch Dis Child* 2008;93:614 -619.
- 5 23) Fisher A, Reilly JJ, Montgomery C, et al. Seasonality in physical activity and sedentary behavior in
6 young children. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 2005;17:31-40.
- 7 24) Mattocks C, Leary S, Ness A, et al. Intraindividual variation of objectively measured physical activity in
8 children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39:622-629.
- 9 25) Janssen X, Basterfield L, Parkinson KN, et al. Objective measurement of sedentary behavior: impact of
10 non-wear time rules on changes in sedentary time. *BMC public health* 2015;15:504.
- 11 26) Basterfield L, Adamson AJ, Pearce MS, Reilly JJ. Stability of habitual physical activity and sedentary
12 behavior monitoring by accelerometry in 6-8 year olds. *J Phys Act Health* 2011;8:543-547.
- 13 27) Evenson KR, Catellier DJ, Gill K, Ondrak KS, McMurray RG. Calibration of two objective measures of
14 physical activity for children. *J Sports Sci* 2008;26:1557-1565.
- 15 28) Trost SG, Loprinzi PD, Moore R, Pfeiffer KA. Comparison of accelerometer cut points for predicting
16 activity intensity in youth. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43:1360-1368.
- 17 29) Matthews CE, Chen KY, Freedson PS, et al. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors in the United
18 States, 2003-2004. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;167:875-881.
- 19 30) Puyau MR, Adolph AL, Vohra FA, Butte NF. Validation and calibration of physical activity monitors in
20 children. *Obes Res* 2002;10:150 -157.
- 21 31) Cole TJ, Freeman JV, Preece MA. Body mass index reference curves for the UK, 1990. *Arch Dis Child*.
22 1995;73:25-29.
- 23 32) Lohman T. Assessment of body composition in children. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 1989;1:19 -30.
- 24 33) Haroun D, Taylor SJ, Viner RM, et al. Validation of bioelectrical impedance analysis in adolescents
25 across different ethnic groups. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2010;18:1252-1259.
- 26 34) Wright CM, Sherriff A, Ward SC, McColl JH, Reilly JJ, Ness AR. Development of bioelectrical

- 1 impedance-derived indices of fat and fat-free mass for assessment of nutritional status in childhood. *Eur*
2 *J Clin Nutr* 2008;62:210-217.
- 3 35) Pearce MS, Basterfield L, Mann KD, et al. Gateshead Millennium Study Core Team. Early predictors of
4 objectively measured physical activity and sedentary behaviour in 8-10 year old children: the Gateshead
5 Millennium Study. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e37975.
- 6 36) Jiménez-Pavón D, Kelly J, Reilly JJ. Associations between objectively measured habitual physical
7 activity and adiposity in children and adolescents: Systematic review. *Int J Pediatr Obes* 2010;5:3-18.
- 8 37) Malina RM, Bouchard C, Bar-Or O. (eds.) Growth, maturation, and physical activity. 2nd edn. Human
9 Kinetics, Champaign, IL, 2004.
- 10 38) Carter PJ, Taylor BJ, Williams SM, Taylor RW. Longitudinal analysis of sleep in relation to BMI and
11 body fat in children: the FLAME study. *BMJ* 2011;342:d2712.
- 12 39) Godin G, Bélanger-Gravel A, Nolin B. Mechanism by which BMI influences leisure-time physical
13 activity behavior. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2008;16:1314-1317.
- 14 40) Uijtdewilligen L, Nauta J, Singh AS, et al. Determinants of physical activity and sedentary behaviour in
15 young people: a review and quality synthesis of prospective studies. *Br J Sports Med* 2011;45:896-905.
- 16 41) Dunstan DW, Healy GN, Sugiyama T, Owen N. Too Much Sitting and Metabolic Risk—Has Modern
17 Technology Caught Up with Us? *European Endocrinology* 2010;6:19-23.
- 18

1 Table 1 Physical characteristics and sedentary behavior and physical activity for participants at baseline and
 2 follow-up

| | All (n=356) | | Boys (n=174) | | Girls (n=182) | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | Baseline Mean (SD) | Follow-up Mean (SD) | Baseline Mean (SD) | Follow-up Mean (SD) | Baseline Mean (SD) | Follow-up Mean (SD) |
| Age (years) | 7.5 (0.4) | 9.3 (0.4) | 7.4 (0.4) | 9.3 (0.4) | 7.5 (0.4) | 9.3 (0.4) |
| Height (cm) | 124.9 (5.7) | 135.7 (6.2) | 125.2 (6.0) | 135.9 (6.5) | 124.6 (5.4) | 135.4 (6.0) |
| Body weight (kg) | 26.3 (5.2) | 33.5 (7.2) | 26.4 (5.3) | 33.2 (7.2) | 26.3 (5.1) | 33.6 (7.2) |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 16.7 (2.2) | 18.0 (2.8) | 16.7 (2.3) | 17.9 (2.8) | 16.8 (2.2) | 18.2 (2.7) |
| BMI_Z score | 0.40 (1.07) | 0.58 (1.07) | 0.44 (1.13) | 0.61 (1.13) | 0.36 (1.01) | 0.53 (1.03) |
| Fat index | 0.46 (0.71) | 0.55 (0.81) | 0.52 (0.73) | 0.54 (0.83) | 0.40 (0.69) | 0.56 (0.80) |
| Obese (n) | 46 | 59 | 19 | 26 | 19 | 26 |
| Overweight (n) | 44 | 60 | 27 | 34 | 27 | 34 |
| Normal weight (n) | 266 | 237 | 136 | 122 | 136 | 122 |
| Wearing time (min/day) | 669 (68) | 681(69) | 675 (68) | 683 (70) | 664 (66) | 680 (69) |
| Valid days (days) | 6.4 (1.0) | 6.1 (1.1) | 6.4 (0.9) | 6.1 (1.1) | 6.3 (1.0) | 6.1 (1.1) |
| CPM | 758.0 (225.4) | 676.8 (207.6) | 774.3 (225.2) | 712.6 (189.9) | 742.4 (225.1) | 642.6 (218.2) |
| Sedentary behavior (min/day) | 337.8 (56.3) | 377.4 (60.5) | 334.4 (57.8) | 369.3 (59.0) | 341.1 (54.7) | 385.1 (61.1) |
| Light physical activity (min/day) | 290.3 (49.2) | 266.0 (47.5) | 295.5 (50.4) | 270.2 (50.8) | 285.4 (47.6) | 262.0 (44.0) |
| MVPA (min/day) | 41.0 (17.0) | 37.9 (17.4) | 45.1 (18.6) | 43.5 (18.3) | 37.0 (14.3) | 32.4 (14.5) |
| Moderate physical activity (min/day) | 38.0 (15.4) | 35.2 (16.2) | 42.9 (16.9) | 41.4 (17.4) | 33.3 (12.2) | 29.2 (12.3) |
| Vigorous physical activity (min/day) | 3.0 (4.3) | 2.7 (3.9) | 2.2 (3.8) | 2.1 (3.0) | 3.7 (4.7) | 3.2 (4.5) |
| Sedentary behavior (%) | 50.5 (6.9) | 55.4 (6.9) | 49.6 (7.2) | 54.1 (7.0) | 51.4 (6.4) | 56.6 (6.6) |
| Light physical activity (%) | 43.4 (5.8) | 39.0 (5.8) | 43.7 (6.0) | 39.5 (6.0) | 43.0 (5.5) | 38.6 (5.7) |
| MVPA (%) | 6.1 (2.5) | 5.5 (2.4) | 6.7 (2.8) | 6.0 (2.4) | 5.6 (2.2) | 4.8 (2.0) |
| Moderate physical activity (%) | 5.7 (2.3) | 5.1 (2.3) | 6.4 (2.5) | 6.0 (2.4) | 5.0 (1.8) | 4.3 (1.7) |
| Vigorous physical activity (%) | 0.4 (0.7) | 0.4 (0.6) | 0.3 (0.6) | 0.3 (0.4) | 0.6 (0.7) | 0.5 (0.6) |

4 BMI: body mass index, MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.

5

1 Table 2a Baseline sedentary behavior and physical activity as predictors of change in adiposity and vice versa

2

| | Outcome: ΔBMI Z-score | | | | Outcome: Δfat index | | | | Exposure: BMI Z-score at baseline | | | | Exposure: fat index at baseline | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|---------|-------|---------------------|--------|---------|-------|----------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| | β-Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | β-Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | β-Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | β-Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | |
| Sedentary behaviour at baseline (min/day) | 0.000 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 0.643 | 0.000 | -0.001 | 0.000 | 0.299 | Δsedentary behaviour (min/day) | 1.243 | -3.936 | 6.422 | 0.637 | 4.602 | -3.189 | 12.392 | 0.246 |
| Light physical activity at baseline (min/day) | 0.000 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 0.850 | 0.000 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 0.362 | Δlight physical activity (min/day) | 0.548 | -3.334 | 4.429 | 0.782 | -3.109 | -8.949 | 2.730 | 0.296 |
| MVPA at baseline (min/day) | -0.001 | -0.004 | 0.002 | 0.368 | 0.000 | -0.003 | 0.003 | 0.989 | ΔMVPA (min/day) | -1.913 | -3.214 | -0.611 | 0.004 | -4.004 | -5.993 | -2.014 | <0.001 |
| | | | | | | | | | ΔMVPA (min/day)* | -1.928 | -3.228 | -0.627 | 0.004 | -4.051 | -6.040 | -2.063 | <0.001 |
| Moderate physical activity at baseline (min/day) | -0.001 | -0.005 | 0.002 | 0.359 | 0.001 | -0.003 | 0.004 | 0.766 | Δmoderate physical activity (min/day) | -1.692 | -2.878 | -0.506 | 0.005 | -3.334 | -5.157 | -1.510 | <0.001 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δmoderate physical activity (min/day)* | -1.713 | -2.896 | -0.530 | 0.005 | -3.390 | -5.210 | -1.569 | <0.001 |
| Vigorous physical activity at baseline (min/day) | -0.002 | -0.013 | 0.009 | 0.738 | -0.005 | -0.016 | 0.006 | 0.348 | Δvigorous physical activity (min/day) | -0.179 | -0.548 | 0.190 | 0.341 | -0.710 | -1.262 | -0.158 | 0.012 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δvigorous physical activity (min/day)* | | | | | -0.703 | -1.256 | -0.150 | 0.013 |
| Total physical activity at baseline (cpm) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.862 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.943 | Δtotal physical activity (cpm) | -16.271 | -33.782 | 1.239 | 0.068 | -48.675 | -74.950 | -22.401 | <0.001 |
| Sedentary behaviour at baseline at baseline (%) | 0.000 | -0.006 | 0.007 | 0.936 | -0.004 | -0.011 | 0.003 | 0.296 | Δsedentary behaviour (%) | 0.201 | -0.341 | 0.743 | 0.466 | 0.911 | 0.096 | 1.725 | 0.029 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δsedentary behaviour (%)* | | | | | 0.975 | 0.144 | 1.806 | 0.022 |
| Light physical activity at baseline (%) | 0.001 | -0.007 | 0.009 | 0.866 | 0.005 | -0.003 | 0.013 | 0.213 | Δlight physical activity (%) | 0.069 | -0.398 | 0.535 | 0.772 | -0.360 | -1.061 | 0.340 | 0.312 |
| MVPA at baseline (%) | -0.006 | -0.025 | 0.013 | 0.537 | 0.000 | -0.020 | 0.019 | 0.976 | ΔMVPA (%) | -0.280 | -0.463 | -0.097 | 0.003 | -0.603 | -0.881 | -0.326 | <0.001 |
| | | | | | | | | | ΔMVPA (%)* | -0.285 | -0.469 | -0.102 | 0.002 | -0.607 | -0.885 | -0.330 | <0.001 |
| Moderate physical activity at baseline (%) | -0.008 | -0.029 | 0.014 | 0.491 | 0.003 | -0.020 | 0.026 | 0.795 | Δmoderate physical activity (%) | -0.244 | -0.410 | -0.079 | 0.004 | -0.497 | -0.750 | -0.244 | <0.001 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δmoderate physical activity (%)* | -0.250 | -0.416 | -0.083 | 0.003 | -0.095 | -0.175 | -0.016 | 0.019 |
| Vigorous physical activity at baseline (%) | -0.002 | -0.013 | 0.009 | 0.738 | -0.033 | -0.104 | 0.037 | 0.354 | Δvigorous physical activity (%) | -0.026 | -0.079 | 0.027 | 0.329 | -0.100 | -0.179 | -0.021 | 0.013 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δvigorous physical activity (%)* | | | | | -0.095 | -0.175 | -0.016 | 0.019 |

BMI: body mass index, MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, Δ : change, Δ variables were calculated as follow-up values minus baseline values, adjusted for gender, sedentary behaviour or physical activity and BMI Z-score or fat index at baseline, *: adjusted for gender, sedentary behaviour and MVPA or moderate physical activity and BMI Z-score or fat index at baseline.

3

4

5

1 Table 2b Baseline sedentary behavior and physical activity as predictors of change in adiposity and vice versa for boys

2

| | Outcome: Δ BMI Z-score | | | | Outcome: Δ fat index | | | | Exposure: BMI Z-score at baseline | | | | Exposure: fat index at baseline | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|-------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-------|------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| | β -Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | β -Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | β -Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | β -Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | |
| Sedentary behaviour at baseline (min/day) | 0.000 | -0.001 | 0.002 | 0.519 | 0.000 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 0.782 | Δ sedentary behaviour (min/day) | 2.179 | -4.919 | 9.277 | 0.545 | 8.673 | -2.230 | 19.575 | 0.118 |
| Light physical activity at baseline (min/day) | 0.000 | -0.002 | 0.001 | 0.473 | 0.000 | -0.001 | 0.002 | 0.481 | Δ light physical activity (min/day) | -1.956 | -7.436 | 3.524 | 0.482 | -5.139 | -13.563 | 3.284 | 0.230 |
| MVPA at baseline (min/day) | -0.001 | -0.004 | 0.003 | 0.721 | 0.002 | -0.002 | 0.005 | 0.394 | Δ MVPA (min/day) | -2.216 | -4.095 | -0.338 | 0.021 | -3.566 | -6.595 | -0.538 | 0.021 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ MVPA (min/day)* | -2.184 | -4.051 | -0.318 | 0.022 | -3.673 | -6.681 | -0.666 | 0.017 |
| Moderate physical activity at baseline (min/day) | -0.001 | -0.005 | 0.003 | 0.652 | 0.002 | -0.002 | 0.006 | 0.391 | Δ moderate physical activity (min/day) | -2.000 | -3.778 | -0.223 | 0.028 | -3.118 | -5.997 | -0.239 | 0.034 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ moderate physical activity (min/day)* | -1.972 | -3.735 | -0.209 | 0.029 | -3.220 | -6.073 | -0.367 | 0.027 |
| Vigorous physical activity at baseline (min/day) | 0.002 | -0.015 | 0.020 | 0.800 | 0.003 | -0.013 | 0.019 | 0.720 | Δ vigorous physical activity (min/day) | -0.129 | -0.525 | 0.267 | 0.521 | -0.400 | -1.011 | 0.210 | 0.197 |
| Total physical activity at baseline (cpm) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.760 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.593 | Δ total physical activity (cpm) | -19.405 | -40.442 | 1.633 | 0.070 | -44.602 | -77.390 | -11.814 | 0.008 |
| Sedentary behaviour at baseline at baseline (%) | 0.004 | -0.005 | 0.014 | 0.366 | -0.001 | -0.010 | 0.008 | 0.819 | Δ sedentary behaviour (%) | 0.414 | -0.323 | 1.150 | 0.269 | 1.257 | 0.123 | 2.391 | 0.030 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ sedentary behaviour (%)* | | | | | 1.491 | 0.592 | 2.518 | 0.013 |
| Light physical activity at baseline (%) | -0.006 | -0.017 | 0.006 | 0.333 | 0.000 | -0.010 | 0.010 | 0.967 | Δ light physical activity (%) | -0.118 | -0.755 | 0.518 | 0.714 | -0.734 | -1.709 | 0.241 | 0.139 |
| MVPA at baseline (%) | -0.003 | -0.028 | 0.022 | 0.793 | 0.006 | -0.017 | 0.030 | 0.598 | Δ MVPA (%) | -0.306 | -0.572 | -0.041 | 0.024 | -0.306 | -0.572 | -0.041 | 0.024 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ MVPA (%)* | -0.318 | -0.585 | -0.052 | 0.020 | -0.570 | 0.214 | -2.668 | 0.008 |
| Moderate physical activity at baseline (%) | -0.005 | -0.033 | 0.022 | 0.706 | 0.007 | -0.019 | 0.033 | 0.605 | Δ moderate physical activity (%) | -0.269 | -0.519 | -0.019 | 0.035 | -0.485 | -0.883 | -0.087 | 0.017 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ moderate physical activity (%)* | -0.278 | -0.530 | -0.027 | 0.030 | -0.493 | -0.893 | -0.093 | 0.016 |
| Vigorous physical activity at baseline (%) | 0.021 | -0.096 | 0.138 | 0.722 | 0.016 | -0.091 | 0.122 | 0.770 | Δ vigorous physical activity (%) | -0.021 | -0.078 | 0.035 | 0.462 | -0.056 | -0.143 | 0.031 | 0.209 |

BMI: body mass index, MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, Δ : change, Δ variables were calculated as follow-up values minus baseline values, CI: 95% confidence interval, adjusted for sedentary behavior or physical activity and BMI Z-score or fat index at baseline, *: adjusted for sedentary behavior and MVPA or moderate physical activity and BMI Z-score or fat index at baseline.

3

4

1 Table 2c Baseline sedentary behavior and physical activity as predictors of change in adiposity and vice versa for girls

2

| | Outcome: Δ BMI Z-score | | | | Outcome: Δ fat index | | | | Exposure: BMI Z-score at baseline | | | | Exposure: fat index at baseline | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|-------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-------|------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| | β -Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | β -Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | β -Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | β -Coefficient | 95% CI | p value | | |
| Sedentary behaviour at baseline (min/day) | -0.001 | -0.002 | 0.000 | 0.171 | -0.001 | -0.002 | 0.000 | 0.098 | Δ sedentary behaviour (min/day) | 0.455 | -7.209 | 8.119 | 0.907 | 0.529 | -10.677 | 11.736 | 0.926 |
| Light physical activity at baseline (min/day) | 0.000 | -0.001 | 0.002 | 0.614 | 0.000 | -0.001 | 0.002 | 0.534 | Δ light physical activity (min/day) | 3.096 | -2.435 | 8.627 | 0.271 | -0.830 | -8.957 | 7.298 | 0.841 |
| MVPA at baseline (min/day) | -0.002 | -0.007 | 0.002 | 0.310 | -0.002 | -0.007 | 0.003 | 0.496 | Δ MVPA (min/day) | -1.515 | -3.330 | 0.300 | 0.101 | -4.307 | -6.935 | -1.679 | 0.001 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ MVPA (min/day)* | | | | | -4.300 | -6.936 | -1.663 | 0.002 |
| Moderate physical activity at baseline (min/day) | -0.002 | -0.008 | 0.003 | 0.346 | -0.001 | -0.007 | 0.005 | 0.777 | Δ moderate physical activity (min/day) | -1.281 | -2.846 | 0.283 | 0.108 | -3.396 | -5.675 | -1.118 | 0.004 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ moderate physical activity (min/day)* | | | | | -3.404 | -5.690 | -1.118 | 0.004 |
| Vigorous physical activity at baseline (min/day) | -0.004 | -0.018 | 0.009 | 0.518 | -0.010 | -0.026 | 0.005 | 0.183 | Δ vigorous physical activity (min/day) | -0.236 | -0.877 | 0.406 | 0.470 | -1.047 | -1.975 | -0.120 | 0.027 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ vigorous physical activity (min/day)* | | | | | -1.046 | -1.976 | -0.116 | 0.028 |
| Total physical activity at baseline (cpm) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.952 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.661 | Δ total physical activity (cpm) | -12.267 | -40.957 | 16.423 | 0.400 | -52.099 | -93.619 | -10.579 | 0.014 |
| Sedentary behaviour at baseline at baseline (%) | -0.005 | -0.014 | 0.005 | 0.362 | -0.007 | -0.018 | 0.004 | 0.205 | Δ sedentary behaviour (%) | -0.052 | -0.860 | 0.755 | 0.898 | 0.540 | -0.642 | 1.722 | 0.369 |
| Light physical activity at baseline (%) | 0.008 | -0.004 | 0.019 | 0.184 | 0.011 | -0.002 | 0.024 | 0.101 | Δ light physical activity (%) | 0.285 | -0.408 | 0.978 | 0.418 | 0.034 | -0.981 | 1.050 | 0.947 |
| MVPA at baseline (%) | -0.010 | -0.039 | 0.019 | 0.503 | -0.007 | -0.040 | 0.026 | 0.674 | Δ MVPA (%) | -0.237 | -0.491 | 0.016 | 0.067 | -0.628 | -0.995 | -0.261 | 0.001 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ MVPA (%)* | | | | | -0.628 | -0.996 | -0.260 | 0.001 |
| Moderate physical activity at baseline (%) | -0.012 | -0.047 | 0.023 | 0.512 | 0.000 | -0.040 | 0.040 | 0.995 | Δ moderate physical activity (%) | -0.202 | -0.419 | 0.015 | 0.068 | -0.489 | -0.806 | -0.173 | 0.003 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ moderate physical activity (%)* | | | | | -0.492 | -0.809 | -0.175 | 0.003 |
| Vigorous physical activity at baseline (%) | -0.016 | -0.102 | 0.070 | 0.708 | -0.061 | -0.158 | 0.035 | 0.214 | Δ vigorous physical activity (%) | -0.032 | -0.124 | 0.060 | 0.488 | -0.149 | -0.282 | -0.016 | 0.029 |
| | | | | | | | | | Δ vigorous physical activity (%)* | | | | | -0.146 | -0.280 | -0.012 | 0.032 |

BMI: body mass index, MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, Δ : change, Δ variables were calculated as follow-up values minus baseline values, CI: 95% confidence interval, adjusted for sedentary behavior or physical activity and BMI Z-score or fat index at baseline, *: adjusted for sedentary behavior and MVPA or moderate physical activity and BMI Z-score or fat index at baseline.

3