Quantifying CO₂ pore-space saturation at the Pembina Cardium CO₂ Monitoring Pilot (Alberta, Canada) using oxygen isotopes of reservoir fluids and gases
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Abstract

Geochemical and isotopic monitoring allows determination of CO₂ presence in the subsurface through the sampling of produced fluids and gases at production and/or monitoring wells. This is demonstrated by data from 22 months of monitoring at the Pembina Cardium CO₂ Monitoring Pilot in central Alberta, Canada. Eight wells centered around two CO₂ injectors were sampled monthly between February 2005 and February 2007. Stable isotope analyses of the samples revealed that changes in the δ¹³C CO₂ values in produced gas as well as changes in the δ¹⁸O values of the produced fluids indicate CO₂ presence and identify trapping mechanisms at select production wells. Using equilibrium isotope exchange relationships and CO₂ solubility calculations, fluid and gas saturations in the pore space in excess of that occupied by oil were calculated. We demonstrate that stable isotope measurements on produced fluids and gases at the Pembina Cardium CO₂ storage site can be used to determine both qualitatively and quantitatively the presence of CO₂ around the observation well, given that the injected CO₂ is isotopically distinct.
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1. Introduction

Monitoring of injected CO₂ is necessary to prove both the safety and validation of geologic CO₂ storage [1]. Monitoring is also required under the emerging regulatory regimes being developed for Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) in the USA [2], Europe [3] and Australia [4] amongst others. A highly desirable component of verification of CO₂ storage within the reservoir is an assessment of the saturation of the pore-space that is occupied by CO₂. Geochemical and isotopic monitoring approaches are suitable for tracing the movement and fate of CO₂ in the subsurface through the sampling of produced fluids and gases at production and/or monitoring wells [5, 6]. The objective of this study was to further develop geochemical and isotopic monitoring techniques capable of quantifying CO₂ storage in the subsurface.
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2. Site Description

The Pembina Cardium CO₂ Monitoring Pilot site (Fig. 1) is located near the town of Drayton Valley, west of Edmonton (Alberta, Canada) in the Pembina Field which is the largest individual [7], and one of the oldest onshore oilfields in Canada. The Cardium is a siliciclastic reservoir at approximately 1650 m depth and reservoir temperature and pressure of 50°C and 19MPa respectively [8].

![Figure 1. Location map of the Pembina Cardium CO₂ Storage Monitoring Pilot in west-central Alberta. Well bottom-hole locations shown as: Blue open circles – Group 1 wells; Green solid circles – Group 2 wells, and; Red open circles with arrow – CO₂ injectors. Grey outlined area shows CO₂-EOR area containing 6 of the production wells and both CO₂ injectors.](image)

The pilot is an enhanced oil recovery (EOR) operation hosted in the Upper Cretaceous Cardium Formation of the Pembina oil field. Dashtgard et al. [9] provided a detailed description of the geology of the reservoir. Primary production began in the Pembina Oilfield in 1953. Decreasing pressure, increasing gas-oil ratios and production declines led to water-flood pilots as early as 1956 [10]. As production peaked in the early 1970’s [11] and total production from primary and secondary methods was near the maximum recoverable, tertiary recovery by CO₂ flood was considered [9] and begun in 2005.

Approximately 75,000 tons of liquid CO₂ were delivered by truck and injected between 2005 and 2008 by two injector wells over two 5-spot patterns (1 injector, 4 producers) where 2 production wells are shared between the patterns [8] (Fig. 1). Casing gas and fluid samples were obtained from eight production wells sampled approximately monthly between February 2005 and March 2008. Baseline data were collected between February and April 2005 allowing an assessment of the natural variability of various geochemical parameters. Following baseline sampling and the commencement of CO₂ injection, 15 monitoring events took place between May 2005 and January 2007. In February 2007, the EOR operation switched to a water alternating gas (WAG) regime and a further 13 monitoring events took place until March 2008.

3. Methods

Fluid samples were collected at the 8 individual well locations in 8L carboys. The carboys were sealed immediately after water was collected to minimize further degassing from the fluids. After a couple of minutes to allow oil and water legs to separate, a fluid sample was taken from the bottom of the carboy and transferred to a 125ml flint glass bottle and filled without headspace. From this sample, alkalinity and pH values were determined in the field. Separate samples were filtered and transferred into 125ml Nalgene bottles for cation and anion analyses in the laboratory by ion chromatography and atomic absorption spectroscopy, respectively and a sub-sample was transferred to 10 mL glass vacu-tainers for subsequent isotopic analysis. Casing gas samples were collected in sealed containers and the chemical composition was analyzed in the field using a Varian CP4900 Micro GC. CO₂ fluxes were calculated by multiplying the total volume of gas produced by the mole fraction of CO₂ measured at the well head during the sampling events.
In addition to chemical analyses, the isotopic compositions of water, CO$_2$, and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) were determined. Carbon isotope ratios of CO$_2$ ($\delta^{13}$CCO$_2$) were determined from the casing gas samples using a GC coupled to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) and reported relative to V-PDB. Oxygen isotope ratios of CO$_2$ ($\delta^{18}$OCO$_2$) were determined by comparison against oxygen isotope ratios of CO$_2$ generated from internationally accepted reference materials (NBS 19 was reacted with phosphoric acid at 25°C and the appropriate isotope fractionation corrections were applied) and certified calibration gases (Messer Griesheim) and are reported versus V-SMOW with an uncertainty of <±0.2 ‰. Water $\delta^{18}$O values were determined by using standard CO$_2$ equilibration techniques followed by dual inlet IRMS [12]. Hydrogen isotope ratios of water samples were determined using the chromium reduction technique [13]. Oxygen and hydrogen isotope ratios are recorded using the usual delta ($\delta$) notation ($\delta^{18}$O, $\delta^2$H) in per mil (%) deviation relative to V-SMOW with an analytical uncertainty of < ± 0.2 ‰ and < ± 2.0 ‰ respectively, according to the equation:

$$R_{\text{sample}}[\%] = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{reference}}} - 1 \right) \cdot 1000$$  \[1\]

where R represents the $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O and $^2$H/$^1$H ratios of samples and reference materials, respectively.

4. Results and Discussion

Chemical and isotopic data are presented for 22 months of monitoring at the Pembina Cardium CO$_2$ Monitoring Pilot in the Pembina oilfield in central Alberta, Canada. Stable isotope analyses revealed changes in the $\delta^{18}$O values of the produced fluids (Fig. 2) as well as changes in the $\delta^{13}$CCO$_2$ values in produced gas (Fig. 3) indicating CO$_2$ presence and identifying trapping mechanisms at various production wells.

The eight production/observation wells were divided into two groups based on different chemical and isotopic responses. Group 1 wells were characterized by marked increases in the $\delta^{18}$O values of the produced fluids (Fig. 2, Table 1) and the $\delta^{13}$C values of produced CO$_2$ (Fig. 3, Table 1) with a concurrent increase in the CO$_2$ content of the gas (Fig. 4). Group 2 wells showed no increase in the $\delta^{18}$O values of the fluids (Fig. 2, Table 1), smaller increases in $\delta^{13}$C values of CO$_2$ (Fig. 3, Table 1) and only marginal increases in CO$_2$ content (Fig. 4). In addition, total CO$_2$ fluxes at group 1 wells (>100,000 m$^3$) far exceeded those at group 2 wells (<1500 m$^3$) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>CO$_2$ flux [m$^3$]</th>
<th>$\Delta \delta^{18}$O H$_2$O [%]</th>
<th>$\Delta \delta^{13}$C CO$_2$ [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7-11</td>
<td>157000</td>
<td>+1.1</td>
<td>+11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>123000</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>+10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>297000</td>
<td>+2.4</td>
<td>+17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-12</td>
<td>461000</td>
<td>+3.9</td>
<td>+14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-11</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>+0.6</td>
<td>+9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-11</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>+5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-12</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>+6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-12</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>+7.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. CO$_2$ flux, changes in $\delta^{18}$O values of produced fluids and changes in $\delta^{13}$C values of produced CO$_2$ at each well over 22 months of CO$_2$ injection.
Figure 2. Change of $\delta^{18}$O of produced fluids from baseline values (0) at group 1 (a) and 2 (b) wells over 22 months of CO$_2$ injection.
Figure 3. Change of δ³⁴C of CO₂ in produced gas from baseline values (0) at group 1 (a) and 2 (b) wells over 22 months of CO₂ injection.
Figure 4. CO\textsubscript{2} contents (mole % CO\textsubscript{2}) of produced gas at all wells over 22 months of CO\textsubscript{2} injection.

Oxygen isotope exchange between CO\textsubscript{2} and water has been studied intensively for more than five decades [12, 14, 15]. At isotopic equilibrium under the reservoir conditions of the Pembina project, oxygen in CO\textsubscript{2} is expected to have a $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value that is 35 ‰ higher than that of water [14], with the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value of CO\textsubscript{2} typically being controlled by the latter. However, Kharaka et al. [5] showed that $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of water were altered under CO\textsubscript{2} storage scenarios at the Frio project in Texas, USA, due to the presence of high quantities of CO\textsubscript{2}. At the Pembina project, injection CO\textsubscript{2} had a $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value of +29 ‰ and baseline water had $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values near -15 ‰. This difference of circa 44 ‰ is larger than the oxygen isotope enrichment factor between H\textsubscript{2}O and CO\textsubscript{2}. Therefore, high amounts of CO\textsubscript{2} in isotopic equilibrium with water have the potential to increase the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of water by up to 9 ‰. The extent of increase of the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of the water is directly related to the fraction of oxygen in the system that is sourced by the CO\textsubscript{2} [16]. The observed changes in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of water (Table 1, Figure 2) are larger than can be caused by dissolved CO\textsubscript{2} and thus must result from exposure of the water to free phase CO\textsubscript{2}. Thus by assessing the magnitude of the change in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value of the water, pore-space saturations of CO\textsubscript{2} in the system can be calculated [16].

Using equilibrium oxygen isotope exchange relationships between water and CO\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} solubility calculations [17], fluid and gas saturations in the pore space in excess of that occupied by oil were calculated. For group 1 wells, fluids were saturated with CO\textsubscript{2} (solubility trapping) and had free-phase CO\textsubscript{2} pore-space saturations in excess of oil in the range 0.05-0.60 (structural/stratigraphic trapping). Fluids obtained from group 2 wells were either subsaturated with respect to CO\textsubscript{2} and hence had no free phase CO\textsubscript{2} (3 wells) or were saturated but with very little free-phase CO\textsubscript{2} with pore-space saturations <0.1 (1 well). These saturation values are consistent with the changes in both CO\textsubscript{2} content and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values observed in the casing gas collected at the same wells (Figs. 3, 4) as well as other geochemical proxies [18].

5. Conclusions

We conclude that chemical and stable isotope measurements of produced fluids and gases at CO\textsubscript{2} storage sites can be used to determine both qualitatively and quantitatively the presence of CO\textsubscript{2} around observation wells, provided that the injected CO\textsubscript{2} is isotopically distinct. If a sufficient number of observation/production wells exist, this method can be used to determine the fate of CO\textsubscript{2} in the reservoir near observation wells, but lacks the ability to assess CO\textsubscript{2} saturations away from these sampling points. Geophysical methods have been demonstrated to give spatial resolution [19, 20] for CO\textsubscript{2} presence, but cannot satisfactorily quantify CO\textsubscript{2} saturation over the entire range of expected saturation values. Therefore, we suggest that geochemical and isotopic monitoring in combination with
geophysical monitoring is highly desirable to assess CO₂ pore-space saturation throughout the reservoir. This approach is considered a critical step in determining more accurate ‘CO₂ budgets’ for CO₂ storage sites.
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