Enhancing Short-Circuit Level and Dynamic Reactive Power Exchange in GB Transmission Networks under Low Inertia Scenarios
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Abstract—The objective of the studies presented in this paper is to demonstrate that the deployment and operational control of Synchronous Condensers (SynCons) combined with Static Compensators (STATCOM) in the GB transmission system can mitigate a part of the challenges associated with the high penetration of renewable energy sources. The case studies include scenarios such as transmission-level faults, fault level calculation and dynamic reactive power provision. For these scenarios, SynCons and STATCOMs of different capacity and design are installed at different regions of the GB transmission system. For these studies, verified models of SynCons and STATCOMs are deployed which are integrated to a representative GB network model. All the studies have been implemented in RMS simulation environment using Power Factory - DigSILENT package software.

Index Terms—Synchronous Condensers, Static Compensators, Transmission Line Faults

I. INTRODUCTION

Traditional power systems are gradually evolving towards decarbonised modern power networks. From the power generation perspective, centralised conventional synchronous generation plants are gradually replaced by renewable generation, connected both to transmission and distribution level. In Great Britain (GB), the generation capacity could increase from 103 GW (today) to 189 GW - 268 GW by 2050, with the more renewable scenarios requiring the highest capacities (with 65 % of generation being local) [1].

As such, the future generation mix in the wider GB system (and specifically in Scotland) will mainly consist of intermittent, asynchronous renewable energy sources. A major challenge of most renewable energy sources (e.g. domestic solar installations) is that they are largely uncontrollable by system operators under the present operating schemes and other commercial services.

This change in generation mix creates significant system issues which may lead to certain stability risks on the system that need to be managed and also risk the security of supply to GB customers. These issues include the following:

1) Reduced system inertia: a) compromises the network stability and security in the event of a large power imbalance (i.e. loss of load and/or generation), b) results in large frequency deviations and consequently high Rate of Change of Frequency (RoCoF) which can initiate further loss of generation due to the disconnection of distributed generation.

2) Lower Short Circuit Level (SCL): a) increases the risk of commutation failure in Line Commutated Converter-based (LCC) High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) interconnectors, b) potential risks of compromising protection performance and c) results in poor power quality in conjunction with low performance of phase-locked loop-driven generation.

3) Limited voltage control: in absence of immediate dynamic response (conventionally obtained from large synchronous generators) can result in voltages and voltage angles outside the desired limits.

In order to address these new challenges of lower system inertia and SCL, technological solutions, such as Synchronous Condensers (SynCons) are being encountered. These technologies can replace the rotating machines of the decommissioned generation plants (or synchronous generators can be converted into SynCons). SynCons have been used widely in power systems accounting for reactive support and
voltage stability [2], [3]. SynCons have also the ability to
elevate the SCL which can improve system interconnections
(both HVAC and HVDC) [4] and power system protection
[5]. Furthermore, SynCons have been found to be remarkably
useful in load shedding schemes [6] and primary frequency
control and stability [7], [8]. SynCon installations can
be realised either by conventional generators [9], [10] or
superconducting units [11]

Apart from SynCons, different technologies such as Flexible
AC Transmission Systems (FACTS) are being promoted
to account for quick control, active harmonic damping,
limitation of flicker phenomena and medium to high frequency
oscillation damping [12]. As such, these compensating devices
could be one of the options to mitigate challenges associated
with high penetration of renewable energy sources (but not
necessarily the only options). Specifically, the combination of
various compensation technologies have the potential to enable
subsequent applications to:
- Boost system inertia.
- Provide dynamic voltage regulation.
- Reactive power injection support to alleviate voltage dip
  conditions.
- Reactive power absorption to mitigate potential
  overvoltage scenarios in light load conditions.
- Increase the system SCL and system total strength.
- Enhance the oscillation damping capability.
- Aid in maintaining power quality of the network.

This paper investigates and quantifies the impact of
SynCons and Static Compensators (STATCOMs) to SCL
contribution accounting for short-circuit power and peak short-
circuit current. Their impact on voltage is also assessed by
their dynamic reactive power exchange.

II. SYSTEM MODELLING

A. GB Transmission System

The studies presented in this paper are based on a model
of national electricity transmission system of GB which
is represented by a 36-bus equivalent network [13]. The
model has been developed by NationalgridESO in DIgSILENT
PowerFactory and the network topology is depicted in Fig. 1.

Each numbered node in the model represents a part of
the system and consists of a mix of different energy sources
and loads. Generators within each zone are represented by
static generators and synchronous machines including relevant
dynamic controllers. In each zone, generation, loads, HVDC
interconnectors and transmission lines are connected to 400-
kV busbars.

B. SynCon & STATCOM Units

For the studies presented in this paper SynCon and
STATCOM units have been modelled in DIgSILENT
PowerFactory and then integrated to the 36-bus GB network
model (refer to Fig. 1). Both units are connected to a 400-kV
busbar as illustrated in Fig. 2.

The SynCon is modelled as a standard synchronous machine
without a governor. Three control systems have been integrated
which include i) Automatic Voltage Regulator (AVR), ii)
Power System Stabiliser (PSS) and iii) Over Excitation Limiter
(OEL).

The core part of the STATCOM model is the VSC source,
which represents the STATCOM as a controllable current source. The voltage control is based on a closed-loop system
with control of the positive-sequence voltage at the STATCOM
high voltage bus. The voltage regulator is required to be fast
enough to counteract voltage variations and disturbances, but
also retain an adequate stability margin. An under-voltage
(UV) strategy is deployed in the model to achieve a voltage
ride through capability during UV conditions and also to
avoid transient over-voltages when the system voltage recovers.
from a voltage drop. To support the harmonics analysis, the STATCOM together with its filter has been modelled as a Norton equivalent under harmonic frequencies. This is realised by implementing the harmonic data in the static generator model which represents the VSC source.

C. SCL Calculation

A major part of this paper is associated with the contribution of SynCon and STATCOMs to the SCL. As such, it is of utmost important to understand and define the method for calculating the SCL. The studies reported in this paper utilise the superposition method for the SCL calculation. In this method, the pre-fault load conditions of the network are considered. Therefore, the load flow and the setting of the transformer tap changers are a pre-requisite.

\[ a) \quad b) \quad c) \]

As depicted in Fig. 3 the entire method analyses the fault behaviour into three discrete steps:

- **Pre-fault:** The procedure begins with the calculation of the normal operating conditions (refer to Fig. 3a). These conditions reflect the excitation of generators, tap changer position of transformers, load conditions and breakers status. In this step the pre-fault voltage \( U_{pf} \) at the fault location is determined.

- **Pure-fault:** In the second step (refer to Fig. 3b) a negative version of pre-fault voltage \( U_{pf} \) is applied to the fault location and all other sources are set to zero. Practically, \( U_{pf} \) is the only voltage source in the network.

- **Post-fault:** Finally, the system performance after fault inception is determined by overlaying (complex adding) both the pre-fault and pure-fault conditions (refer to Fig. 3c).

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulation results presented in this section are extracted from both static and dynamic simulations. In particular, Section III-A presents the static SCL analysis while Section III-B presents the dynamic reactive power exchange studies.

A. Short Circuit studies

In order to calculate the contribution of SynCon units to the SCL, a set of simulation scenarios has been setup to incrementally change the capacity of SynCon units at each zone. The maximum capacity has been set to 700 MVA with increments of 70 MVA. The short-circuit power \( S_k \) and short-circuit peak current \( I_p \) have been captured. It should be noted that the control system of STATCOM behaves in such a way to limit the output current to 1.0 p.u. In that sense, the contribution from STATCOM units to the SCL is practically zero and thus no studies considering STATCOM and SCL have been conducted.

The results for SynCon units can be depicted in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 for \( S_k \) and \( I_p \) respectively.

From Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 it can be seen that the addition of SynCon units can definitely increase both short-circuit power \( S_k \) and short-circuit peak current \( I_p \). The greatest SCL relevant boost has been observed in Zone 26, where a 700 MVA SynCon elevated the \( S_k \) from 406.4 MV A to 3102.5 MV A and \( I_p \) from 1.5 kA to 11.5 kA. It shall be highlighted that the SCL is of utmost importance as the declining of SCL in low-inertia systems can is directly associated with challenges related to transmission protection and instability of units based on phase-locked loops [14]. Such a decline can be mainly observed to
Zone 26 to Zone 33 which corresponds to north part of UK including Scotland.

B. Dynamic Reactive Power Support

In order to assess the reactive power support from SynCon and STATCOM units, studies have been carried out in RMS simulation environment. A three-phase solid fault has been triggered on the line connecting Zone 26 and Zone 27W (refer to Fig. 1) which has been cleared after 110 ms. SynCon and STATCOM units have been considered at Zone 25 and Zone 26 under the following case studies:

- Case 1: No support
- Case 2: SynCon (700 MVA)
- Case 3: STATCOM (700 MVA)
- Case 4: SynCon (350 MVA) + STATCOM (350 MVA)

The graphical representation of the system response for Case 2 to Case 4 is depicted in Fig. 6 to Fig. 8 respectively. For easiness of presentation voltage profiles are only presented for Zone 26 which is also the zone closer to the fault. Voltage profile at Zone 26 is also used as a base-case for voltage comparison when SynCom and STACOM units are enabled (i.e. Case to Case 4).

By observing the voltage profile of Zone 26 (refer to Fig. 6(a), Fig. 7(a) and Fig. 8(a)) it can be seen that by utilising SynCon and STATCOM units, the voltage can be slightly improved. Such an improvement can be observed in three different regions accounting for residual-voltage (i.e. fault duration), transient over-voltage (i.e. instant of fault clearance) and over-voltage (i.e. post-fault).

The corresponding reactive power support from SynCom units can be seen in Fig. 6(b) and Fig. 6(c) for Case 2 and in Fig. 8(b) and Fig. 8(c) for Case 4. Reactive power injection can be observed during the fault but also after the fault clearance. Due to the fact that Zone 26 is closer to the fault, the reactive power emanating from SynCon at Zone 26 is more pronounced.

The corresponding reactive power support from STATCOM units can be seen in Fig. 7(b) and Fig. 7(c) for Case 3 and in Fig. 8(d) and Fig. 8(e) for Case 4. Similarly to the SynCon units, reactive power injection from STATCOM units located at Zone 26 is greater.

It is worth to point out that the instantaneous reactive power injection from SynCon at fault inception is significantly larger than that delivered by the STATCOM. This is due to the fact that STATCOM response is dictated by its control system. Specifically, the under/over-voltage strategy of STATCOM has a time delay which forces the STATCOM to hold the reactive current at maximum 1.0 p.u.

Additionally, it has been observed that there is an overshoot of the instantaneous reactive power from STATCOM at the instant of fault clearance. This emanates from the fact that STATCOM response is dictated by its control system. Specifically, the under/over-voltage strategy of STATCOM has a time delay which forces the STATCOM to hold the reactive current at 1.0 p.u. Considering that there is transient over-voltage at the instant of fault clearance, the total exported reactive power during the transient over-voltage period is more pronounced.
In this paper Synchronous Condenser (SynCon) and Static Compensators (STATOM) units have been considered to mitigate challenges associated with the high penetration of renewable energy sources.

The deployment and operational control of SynCon and STATOM units in the GB transmission system has been found to be beneficial for the system. In particular, the utilisation of SynCon and STATOM units have been found to increase the short circuit level (in the case of SynCon only) and provide reactive power support during and after three-phase transmission line faults.

It is anticipated that SynCon and STATOM units can further contribute to challenges arising from reduced system inertia (e.g., large frequency deviations in the event of a large load-generation imbalance), reduced system short circuit level (e.g., risk of commutation failures in line commutated converter) and enhanced power transfer capability.

Fig. 7. Voltage profiles at Zone 26 and reactive power support from STATOM units (Case 3).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Fig. 8. Voltage profiles at Zone 26 and reactive power support from SynCon and STATOM units (Case 4).
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